



LEA 02	Discipline: Monetary	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
<p><b>Reason for interaction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG disclosure</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG disclosure</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff</li> </ul>	<p><b>Type of engagement</b></p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>	<p><b>Reason for interaction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG disclosure</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG disclosure</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements</li> </ul> <p><b>Type of engagement</b></p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>

# RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

## 2020

Tundra Fonder AB

## About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

## Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
⚠	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

# Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		🔒	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	🔒	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	🔒	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	🔒	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		🔒	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Public	✓						
SG 14 CC		✓	Public							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Public	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	-	n/a	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

# Tundra Fonder AB

## Reported Information

### Public version

### Organisational Overview

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



## Basic information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services and funds you offer

Select the services and funds you offer	% of asset under management (AUM) in ranges
Fund management	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50%
Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Other	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Total 100%	

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Sweden

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

**OO 02.3** Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

14

**OO 03** **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

**OO 03.1** Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

Yes

No

**OO 04** **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway/Peering** **General**

**OO 04.1** Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

30/12/2019

**OO 04.2** Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		1	906	418	633
Currency	SEK				
Assets in USD			199	203	350

Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

**OO 04.4** Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

<b>OO 06.1</b>	Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.
----------------	---

- as percentage breakdown
- as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	>50%	0
Fixed income	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0

Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

**OO 06.2** Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

**OO 06.3** Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

- Yes
- No

**OO 06.5** Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

**OO 09** **Mandatory** **Public** **Peering** **General**

**OO 09.1** Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

Developed Markets	0
Emerging Markets	25
Frontier Markets	75
Other Markets	0
Total 100%	100%

## Asset class implementation gateway indicators

<b>OO 10</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Gateway</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

**OO 10.1** Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

### Listed equity – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

### Listed equity – voting

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

<b>OO 11</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Gateway</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

**OO 11.1** Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

### Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

<b>OO 12</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Gateway</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

**OO 12.1** Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

### Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

### RI implementation directly or via service providers

#### Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

Engagements

(Proxy) voting

Closing module

Closing module

Peering questions

OO LE 01	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Gateway	General
----------	---	--------	---------	---------

OO LE 01.1	Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.
------------	---

Percentage of internally managed listed equities

Passive

0

Active - quantitative (quant)

0

Active - fundamental and active - other

100

Total

100%

Tundra Fonder AB

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**SG 01.1**

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

**SG 01.2**

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM



**SG 01.3**

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

**SG 01.4**

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

Our investment selection is made in accordance with traditional fundamental analysis. Our funds are actively managed. Prior to each potential investment, we conduct an analysis of the company concerned, where we make an assessment of long-term stable earnings level. We adjust for what, in our opinion, represents short-term excess profitability or poor profitability. We combine this with an assessment of the company's future growth prospects to establish a long-term fair value of the entity and its share.

Responsible investments play an important role in the investment process. All three pillars E, S, and G are equally important in our work; we incorporate aspects of environmental, social issues and corporate behaviour in our investment process. An environmental policy is not only important to ensure a long-term sustainable development, but also reduces the risk of future clean-up costs and production stoppages. Social issues are considered a core feature in Tundra's ESG work. Assessments of a company's corporate governance and business ethics are central to the investment analysis.

No

<b>SG 01 CC</b>	<b>Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>General</b>
-----------------	--	---------------	--------------------	----------------

**SG 01.6 CC**

Indicate whether your organisation has identified transition and physical climate-related risks and opportunities and factored this into the investment strategies and products, within the organisation's investment time horizon.

Yes

Describe the identified transition and physical climate-related risks and opportunities and how they have been factored into the investment strategies/products.

Part of the Just Transition Initiative

No

**SG 01.7**  
**CC**

Indicate whether the organisation has assessed the likelihood and impact of these climate risks?

- Yes
- No

Describe why your organisation has not yet assessed the likelihood and impact of climate risks

This work is in progress.

**SG 01.8**  
**CC**

Indicate whether the organisation publicly supports the TCFD?

- Yes
- No

**SG 01.9**  
**CC**

Indicate whether there is an organisation-wide strategy in place to identify and manage material climate-related risks and opportunities.

- Yes
- No

Describe how and over what time frame the organisation will implement an organisation-wide strategy that manages climate-related risks and opportunities.

Assessment of all portfolio companies emissions data is currently undertaken. The outcome will be part of the overall strategy on climate-related risks and opportunities.

**SG 1.10**  
**CC**

Indicate the documents and/or communications the organisation uses to publish TCFD disclosures.

- Public PRI Climate Transparency Report
- Annual financial filings
- Regular client reporting
- Member communications
- Other

specify

Bi-annual reports, seminars, webinars, and meetings

- We currently do not publish TCFD disclosures

**SG 02**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 6**

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**SG 02.1**

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

- Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<http://www.tundrafonder.se/en/sustainable-2/>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Formalised guidelines on environmental factors
- Formalised guidelines on social factors
- Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors
- Asset class-specific RI guidelines
- Sector specific RI guidelines

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

[http://www.tundrafonder.se/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Annual-Sustainability-Report-2017\\_Tundra.pdf](http://www.tundrafonder.se/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Annual-Sustainability-Report-2017_Tundra.pdf)

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Screening / exclusions policy

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

[http://www.tundrafonder.se/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Annual-Sustainability-Report-2017\\_Tundra.pdf](http://www.tundrafonder.se/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Annual-Sustainability-Report-2017_Tundra.pdf)

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Engagement policy
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

**SG 02.2**

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

[https://www.tundrafonder.se/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Annual-2019\\_FINAL\\_190408-1.pdf](https://www.tundrafonder.se/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Annual-2019_FINAL_190408-1.pdf)

Attachment

Time horizon of your investment

Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities

ESG incorporation approaches

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.tundrafonder.se/en/sustainable-2/>

Attachment

Active ownership approaches

Reporting

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.tundrafonder.se/en/sustainable-2/>

Attachment

Climate change

We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

SG 03.1	Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
---------	---

Yes

**SG 03.2**

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

All employees are required to inform the company of any shareholdings and changes to such the employees undertake. In addition, any side work, such as for example board positions need to be approved beforehand by the company. There is policy governing management of conflicts of interest, sanctioned by the Board.

No

**Objectives and strategies****SG 05****Mandatory****Public****Gateway/Core Assessed****General****SG 05.1**

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

**Governance and human resources****SG 07****Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****General****SG 07.1**

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

**Roles**

- Board members or trustees
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Internal Roles (triggers other options)

**Select from the below internal roles**

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify  
Head of ESG

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investor relations
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)
- External managers or service providers
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

**SG 07.2**

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

**Board of Directors**

The Board of Directors approve general policies on RI.

**CEO, CIO and COO**

CEO and COO has responsibility for implementation of RI across the organisation, CIO takes part in developing principles for RI, and implementation of responsible investment into the investment process.

**Head of ESG**

The head of ESG is responsible for guiding the work within RI, and assuring implementation of aspects of RI Policy. Head of ESG reports on RI to CEO/CIO on a weekly basis, and to the BoD on a quarterly basis.

**Portfolio managers**

The managers are responsible for following guidelines and implementing aspects of RI into investment process.

With four analysts dedicated to oversight of RI or ESG, the responsibilities are executed on a daily basis. The key activities undertaken involve:

- Flagging online alerts on holdings/companies to stay up-to-date with breaking news;
- Continuous engagement with the specific financial analyst and/or sector specialist within Tundra for company or regulatory/sector updates;

- Engagement with companies through a range of (questionnaires, separate meetings, ESG conferences, webinars, events);
- Regular screenings of with local news, contact with NGOs, brokers and company representatives in order to maintain the flow of information.

**SG 07.3** | Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

3.5

**SG 07.4** | Additional information. [Optional]

The ESG-team is part of the investment team. Members are included in discussions from initial case discussion/proposal for investment to vetting prospective companies. All company visits include discussion of ESG factors and financial assessments.

<b>SG 07 CC</b>	<b>Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>General</b>
-----------------	--	---------------	--------------------	----------------

**SG 07.5 CC** | Indicate the roles in the organisation that have oversight, accountability and/or management responsibilities for climate-related issues.

Board members or trustees

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Risk Officer (CRO), Investment Committee

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

Other Chief-level staff or heads of departments

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

**Portfolio managers**

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

**Investment analysts**

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

**Dedicated responsible investment staff**

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

**Investor relations**

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

**External managers or service providers**

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

**SG 07.7  
CC** For management-level roles that assess and manage climate-related issues, provide further information on the structure and processes involved.

Head of ESG is responsible for the development of structures and processes aimed at climate-related issues; including initiatives on climate related disclosures, and reporting tools. These processes are suggested to CEO, CIO and BoD. To date the full policy on climate-related issues is still work in progress.

**Promoting responsible investment**

<b>SG 09</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 4,5</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

**SG 09.1** Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

**Select all that apply**



- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic  
 Moderate  
 Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.  
[Optional]

Participation in the PRI Climate Forum (2018)

Commitment to a Just Transition on Climate Change

- Signatory
- Part of PRI Working Group

Investor Statement to Support the UN Women's Empowerment Principles

- Signatory
- Promoting initiative to all portfolio companies

Collaborations through platform

- Asian Corporate Governance Association  
 Australian Council of Superannuation Investors  
 AVCA: Sustainability Committee  
 France Invest – La Commission ESG  
 BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board  
 CDP Climate Change

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic  
 Moderate  
 Advanced

- CDP Forests

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic  
 Moderate  
 Advanced

- CDP Water

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Climate Action 100+

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.  
[Optional]

Signatory

- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- HKVCA: ESG Committee
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.  
[Optional]

Participant level.

Participation in the UNGC Leader's Summit.

Incorporation of SDGs in different layers of the organisation.

Participation in events as organised by local networks in Sweden and the Nordic Countries.

Other collaborations.

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Various universities

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic  
 Moderate  
 Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.  
[Optional]

Ongoing collaborations with researchers through TURN | Tundra Research Network  
<https://tundraresearchnetwork.com/>

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

UNDP

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic  
 Moderate  
 Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.  
[Optional]

Ongoing collaborations in Pakistan and Vietnam.

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Women's Empowerment Principles

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic  
 Moderate  
 Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.  
[Optional]

Signatory of the WEPs.

Encouraging all portfolio companies to sign on the six principles as listed under WEP.

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

**SG 10.1** Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

**SG 10.2** Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

Description

Tundra Conferences for listed companies.  
Workshops for brokers.  
Webinars for portfolio companies and other stakeholders.  
Events for clients, peers and others.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work

Description

Tundra participates in collaborations with several different universities and incorporates academic findings and structures in ESG work. With Tundra Research Network , TURN we aim to provide a platform for collaborations between academia, business, policy makers and civil society connecting with local experts.

### Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

### Description

Examples include, but are not limited to the following:

- In April 2019, Tundra co-hosted an event for raising awareness about sustainable solutions to urbanisation with the Swedish Embassy in Pakistan at the National Incubation Center in NED University, Karachi. The purpose of the “Smart Cities and Digital Transformation” event was to understand and take inspiration from Sweden’s approach to smart and sustainable living through innovative solutions. The focus of the event were its three panel discussions, two of which were moderated by Tundra’s ESG Analyst and Vice CIO.
- In May, Head of ESG was invited speaker at the Sweden - Vietnam Business Summit in Hanoi featuring a delegation from Sweden including governmental representatives, large organisations and other stakeholders.
- In June, our financial analyst in Vietnam participated as a panelist in a conference hosted Ho Chi Minh City Securities.

### Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment

### Description

Tundra publishes an annual sustainability report, thematic reports, case studies, montly letters, and other reports.

#### Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
  - Biannually
  - Annually
  - Less frequently than annually
  - Ad hoc
  - Other
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI

#### Description

Tundra encourages all our portfolio companies in relevant sectors to sign the PRI.

#### Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
  - Biannually
  - Annually
  - Less frequently than annually
  - Ad hoc
  - Other
- Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
- Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
- A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify

#### Description

Member of PRI Investor Working Group - Just Transition

#### Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
  - Biannually
  - Annually
  - Less frequently than annually
  - Ad hoc
  - Other
- On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
- Other, specify
- No

<b>SG 10.3</b>	Describe any additional actions and initiatives that your organisation has taken part in during the reporting year to promote responsible investment [Optional]
----------------	---

During last year Tundra has interacted with students from various universities, e.g. Stockholm School of Economics, Lund University, Stockholm University, Helsinki University among others. Tundra has (outside the scope of TURN I Tundra Research Network) also interacted with student of different levels including Doctoral level (1), Master level (2), and Bachelor level (10+).

## Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

<b>SG 12</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 4</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

<b>SG 12.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.
----------------	---

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

## ESG issues in asset allocation

<b>SG 13</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

<b>SG 13.1</b>	Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).
----------------	--

- Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors
- Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities
- No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling

<b>SG 14</b>	<b>Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Additional Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
--------------	--	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

<b>SG 14.1</b>	Some investment risks and opportunities arise as a result of long term trends. Indicate which of the following are considered.
----------------	--

- Changing demographics
- Climate change
- Resource scarcity
- Technological developments
- Other, specify(1)
- Other, specify(2)
- None of the above



<b>SG 14.2</b>	Indicate which of the following activities you have undertaken to respond to climate change risk and opportunity
----------------	--

- Established a climate change sensitive or climate change integrated asset allocation strategy
- Targeted low carbon or climate resilient investments
- Phase out your investments in your fossil fuel holdings
- Reduced portfolio exposure to emissions intensive or fossil fuel holdings
- Used emissions data or analysis to inform investment decision making
- Sought climate change integration by companies
- Sought climate supportive policy from governments
- Other, specify
- None of the above

<b>SG 14.3</b>	Indicate which of the following tools the organisation uses to manage climate-related risks and opportunities.
----------------	--

- Scenario analysis
- Disclosures on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries
- Climate-related targets
- Encouraging internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risks
- Emissions-risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers
- Weighted average carbon intensity
- Carbon footprint (scope 1 and 2)
- Portfolio carbon footprint
- Total carbon emissions
- Carbon intensity
- Exposure to carbon-related assets
- Other emissions metrics
- Other, specify
- None of the above

<b>SG 14.4</b>	If you selected disclosure on emissions risks, list any specific climate related disclosure tools or frameworks that you used.
----------------	--

TCFD

<b>SG 14 CC</b>	Voluntary	Public	General
-----------------	-----------	--------	---------

<b>SG 14.6 CC</b>	Provide further details on the key metric(s) used to assess climate-related risks and opportunities.
-------------------	--

Metric Type	Coverage	Purpose	Metric Unit	Metric Methodology
<b>Carbon footprint (scope 1 and 2)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> All assets <input type="checkbox"/> Majority of assets <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minority of assets			
<b>Portfolio carbon footprint</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> All assets <input type="checkbox"/> Majority of assets <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minority of assets			
<b>Carbon intensity</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> All assets <input type="checkbox"/> Majority of assets <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minority of assets			
<b>Exposure to carbon-related assets</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> All assets <input type="checkbox"/> Majority of assets <input type="checkbox"/> Minority of assets			
<b>Other emissions metrics</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> All assets <input type="checkbox"/> Majority of assets <input type="checkbox"/> Minority of assets			

<b>SG 14.8 CC</b>	Indicate whether climate-related risks are integrated into overall risk management and explain the risk management processes used for identifying, assessing and managing climate-related risks.
-----------------------	--

- Processes for climate-related risks are integrated into overall risk management
- Processes for climate-related risks are not integrated into overall risk management

<b>SG 14.9 CC</b>	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or external investment manager or service providers acting on your behalf, undertake active ownership activities to encourage TCFD adoption.
-----------------------	--

- Yes

Please describe
-----------------

All portfolio companies are encouraged to report on emissions. Through our ESG Questionnaire and feedback letter that is sent to all companies in all of our 4 funds we recommend the TCFD framework for reporting.

As an example of commitment; Tundra Fonder recently hosted a webinar on the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures reporting framework (TCFD). Reporting on climate risks has become critical issues for companies and for financial institutions investing in them as well as for other corporate stakeholders. Representatives from our major holdings joined the event, as well as participants from selected Stock Exchanges and representatives from local UNDP units. Invited guest speakers were the experts Jane Stevensen of JS Global Advisory Ltd and Paul Stuart-Smith of Zero Carbon Finance Ltd, who guided us through the reporting framework on how to provide decision-useful information to lenders, insurers, and investors.

- No, we do not undertake active ownership activities.
- No, we do not undertake active ownership activities to encourage TCFD adoption.

<b>SG 15</b>	<b>Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
--------------	--	---------------	--------------------	--------------

**SG 15.1** Indicate if your organisation allocates assets to, or manages, funds based on specific environmental and social themed areas.

- Yes
- No

## Communication

<b>SG 19</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2, 6</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	-----------------

**SG 19.1** Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

<b>Disclosure to public and URL</b>	
	<p>Disclosure to public and URL</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Broad approach to ESG incorporation</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used</p>
	<p>Frequency</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biannually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested</p>
	<p>URL</p> <p><a href="https://www.tundrafonder.se/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Annual-2019_FINAL_190408-1.pdf">https://www.tundrafonder.se/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Annual-2019_FINAL_190408-1.pdf</a></p>
	<p>URL</p> <p><a href="https://www.tundrafonder.se/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Annual-Tundra-Sustainable-Frontier-Report-2019_FINAL-1-1.pdf">https://www.tundrafonder.se/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Annual-Tundra-Sustainable-Frontier-Report-2019_FINAL-1-1.pdf</a></p>

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

<b>Disclosure to public and URL</b>	
Disclosure to public and URL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region <input type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Other information
Frequency	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested
URL	<a href="http://www.tundrafonder.se/en/kategori/monthly-updates/">http://www.tundrafonder.se/en/kategori/monthly-updates/</a>
URL	<a href="https://www.tundrafonder.se/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Annual-Tundra-Sustainable-Frontier-Report-2019_FINAL-1-1.pdf">https://www.tundrafonder.se/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Annual-Tundra-Sustainable-Frontier-Report-2019_FINAL-1-1.pdf</a>

**Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting**

**Do you disclose?**

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

Yes

No

<b>Disclosure to public and URL</b>	
<b>Disclosure to public and URL</b>	
<input type="radio"/> Disclose all voting decisions	
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Disclose some voting decisions	
<input type="radio"/> Only disclose abstentions and votes against management	
<b>Frequency</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently	
<input type="checkbox"/> Biannually	
<input type="checkbox"/> Annually	
<input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually	
<input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested	
<b>URL</b>	
<a href="http://www.tundrafonder.se/en/kategori/monthly-updates/">http://www.tundrafonder.se/en/kategori/monthly-updates/</a>	

Tundra Fonder AB

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

### Implementation processes

LEI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

<b>LEI 01.1</b>	Indicate which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities; and the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.
-----------------	---

#### ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening and integration strategies
- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5%	<table border="1"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">100</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		%	100	
	%				
100					

- We do not apply incorporation strategies

	Total actively managed listed equities
--	--

100%

<b>LEI 01.2</b>	Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular strategy/strategies.
-----------------	---

Tundra has made the assessment that corporate behaviour concerning ESG-related aspects will have a substantial impact on the long-term competitiveness and should hence be taken into consideration. A company's environmental policy is not only reflecting what actions are to be taken for a sustainable development, it also decreases the risk for future clean-up costs and production stoppages.

Social aspects another cornerstone in Tundra's sustainability work. The way a company treats its employees affects its long term ability to attract appropriate skills within the work force. E.g. wages or working conditions that violates local laws or international conventions could also result in underestimations of long-term cost levels of a company.

Analysis of business ethics and governance is a central component when investing in frontier markets; business ethics in management and members of the board is essential to assure financial returns over time. Improperly completed procurement processes involve a risk of revision and revenue loss at a later stage. Internal corruption drains the company's operations of resources that could be used for investment or distribution to shareholders.



## (A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 04

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

LEI 04.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

### Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

#### Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

#### Description

Tundra's ESG process is governed by international standards of responsible investing. These include screening for "norm-based" violations, "controversial weapons" and "sector-based" breaches. Norm-based breaches include screening for breaches that are in contravention with the United Nations Global Compact, the guiding principles on Business and Human Rights, OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the ILO Tripartite Declaration of Principles concerning Multinational Enterprises and Social Policy. During this screening, companies' adherence to international norms for human rights, the environment, labor standards and anti-corruption are assessed.

Controversial weapons. During this screening, a company's involvement with prohibited or banned weapons is assessed. There is a zero tolerance as far as these weapons are concerned. Companies with any involvement in controversial weapons are excluded from the fund.

For the Tundra Sustainable Frontier Fund there are additional sectors that are considered to be no-go areas in our sustainability universe. These are sectors involving alcohol, pornography, tobacco, weapons, gambling, GMO, and extraction/production of fossil fuels. However, if the total revenue from a product from one of these sectors does not exceed 5%, the company may still be eligible for the sustainable fund.

- Positive/best-in-class screening
- Norms-based screening

#### Screened by

- UN Global Compact Principles
- The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify

	Description
--	-------------

Norm-based breaches include screening for breaches that are in contravention with the United Nations Global Compact, the guiding principles on Business and Human Rights, OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the ILO Tripartite Declaration of Principles concerning Multinational Enterprises and Social Policy. During this screening, companies' adherence to international norms for human rights, the environment, labor standards and anti-corruption are assessed.

<b>LEI 04.2</b>	Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria.
-----------------	--

Screening criteria are based on international standards of responsible investing, country- and sector specific research and established guidelines from external screening experts. We review our criteria continuously to ensure accuracy and that our efforts correspond to current standards.

<b>LEI 05</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEI 05.1</b>	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG screening is based on robust analysis.
-----------------	--

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies.
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar.
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
- Trading platforms blocking / restricting flagged securities on the black list.
- A committee, body or similar with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions.
- A periodic review of internal research is carried out.
- Review and evaluation of external research providers.
- Other; specify
- None of the above

<b>LEI 05.2</b>	Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your ESG screening strategy.
-----------------	---

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

**LEI 05.3** Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings are updated for screening purposes.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

**LEI 05.4** Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG screens.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

**LEI 05.5** Additional information. [Optional]

Our local presence through research offices in Karachi, Pakistan and Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam is important to our ability to regularly analyse companies in our markets. The ESG team constitutes a smaller unit of the larger investment team. As of end of 2019 the ESG team comprise four people including Head of ESG, ESG Researcher (Stockholm), Senior ESG Analyst (Karachi) and ESG analyst (Ho Chi Minh City). The ESG team cooperates closely with the Chief Investment Officer and financial research team to integrate ESG questions into financial analysis. To facilitate open communication; all of our offices are designed around open plan spaces. We also follow specific communication protocols i.e. PM meetings are held weekly including at least one member of the ESG team; corporate calls are held once a month and include all team members. On a more informal basis, a chat room has been created to encourage discussion between team members about current financial research, portfolio developments and on-going company specific research. There is a common server with data on companies and other research available to the entire investment team.

## (B) Implementation: Thematic

<b>LEI 07</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------




**LEI 07.1** Indicate the type of sustainability thematic funds or mandates your organisation manages.

- Environmentally themed funds
- Socially themed funds
- Combination of themes

## (C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

<b>LEI 08</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

**LEI 08.1** Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and G factors are systematically researched as part of your investment analysis.

ESG issues	Proportion impacted by analysis
Environmental	 <p> <input type="radio"/> &lt;10%  <input type="radio"/> 10-50%  <input checked="" type="radio"/> 51-90%  <input type="radio"/> &gt;90% </p>
Social	 <p> <input type="radio"/> &lt;10%  <input type="radio"/> 10-50%  <input checked="" type="radio"/> 51-90%  <input type="radio"/> &gt;90% </p>
Corporate Governance	 <p> <input type="radio"/> &lt;10%  <input type="radio"/> 10-50%  <input type="radio"/> 51-90%  <input checked="" type="radio"/> &gt;90% </p>

LEI 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

**LEI 09.1** Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly
- A periodic review of the internal research is carried out
- Structured, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or within the investments team
- ESG risk profile of a portfolio against benchmark
- Analysis of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance
- Other; specify
- None of the above

**LEI 09.2**

Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

**LEI 09.3**

Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

**LEI 09.4**

Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration strategy.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

**LEI 09.5**

Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.

- ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff
- ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff
- Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment decisions
- Other; specify
- None of the above

**LEI 09.6**

Additional information. [Optional]

Our local presence in Karachi, Pakistan and Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam is important to our ability to regularly analyse companies in our markets. The ESG team constitutes a smaller unit of the larger investment team. As per end of 2019 the ESG team comprise four people including Head of ESG, ESG Researcher (Stockholm), Senior ESG Analyst (Karachi) and ESG analyst (Ho Chi Minh City). The ESG team cooperates closely with the Chief Investment Officer (Stockholm) and financial research team to integrate ESG questions into financial analysis. To facilitate open communication; all of our offices are designed around open plan spaces. We also follow specific communication protocols i.e. PM meetings are held weekly including at least one member of the ESG team; corporate calls are held once a month and include all team members. On a more informal basis, a chat room has been created to encourage discussion between team members about current financial research, portfolio developments and on-going company specific research. There is a common server with data on companies and other research available to the entire investment team.

Tundra Fonder AB

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

Attachment provided:

URL provided:

URL

<http://www.tundrafonder.se/en/compliance-2/>

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- Conflicts of interest
- Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- Expectations and objectives
- Engagement approach

Engagement

- ESG issues
- Prioritisation of engagement
- Methods of engagement
- Transparency of engagement activities
- Due diligence and monitoring process
- Insider information
- Escalation strategies
- Service Provider specific criteria
- Other; (specify)
- (Proxy) voting approach

## Voting

- ESG issues
  - Prioritisation and scope of voting activities
  - Methods of voting
  - Transparency of voting activities
  - Regional voting practice approaches
  - Filing or co-filing resolutions
  - Company dialogue pre/post-vote
  - Decision-making processes
  - Securities lending processes
  - Other; (specify)
  - Other
  - None of the above
- No

**LEA 01.4** Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?

- Yes
- No

## Engagement

**LEA 02**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 1,2,3**

**LEA 02.1** Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.



Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

LEA 03.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.
----------	---

Yes

LEA 03.2	Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.
----------	--

Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;">Individual / Internal staff engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings)</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Client request</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breaches of international norms</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other; (specify)</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements</li> </ul>
Collaborative engagements	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;">Collaborative engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues through other investors</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ability to add value to the collaboration</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors addressed by the collaboration</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Alleviate the resource burden of engagement</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other; (specify)</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagement providers</li> </ul>

No

LEA 04	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**LEA 04.1**

Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration

**LEA 05****Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2****LEA 05.1**

Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out through collaboration.

**LEA 05.2**

Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify

<b>LEA 06</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Additional Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2,4</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------

<b>LEA 06.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.
-----------------	--

Yes

<b>LEA 06.2</b>	Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements.
-----------------	--

- Collaborating with other investors
- Issuing a public statement
- Filing/submitting a shareholder resolution
- Voting against the re-election of the relevant directors
- Voting against the board of directors or the annual financial report
- Submitting nominations for election to the board
- Seeking legal remedy / litigation
- Reducing exposure (size of holdings)
- Divestment
- Other; specify

No

<b>LEA 07</b>	<b>Voluntary</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Additional Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1,2</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------

<b>LEA 07.1</b>	Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation`s engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.
-----------------	---

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

**LEA 07.2** Indicate the practices used to ensure that information and insights gained through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

- Involving investment decision-makers when developing an engagement programme
- Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
- Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
- Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
- Other; specify
- None

**LEA 07.3** Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation’s engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

**LEA 08** **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway** **PRI 2**

**LEA 08.1** Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
<b>Individual/Internal staff engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track

### (Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

<b>LEA 12</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

**LEA 12.1** Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

#### Approach

We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.

#### Based on

- Our own voting policy
- Our clients` requests or policies
- Other (explain)

We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.

We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.

We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

**LEA 12.2** Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

Only approval from CEO and CIO enables voting. No exceptions.

<b>LEA 15</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

**LEA 15.1**

Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which where you or the service providers acting on your behalf raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

**LEA 15.2**

Indicate the reasons for raising your concerns with these companies ahead of voting.

- Vote(s) concerned selected markets
- Vote(s) concerned selected sectors
- Vote(s) concerned certain ESG issues
- Vote(s) concerned companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues
- Vote(s) concerned significant shareholdings
- Client request
- Other

Explain

Board member composition

**LEA 16**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 2**

**LEA 16.1**

Indicate the proportion of votes where you, and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicated the rationale to companies for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management recommendations

**LEA 16.2**

Indicate the reasons why your organisation would communicate to companies, the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations.

- Vote(s) concern selected markets
- Vote(s) concern selected sectors
- Vote(s) concern certain ESG issues
- Vote(s) concern companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues
- Vote(s) concern significant shareholdings
- Client request
- Other

Explain

Board member composition

**LEA 16.3**

In cases where your organisation does communicate the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations, indicate whether this rationale is made public.

- Yes
- No

**LEA 17****Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2****LEA 17.1**

For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

- We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

1

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information



**LEA 17.2** Explain your reason(s) for not voting on certain holdings

- Shares were blocked
  - Notice, ballots or materials not received on time
  - Missed deadline
  - Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
  - Cost
  - Conflicts of interest
  - Holdings deemed too small
  - Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
  - Client request
  - Other (explain)
- Not relevant

**LEA 18** Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 2

**LEA 18.1** Indicate whether you track the voting instructions that you or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

- Yes, we track this information

**LEA 18.2** Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	 100
Against (opposing) management recommendations	 0
Abstentions	 0

100%

- No, we do not track this information

**LEA 19** Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

**LEA 19.1**

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.

- Yes
- No

Tundra Fonder AB

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Confidence building measures

CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

**CM1 01.1** Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
  - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
  - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

**CM1 02.1** We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

**CM1 03.1** We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

**CM1 04.1**

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

**CM1 07**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Descriptive**

**General**

**CM1 07.1**

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

**Who has conducted the verification**

- CEO or other Chief-Level staff

**Sign-off or review of responses**

- Sign-off
- Review of responses

**What data has been verified**

- Policies
- Overarching strategy and processes
- Asset class specific processes
- Quantitative data related to RI processes
- Other

**Relevant modules**

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance
- Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation
- Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership
- The Board
- Investment Committee
- Compliance Function

**What data has been verified**

- Policies
- Overarching strategy and processes
- Asset class specific processes
- Quantitative data related to RI processes
- Other

#### Relevant modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance
- Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation
- Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership
- RI/ESG Team

#### What data has been verified

- Policies
- Overarching strategy and processes
- Asset class specific processes
- Quantitative data related to RI processes
- Other

#### Relevant modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance
- Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation
- Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership
- Investment Teams
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)