



RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2020

Regime de Rentes du Mouvement Desjardins





About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
8	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ъ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



Principles Index



Organisa	Organisational Overview						Principle				
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6		
00 TG		8	n/a								
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓	
00 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓	
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓	
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓	
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							√	
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓	
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓	
00 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓	
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓	
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓	
00 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓	
00 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓	
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓	
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	8	n/a							✓	
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓	
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	8	n/a							✓	
00 FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	8	n/a							✓	
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Private							✓	
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	8	n/a							✓	
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	8	n/a							✓	
00 PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	8	n/a							✓	
00 PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	8	n/a							✓	
00 PR 03	Largest property types	8	n/a							✓	
00 INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	8	n/a							✓	
00 INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	8	n/a							✓	
00 INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	8	n/a							✓	
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	8	n/a	Ш						✓	
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-								

CCStrategy and Governance					Principle				General	
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Private							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		8	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	~						
SG 14 CC		✓	Private							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring					Principle					General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 02	Selection processes (LE and FI)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 03	Evaluating engagement and voting practices in manager selection (listed equity/fixed income)	√	Public		~					
SAM 04	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	~						
SAM 05	Monitoring processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 06	Monitoring on active ownership (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 07	Percentage of (proxy) votes	8	n/a		✓					
SAM 08	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	~						
SAM 09	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Public	~					✓	
SAM End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership						Principle				General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	8	n/a	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	8	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	8	n/a	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures					Principle					General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	8	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	8	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							



Regime de Rentes du Mouvement Desjardins

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Ва	sic in	formation			
00 01	N	landatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
00	01.1	Select the type that best describes	your organisation o	or the services you provide	
		rporate pension or superannuation or re			
		ate pension or superannuation or retirem			
		ice company		•	
0	ounda	ation			
0	Endow	ment			
		pment finance institution			
	Reserv Family	e - sovereign or government controlled f	und		
		specify			
	,				
00 02	N	landatory	Public	Peering	General
OC	02.1	Select the location of your organisa	tion's headquarters	S.	
Ca	nada				
00	02.2	Indicate the number of countries in	which you have off	fices (including your headquarters	s).
•				(7.
0					
	6-10				
0	>10				
00	02.3	Indicate the approximate number of	f staff in your orgar	nisation in full-time equivalents (F	ΓE).
		FTE			
52		1.12			
52					
OO 03	N	landatory	Public	Descriptive	General
00	03.1	Indicate whether you have subsidia	ries within your org	ganisation that are also PRI signa	tories in
	U 3.1	their own right.			
	es.				
•	NO				
OO 04	N	landatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General

$\boldsymbol{\frown}$	$\boldsymbol{\sim}$	\mathbf{a}	

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

00 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		15	122	957	800
Currency	CAD				
Assets in USD		11	373	151	805

 $[\]hfill\square$ Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process



OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

00 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	25.9
Fixed income	0	42.2
Private equity	0	4.7
Property	0	11
Infrastructure	0	11.2
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0.3
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	4.7
Other (2), specify	0	0

 $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ as broad ranges

OO 06.2

Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].



OO 06.3

Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

O Yes

No

OO 06.5

Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09	Mand	atory	Public	Peering	General
00 00	9.1	Indicate the breakdown of your orga	anisation's AUM by	market.	
		Developed Markets			
95					
		Emerging Markets			
05					
		Frontier Markets			
0					
		Other Markets			
0					
		Total 100%			
100%	D				

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 10 Mandatory Public Gateway General

OO 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Listed equity – engagement

- ☐ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- ☑ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.
- ☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.



Listed equity – voting
☑ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
\square We require our external managers to vote on our behalf.
\square We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf
Fixed income SSA – engagement
☐ We engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
$\ensuremath{\square}$ We require our external managers to engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors on our behalf.
\square We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.
Fixed income Corporate (financial) – engagement
☐ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
$\ensuremath{\square}$ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.
$\hfill\square$ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.
Fixed income Corporate (non-financial) – engagement
☐ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
☑ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.
$\hfill\Box$ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.
1 Mandatory Public Gateway General
Select the externally managed assets classes in which you and/or your investment consultants address ESG incorporation in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring

processes.



Asset class	ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
Listed equity	Listed equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	✓ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	 ✓ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - SSA	Fixed income - SSA - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - corporate financial)	Fixed income - corporate (financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring
	processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - corporate non-financial)	Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Private equity	
	Private equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	$\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	$\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Property	
1 - 3	



	Property - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes				
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process				
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process				
	✓ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process				
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation				
Infrastructure	Infrastructure - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes				
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process				
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process				
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process				
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation				
Cash	Cash - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes				
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process				
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process				
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process				
	☑ We do not do ESG incorporation				
Other (1)	Other (1) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes				
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process				
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process				
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process				
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation				

00 11.3b

If your organisation does not integrate ESG factors into investment decisions on your externally managed assets, explain why not.

Cash: There is a lot of turnover in the portfolio depending of the capital call/distribution received throughout the year, which translates in a lot of transactions. It would be impossible to track or follow ESG factors in the portfolio due to the high turnover of the assets and a large portion are SSA assets.



00 11.4

Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

Like in 2018, there is an ESG section in our investment due diligence and it is integrated to the presentation document submitted to the investment committee. We give an appreciation on each of the elements (ESG) with comments to support our appreciation. We also include a discussion with the manager to better understand how ESG is integrated to the different steps of the investment process and how it can influence their decisions. During the life of an investment, we follow quarterly any incident or improvement declared by the managers. Also, we monitor the percentage invested in clean energy and in non-clean energy of the total portfolio. Finally, we do not hesitate to question a manager on ESG considerations, by example, if we consider that a voting process is not appropriate or an investment is, to our point of view, not in the scope of the mandate, etc.

In 2019, the updated due diligence and monitoring questionnaires were developed. We also proceeded to send the initial due diligence questionnaire to all our managers. The monitoring questionnaire will be sent annually from now on.

00 12 **Mandatory Public Gateway** General Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. 00 12.1 Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box. Core modules Organisational Overview RI implementation directly or via service providers Direct - Listed Equity active ownership ☑ (Proxy) voting RI implementation via external managers Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers ☑ Listed Equities ☑ Fixed income - SSA ☑ Fixed income - Corporate (financial) ☑ Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial) ☑ Private Equity ☑ Property ✓ Infrastructure Closing module



Closing module

Regime de Rentes du Mouvement Desjardins

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Investment policy

SG 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	Applicable policies cover all AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	O Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☑ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties	
☑ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☐ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☑ Screening / exclusions policy	
☐ Engagement policy	
☑ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	



SG 01.3	Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following		
	☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments		
☐ Your inve	stment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account		
	☑ Time horizon of your investment		
	☑ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities		
☑ ESG inco	rporation approaches		
	☑ Active ownership approaches		
☑ Reporting	☑ Reporting		
☑ Climate c	hange		
☐ Understa	nding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences		
☐ Other RI	considerations, specify (1)		
☐ Other RI	☐ Other RI considerations, specify (2)		

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

The objective of the RRMD's investment policy is to secure the benefits prudently and effectively and to ensure that there will be sufficient assets to enable benefits to be paid as they fall due. Therefore, the objective is to obtain optimal return for the fund, while maintaining an appropriate level of risk, including ESG risk criteria, especially with respect to the volatility of contributions. Assets are divided into two portfolios: a matching portfolio that minimizes the variation in the gap between the RRMD assets and liabilities, and a performance portfolio that aims to generate sufficient returns to pay member pensions.

To generate stability and promote growth in the decades to come, the RRMD considers it is important to foster sustainable development. To that end, the RRMD promotes responsible investment which allows the plan, along with the Desjardins Group, to play a proactive role in regards to challenges in environmental, social and governance matters. The RRMD is primarily a manager of managers. In the due diligence process, which helps selected a manager, a section is devoted to the evaluation of the approach taken to implement ESG factors.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

Certain key elements covered in our investment principles target specific considerations in our responsible investment approach such as:

Prioritize renewable energies projects in direct infrastructure investments.

By the end of 2020, objective that the carbon footprint of all of its portfolios invested in corporate bonds in active management mandates and in public equities to be is less than 20% to that of the companies that make up the benchmarks specific to these mandates.

The most recent version of our policy was adopted by our internal investment management committee (Comité de gestion des placements) on March 4 2019. This policy will be reviewed by this committee at least every 3 years (maximum upon March 4 2022). The Policy was updated as of March 16 2020 to add specific exclusions for tobacco product and vaping and vaping products in most sector.

 \bigcirc No

SG 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 6

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



SG 02.1	Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.	
☐ Policy set	ting out your overall approach	
☐ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors		
☐ Formalise	d guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☐ Fiduciary	(or equivalent) duties	
☐ Asset clas	ss-specific RI guidelines	
☐ Screening	/ exclusions policy	
□ (Proxy) vo	iting policy	
☑ We do no	t publicly disclose our investment policy documents	
SG 02.2	Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.	
☐ Your orga	nisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments	
☐ Time horiz	zon of your investment	
☐ Governan	ce structure of organisational ESG responsibilities	
☑ ESG inco	rporation approaches	
	URL/Attachment	
☑ URL		
	URL	
https	://www.rcd-dgp.com/fr/votre_regime/investissement_responsable	
☐ Attac	chment	
	nership approaches	
	URL/Attachment	
☑ URL		
	URL	
https	://www.rcd-dgp.com/fr/votre_regime/investissement_responsable	
☐ Attac	chment	
☐ Reporting		
	nange	
	URL/Attachment	
☑ URL		



URL

https://www.rcd-dgp.com/fr/votre_regime/investissement_responsable

☐ Attachment

☐ We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3

Additional information [Optional].

The RRMD does not publicly disclose its investment policy documents, but one page on its website summarizes its approach to responsible investing (https://www.rcd-dgp.com/en/your_pension_plan/responsible_investing). The RRMD expects to increase its responsible investment disclosure over time.

SG 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

The Desjardins Group Retirement Committee (DGRC) adopted a code of conduct that applies to its members and investment committee They must confirm their commitment to upholding the code or codes that apply to them every year. The DGRC ensures that the register of the interests and rights of those required to do so is maintained and updated accordingly.

The code of conduct includes a section on the management of conflicts of interest. DGRC members are required to disclose any potential conflict of interest so that the appropriate measures are taken to ensure management of the conflict in the real interest of the plan's members and beneficiaries. The measures can range from imposing limitations on the member's or employee's interventions, participation in discussion and decision-making, to asking the member or employee to abstain from discussion and decision-making. Also, the code of conduct addresses the concerns around gifts and gratuities.

 $\bigcirc \ \mathsf{No}$

Objectives and strategies

SG 05 Mandatory Public Gateway/Core Assessed General



SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- O Quarterly or more frequently
- O Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- O Ad-hoc basis
- O It is not set/reviewed

SG 05.2

Additional information. [Optional]

The Desjardins Group Pension Plan Division employees set and review objectives for its responsible investment activities annually. The Desjardins Group Retirement Committee's investment committee reviews the responsible investment policy at least once every three years. Policies are reviewed on an ongoing basis and at least once a year thoroughly.

Governance and human resources SG 07 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether SG 07.1 they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment. Roles ☑ Board members or trustees ☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment ☐ Implementation of responsible investment ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment ✓ Internal Roles (triggers other options) Select from the below internal roles ☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee ☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment ☐ Implementation of responsible investment ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment ☑ Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify Director, Legal Affairs and Governance



☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☐ Implementation of responsible investment
\square No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Portfolio managers
☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
\square No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
✓ Investment analysts
☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
\square No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☐ Dedicated responsible investment staff
☐ Investor relations
☐ Other role, specify (1)
☐ Other role, specify (2)
☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
\square No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
SG 07.3 Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.
Number
Number
0
SC 07.4 Additional information [Ontional]

The RRMD does not have dedicated responsible investment staff, but it works closely with dedicated responsible investment staff of other branches of Desjardins Group.

As mentioned, an external provider (ISS) has been hired to ensure that RRMD's voting rights policy is strictly enforced.

Employees in the organization have been identified to sit on ESG Committee of the Desjardins Group and within the Régime de rentes du Mouvement Desjardins, members of the organization are designated to take part of an ESG working group.

Also, Analysts, Portfolio Manager and Legal Director are all involved in monitoring the best practices and staying informed of trends and benchmarks.

The potential hire of a dedicated resource is actually under study by the RRMD.

Promoting responsible investment					
00.00					
SG 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5	



SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

☑ Principles for Responsible Investment

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic	
□ Modera	ate
☐ Advan	ced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

The RRMD became a signatory of the PRI in January 2018. The organization has submitted its first assessment report for the year 2018, and 2019 is the first year to complete the mandatory reporting. We attended the Montreal meeting in April 2019 and had 2 people from our organization attend the annual International conference in Paris in 2019.

☐ Asian Corporate Governance Association
☐ Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
☐ AVCA: Sustainability Committee
☐ France Invest – La Commission ESG
☐ BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
□ CDP Climate Change
□ CDP Forests
□ CDP Water
☐ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
☐ Climate Action 100+
☐ Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
☐ Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
□ Eumedion
☐ Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
☐ ESG Research Australia
☐ Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
☐ Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
☐ Green Bond Principles
☐ HKVCA: ESG Committee
☐ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
☐ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
☐ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
□ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
☐ Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
☐ Principles for Sustainable Insurance
$\hfill\square$ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
☐ Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
☐ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
☐ United Nations Global Compact
☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify



☐ Other o	collabora	tive organisation/initiative, speci- tive organisation/initiative, speci- tive organisation/initiative, speci-	fy		
§ 10 N	Mandato	ry	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
SG 10.1		icate if your organisation promot atives.	es responsible	e investment, independently of collab	orative
Yes					
SG 1	10.2		initiatives. Pro	ken to promote responsible investment ovide a description of your role in cont typical frequency of your	
educ	cation or			s (this includes peer to peer RI suppo pers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investr	
□ Pr	rovided 1	inancial support for academic or	industry resea	arch on responsible investment	
☑ Pr	rovided i	nput and/or collaborated with ac	ademia on RI	related work	
		Description			
		ed in a meeting, interview for a re I Environmental Responsibility in		ucted by a student completing its Mas 019.	ter degree in
		Frequency of contribution			
	○ Quar	terly or more frequently			
	O Bianı	nually			
	○ Annually				
	O Less	frequently than annually			
	Ad h	oc			
	Othe	r			
☑ Er indus	_	ed better transparency and disclo	osure of respo	onsible investment practices across th	e investment

Description

Since the RRMD is primarily a manager of managers, its approach is to encourage its managers and partners to be transparent about their responsible investment practices.



	Frequency of contribution					
0	Quarterly or more frequently					
0	Biannually					
0	Annually					
0	O Less frequently than annually					
•	Ad hoc					
0	Other					
☐ Spok	e publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment					
□ Wrot	e and published in-house research papers on responsible investment					
☑ Enco	uraged the adoption of the PRI					
	Description					
	e the RRMD is primarily a manager of managers, its approach is to encourage managers and ers to join the PRI. Also, the RRMD encourages other institutional investors to join the PRI.					
	Frequency of contribution					
0	Quarterly or more frequently					
0	Biannually					
0	Annually					
0	Less frequently than annually					
•	Ad hoc					
0	Other					
☐ Resp	onded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)					
□Wrot	\square Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media					
☐ A me	☐ A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify					
☐ On th	ne Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)					
☐ Othe	r, specify					
○ No						
SG 10.3	Describe any additional actions and initiatives that your organisation has taken part in during the reporting year to promote responsible investment [Optional]					

The DGPP Division employees try to participate more actively to responsible investment activites or training.

An employee of DGPP Division is a member of the Desjardins Group ESG Steering Committee (internal committee) and 2 employees of the DGPP sit on the working group of the Desjardins Group Steering Committee.

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

Core Assessed PRI 4 **SG 12 Mandatory Public**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



	indicate whether your	organisation uses investmen	t consultants.	
☐ Yes, we u	use investment consultar	nts		
☑ No, we d	o not use investment con	nsultants.		
SG 12.5	Indicate whether your the monitoring of fiduc	organisation considers any c ciary managers	of the following responsible in	nvestment fact
✓ Including	responsible investment	as a standard agenda item at	performance review meeting	ngs
		manager has acted in accordable investment and ESG facto		s overall invest
☑ Reviewin	g the fiduciary manager's	s PRI Transparency or Asses	sment reports	
☑ Reviewin	g the fiduciary manager's	s responsible investment repo	orting (excluding PRI genera	ated reports)
☑ Reviewin	g ESG characteristics/fac	ctors used by the fiduciary ma	anager in portfolio construc	tion
☑ Reviewin	g the fiduciary manager's	s incorporation approaches o	f ESG through-out asset cla	sses
☑ Reviewin	g the impact of ESG fact	ors on financial performance		
		gers to consider joining respo ative projects with other inve		organisations (
✓ Including	responsible investment	criteria as a formal componer	nt of overall manager perforr	mance evaluati
☑ Reviewin	g the fiduciary manger's	ESG incorporation in externa	I managers' selection, appo	intment, monito
☐ Reviewin	g how ESG materiality is	defined by the fiduciary man	ager	
	ng how ESG materiality is neral aspects of your mo		ager	
☐ Other ger	neral aspects of your mor			gers.
☐ Other ger	neral aspects of your mor	nitoring; specify		gers.
□ Other gei	neral aspects of your more to the consider responsible in	nitoring; specify nvestment in the monitoring p		gers.
□ Other gei	neral aspects of your mor	nitoring; specify nvestment in the monitoring p		gers.
☐ Other gel☐ We do no	neral aspects of your monot consider responsible in esset allocation	nitoring; specify nvestment in the monitoring p	rocesses for fiduciary mana	
☐ Other gel☐ We do no	neral aspects of your more to the consider responsible in	nitoring; specify nvestment in the monitoring p		gers.
☐ Other gel☐ We do no	neral aspects of your more that consider responsible in the consideration responsibl	nitoring; specify nvestment in the monitoring p	rocesses for fiduciary management for fiduciar	PRI
☐ Other gel☐ We do no	neral aspects of your more that consider responsible in the ses in asset allocation and atory	nitoring; specify nvestment in the monitoring p	Descriptive urio analysis and/or modellin	PRI
□ Other ger □ We do no ESG issue Mar	neral aspects of your more that consider responsible in the consider responsible in the consider responsible in the consider responsible in the consider whether the consider a description of the consideration of the con	nitoring; specify nvestment in the monitoring p Public organisation carries out scena of the scenario analysis (by a	Descriptive urio analysis and/or modellin	PRI
□ Other ger □ We do no ESG issue Mar SG 13.1 □ Yes, in or	neral aspects of your more of consider responsible in the consideration of the consideration of the consideration responsible in	nitoring; specify nvestment in the monitoring p Public organisation carries out scena of the scenario analysis (by a	Descriptive ario analysis and/or modellinaset class, sector, strategic	PRI
□ Other ger □ We do no ESG issue Mar SG 13.1 □ Yes, in or	neral aspects of your more of consider responsible in the consideration responsible	Public Proganisation carries out scenario analysis (by a	Descriptive ario analysis and/or modellin sset class, sector, strategic	PRI
□ Other ger □ We do no ESG issue Mar SG 13.1 □ Yes, in or	neral aspects of your more of consider responsible in the consideration responsible	Public Proganisation carries out scenario analysis (by a factors nate-related risks and opportunity investment in the monitoring programme in	Descriptive ario analysis and/or modellin sset class, sector, strategic	PRI
□ Other ger □ We do no ESG issue Mar SG 13.1 □ Yes, in or □ Yes, in or □ No, our or	neral aspects of your more of consider responsible in the consider responsible in the consider responsible in the consider responsible in the consider and asset allocation of the consideration of th	Public Programisation carries out scenario analysis (by a scenario analysis and opporturently carry out scenario	Descriptive ario analysis and/or modellin sset class, sector, strategic inities ysis and/or modelling	PRI
□ Other ger □ We do no ESG issue Mar SG 13.1 □ Yes, in or □ Yes, in or □ No, our or	neral aspects of your more of consider responsible in the consider responsible in the consider responsible in the consider responsible in the consider and asset allocation of the consideration of th	Public Proganisation carries out scenario analysis (by a factors nate-related risks and opportunity investment in the monitoring programme in	Descriptive ario analysis and/or modellin sset class, sector, strategic inities ysis and/or modelling	PRI
□ Other ger □ We do no ESG issue Mar SG 13.1 □ Yes, in or □ Yes, in or □ No, our or Asset class	neral aspects of your more of consider responsible in the consider responsible in the consider responsible in the consider responsible in the consider and asset allocation of the consideration of th	Public Programisation carries out scenario analysis (by a scenario analysis and opporturently carry out scenario	Descriptive ario analysis and/or modellin sset class, sector, strategic inities ysis and/or modelling	PRI



Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Other (1) [as defined in Organisational Overview module]	RRMD incorporates ESG into his external manager selection process and it incorporates ESG into his external manager monitoring process.

Communication

SG 19 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

Do you disclose?

- O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries How responsible investment considerations are included in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes Details of the responsible investment activities carried out by managers on your behalf E, S and/or G impacts and outcomes that have resulted from your managers' investments and/or active ownership Other Frequency Quarterly or more frequently Biannually Annually Less frequently than annually Ad-hoc/when requested



Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- \bigcirc We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries								
	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries							
O Disclose al	I voting decisions							
Disclose so	ome voting decisions							
Only disclo	se abstentions and votes against management							
	Frequency							
☐ Quarterly o	or more frequently							
□ Biannually								
☑ Annually								
☐ Less freque	ently than annually							
☐ Ad hoc/who	en requested							

Regime de Rentes du Mouvement Desjardins

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



M 01	Mandatory				Public	G	ateway	PRI
SAM	to imp	te which of lement on y	your beh	alf for all	G incorporation s your listed equit	strategie y and/or	s you require your exte fixed income assets:	rnal manago
Active in strategie	vestment s	Listed Equity		FI - SSA	FI - Corporat	te	FI - Corporate (no financial)	on-
Screening	g							
Thematic								
Integratio	n							
None of t	he above			V	V		V	
Passive	Passe investment str	sive investr	ment stra		-Corporate (fina	uncial)	FI -Corporate (non-fi	nancial)
Screeni		ategies			-corporate (iiiia	inciaij		lancialy
Themat								
Integrat								
	the above		V	V				
Sele	ection		•					



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	Private equity	Property	Infrastructure
Your organisation's investment strategy and how ESG objectives relate to it	V	V		V			V
ESG incorporation requirements							
ESG reporting requirements							
Other							
No RI information covered in the selection documentation							

9	Δ	М	Λ	2	2

Explain how your organisation evaluates the investment manager's ability to align between your investment strategy and their investment approach

Strategy



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	Private equity	Property	Infrastructure
Assess the time horizon of the investment manager's offering vs. your/beneficiaries' requirements				☑			✓
Assess the quality of investment policy and its reference to ESG	V	V	V		V	V	V
Assess the investment approach and how ESG objectives are implemented in the investment process	\triangleright			☑			☑
Review the manager's firm-level vs. product-level approach to RI	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V	V	☑			V
Assess the ESG definitions to be used							
Other							
None of the above							

ESG people/oversight



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	Private equity	Property	Infrastructure
Assess ESG expertise of investment teams	V	\checkmark		V			
Review the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V					
Review how is ESG implementation enforced /ensured	V	V		V			
Review the manager's RI-promotion efforts and engagement with the industry	$\overline{\Sigma}$	V					
Other							
None of the above					V	V	V

Process/portfolio construction/investment valuation



					1			
	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)		Private equity	Property	Infrastructure
Review the process for ensuring the quality of the ESG data used	\triangleright		\checkmark					
Review and agree the use of ESG data in the investment decision making process	\(\)	V	V					
Review and agree the impact of ESG analysis on investment decisions	\triangleright	V	V					V
Review and agree ESG objectives (e.g. risk reduction, return seeking, real-world impact)								
Review and agree manager's ESG risk framework	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	\						✓
Review and agree ESG risk limits at athe portfolio level (portfolio construction) and other ESG objectives								✓
Review how ESG materiality is evaluated by the manager	abla	V	V					
Review process for defining and communicating on ESG incidents		V	V					
Review and agree ESG reporting frequency and detail	$\overline{\vee}$	V						
Other, specify								

lone of the above							\checkmark	\checkmark		
SAM 02.3	Indicate	e the	selectio	n process and it	s ESG/RI compo	one	ents			
☑ Review ES	SG/RI res	spons	es to Rf	P, RfI, DDQ etc						
☐ Review re	sponses	to PR	l's Limit	ed Partners` Re	esponsible Invest	tme	ent Due Dili	gence Ques	tionnaire (LP DI	DQ)
☑ Review pu	ıblicly ava	ailable	e informa	ation on ESG/R	I					
☐ Review as	surance	proce	ss on E	SG/RI data and	processes					
☐ Review PF	RI Transp	areno	cy Repo	rts						
□ Request a	nd discu	ss PR	l Asses	sment Reports						
☑ Meetings v	☑ Meetings with the potential shortlisted managers covering ESG/RI themes									
☑ Site visits	☑ Site visits to potential managers offices									
☐ Other, specify										

When selecting external managers does your organisation set any of the following:

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	Private equity	Property	Infrastructure
ESG performance development targets							
ESG score	V	\checkmark	V	V	\checkmark	$\overline{\checkmark}$	V
ESG weight	V	V	V	V	V	$\overline{\lor}$	V
Real world economy targets							
Other RI considerations							
None of the above							

SAM 02.5

SAM 02.4

Describe how the ESG information reviewed and discussed affects the selection decision making process.[OPTIONAL]

Non satisfactory ESG processes and policies of the manager could lead to a refusal.

SAM	03	Man	datory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
	SAM 0	3.1	Indicate how your organisation typic majority of the manager selection p		manager's active ownership prac	tices in the



_			
Ln	gag	\sim	OD
	uau	еш	ΕШ

			LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	
Revi	ew the man	ager's engagement policy					
	ew the mana	ager's engagement process (with utcomes)					
		engagement outcomes feed back ent decision-making process					
	Other engagement issues in your selection process specify						
		If you select `Other` option, spe	cify				
	None of the	e above					
	SAM 03.2	Describe how you assess if the m	nanag	er's enga	gement approach is	effective.	
	☐ Impact o	•	folio	G policies	and implementation	activities)	
	Appointm	nent					
SAM 0)4 M a	andatory	Р	ublic	Core Asses	sed	PRI 1
	SAM 04.1	Indicate if in the majority of cases does any of the following as part of					
	□ Defines □ Sets inc	ndard benchmarks or ESG benchmar ESG objectives and/ or ESG related of entives and controls linked to the ESG is reporting on ESG objectives is the investment manager to adhere to pecify (1)	exclus	ectives		siples or standards	
	☐ Other, s						



 $\hfill\square$ None of the above **SAM 04.2**

Provide an example per asset class of your benchmarks, objectives, incentives/controls and reporting requirements that would typically be included in your managers' appointment.

Asset class

☑ Listed equity (LE)

Benchmark

- ☑ Standard benchmark
- ☐ ESG benchmark, specify

ESG Objectives

Incentives and controls

☑ We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

- We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives
- ☑ Fixed income SSA (SSA)

Benchmark

60% passive portfolio return + 20% FTSE Canada Universe Provincial + 20% FTSE Canada Long Term Provincial

☐ ESG benchmark, specify

ESG Objectives

Incentives and controls

☑ We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

- We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives
- ☑ Fixed income Corporate (financial)

Benchmark

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ Standard benchmark, specify

60% passive portfolio return + 20% FTSE Canada Universe Provincial + 20% FTSE Canada Long Term Provincial



	□ ESG ber	nchmark, specify
		ESG Objectives
	☑ We do n	ot define ESG objectives
		Incentives and controls
	☑ We do n	ot set incentives and controls
		Reporting requirements
V		ot require the reporting on ESG objectives - Corporate (non-financial)
		Benchmark
	60% pas Provincia	d benchmark, specify ssive portfolio return + 20% FTSE Canada Universe Provincial + 20% FTSE Canada Long Tern al nchmark, specify
		ESG Objectives
	☑ We do n	ot define ESG objectives
		Incentives and controls
	☑ We do n	ot set incentives and controls
		Reporting requirements
V	We do nPrivate equity	ot require the reporting on ESG objectives
		Benchmark
•	MSCI W	orld nchmark, specify
		ESG Objectives

 $\ensuremath{\boxdot}$ We do not define ESG objectives

Incentives and controls

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ We do not set incentives and controls



Reporting requirements

• We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives

☑ Property

Benchmark

50% IPD Canada + 50% NCREIF ODCE US

☐ ESG benchmark, specify

ESG Objectives

☑ We do not define ESG objectives

Incentives and controls

☑ We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

• We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives

✓ Infrastructure

Benchmark

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Standard benchmark, specify

JP Morgan Long Term Assumption for Global Infrastructure + 100 bps

☐ ESG benchmark, specify

ESG Objectives

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ We do not define ESG objectives

Incentives and controls

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives



SAM 04.3	Indicate which of these actions your organisation might take if any of the requirements are not m
☑ Discuss re	equirements not met and set project plan to rectify
☑ Place inve	estment manager on a "watch list"
☑ Track and	investigate reason for non-compliance
☐ Re-negoti	ate fees
☑ Failing all	actions, terminate contract with the manager
☑ Other, spe	ecify
	ure: Discuss with the manager to understand better their strategy. We could sell the fund on market if needed.
☐ No actions	s are taken if any of the ESG requirements are not met

	Monit	toring				
SAM	l 05	Mar	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
	SAM (5.1	When monitoring managers, indica information your organisation typica			nvestment

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	Private equity	Property	Infrastructure
ESG objectives linked to investment strategy (with examples)							
Evidence on how the ESG incorporation strategy(ies) affected the investment decisions and financial / ESG performance of the portfolio/fund	V						
Compliance with investment restrictions and any controversial investment decisions	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V	V		V		
ESG portfolio characteristics	V	V	V	V			
How ESG materiality has been evaluated by the manager in the monitored period							
Information on any ESG incidents							V
Metrics on the real economy influence of the investments							V
PRI Transparency Reports							
PRI Assessment Reports							
RI-promotion and engagement with the industry to enhance RI implementation							
Changes to the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation							
Other general RI							

considerations in inve management agreem specify		nt									
None of the above											
		monitor liance/pr		rnal manaç	gers,	does your orga	nis	ation set an	y of the follo	wing to	measure
	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Co	orporate		Corporate n-financial)		Private equity	Property	Infras	tructure
ESG score											
ESG weight											
ESG performance minimum threshold											
Real world economy targets											
Other RI considerations											
None of the above	V	V	V		V			V	V	V	
SAM 06 Manda	atory					Public		Additional	Assessed		PRI 1
SAM 06.1 When monitoring managers, indicate which of the following active ownership information your organisation typically reviews and evaluates from the investment manager in meetings/calls											

PRI Principles for Responsible Investment

Engagement

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	
Report on engagements undertaken (summary with metrics, themes, issues, sectors or similar)					
Report on engagement ESG impacts (outcomes, progress made against objectives and examples)					
Information on any escalation strategy taken after initial unsuccessful dialogue					
Alignment with any eventual engagement programme done internally	V	V	V		
Information on the engagement activities' impact on investment decisions					
Other RI considerations relating to engagement in investment management agreements; specify					
None of the above					

Outputs and outcomes

SAM 09 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,6

SAM 09.1

Provide examples of how ESG issues have been addressed in the manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring process for your organisation during the reporting year.



Topic or issue	Manager selection
Conducted by	☑ Internal staff
Asset class	☑ All asset classes
	☐ Listed Equity
	☐ Fixed income – SSA
	☐ Fixed income – corporate (financial)
l	☐ Fixed income – corporate (non financial)
l	☐ Private equity
	□ Property
	□ Infrastructure
Scope and process	Establish what are the ESG practices in place and assess the manager's development and performance in the area.
Outcomes	We make sure that those are in line with our ESG view and policies before making the final decision.
☐ Add Examp	ple 2
☐ Add Examp	ple 3
☐ Add Examp	ple 4
☐ Add Examp	ple 5
☐ Add Examp	ple 6
☐ Add Examp	ple 7
☐ We are not	able to provide examples

SAM 09.2 Additional information

The infrastructure team as a slightly different approach: 1) It establishes what are the ESG practices in place. 2) It makes sure that those are in line with our ESG view and policies before making the final decision.



Regime de Rentes du Mouvement Desjardins

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Overview

LEA 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

Attachment provided:

File 1:2019-03-04 Politique des droits de vote Approuvee par le CGP 2019-03-04(clean).pdf

O URL provided:

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- ☑ Conflicts of interest
- ☐ Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- ☑ Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- ☑ Expectations and objectives
- ☐ Engagement approach
- ☑ (Proxy) voting approach



		Voting					
'	☑ ESG iss	ues					
	☐ Prioritisation and scope of voting activities						
	✓ Methods of voting						
	 ☑ Transparency of voting activities ☐ Regional voting practice approaches ☐ Filing or co-filing resolutions ☐ Company dialogue pre/post-vote 						
	☑ Decision	n-making processes					
	☐ Securitie	es lending processes					
	☐ Other; (s	specify)					
	Other						
	None of the a	above					
○ No							
LEA 01.4	Do you o	outsource any of your acti	ve ownership a	ctivities to service providers?			
○ Yes							
No							
(Proxy) v	oting and s	shareholder resolutio	ns				
		shareholder resolutio		Descriptive	PRI 2		
	oting and s	shareholder resolutio	ns Public	Descriptive	PRI 2		
	landatory		Public		PRI 2		
LEA 12 M	landatory	shareholder resolutio	Public		PRI 2		
LEA 12 M	landatory	how you typically make y	Public		PRI 2		
LEA 12 M	Iandatory Indicate I	how you typically make y ach	Public our (proxy) votii	ng decisions.			
LEA 12.1 O We to	Indicate I Approa	how you typically make yach	Public our (proxy) voting	ng decisions. decisions without the use of s	service providers.		
LEA 12.1 O We uo	Indicate I Approa	how you typically make yach	Public our (proxy) voting	ng decisions.	service providers.		
LEA 12.1 O We uour voti We h	Approause our own renire service pring decisions.	how you typically make your cach esearch or voting team are coviders who make voting to voting to voting the coviders who make voting	Public our (proxy) voting and make voting recommendation	ng decisions. decisions without the use of s	service providers. that we use to guide		
LEA 12.1 O We uour voti We h	Approause our own renire service pring decisions.	how you typically make your cach esearch or voting team are coviders who make voting	Public our (proxy) voting and make voting recommendation	ng decisions. decisions without the use of some and/or provide research to	service providers. that we use to guide		
LEA 12.1 O We uour voti We h	Approause our own reing decisions. hire service prive review and	how you typically make yeach esearch or voting team are oviders who make voting to oviders who make voting the make voting decisions.	Public our (proxy) voting and make voting recommendation	ng decisions. decisions without the use of some and/or provide research to	service providers. that we use to guide		
LEA 12.1 O We uour voti We h	Approause our own reing decisions. hire service prive review and	how you typically make your cach esearch or voting team are coviders who make voting to voting to voting the coviders who make voting	Public our (proxy) voting and make voting recommendation	ng decisions. decisions without the use of some and/or provide research to	service providers. that we use to guide		
LEA 12.1 O We uow we hour voti We hour voti	Approause our own reing decisions. nire service prive review and	how you typically make yeach esearch or voting team are oviders who make voting to oviders who make voting the make voting decisions.	Public our (proxy) voting and make voting recommendation decisions on or	ng decisions. decisions without the use of some and/or provide research to	service providers. that we use to guide		
LEA 12.1 O We uour voti We hour voti We hour voti	Approause our own reing decisions. nire service prive review and	how you typically make your cach esearch or voting team and roviders who make voting downward make voting decisions.	Public our (proxy) voting and make voting recommendation decisions on or	ng decisions. decisions without the use of some and/or provide research to	service providers. that we use to guide		
LEA 12.1 O We LOW We hour voti We hour voti Where we have the where we have the week had been seen as a	Approause our own renire service pring decisions. The service-prouse our own votire.	how you typically make your cach esearch or voting team and roviders who make voting downward make voting decisions.	Public our (proxy) voting and make voting recommendation decisions on or	ng decisions. decisions without the use of some and/or provide research to	service providers. that we use to guide		
LEA 12.1 O We uow where	Approause our own renire service pring decisions. The service-prouse our own votire.	how you typically make your ach esearch or voting team are oviders who make voting downward make voting decisions.	Public our (proxy) voting and make voting recommendation decisions on or	ng decisions. decisions without the use of some and/or provide research to	service providers. that we use to guide		



LEA 12.2

Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

We investigate every occurrence where a vote has been casted against our policy. In the last year, this has happen only a couple time and was related to propositions, often on very specific issues from shareholders where our policy did not cover those specific issues.

LEA	13	Man	datory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
	LEA 1	3.1	Of the voting recommendations that percentage that was reviewed by you			rear, indicate the
			Percentage of voting recommendate	ations your orga	nisation reviewed	
	0 1	00-75%	6,			
	0 7	4-50%	,			
	0 4	9-25%	,			
	o 2	4-1%				
	\circ N	lone				
			Reasons for review			
		Specific	environmental and/or social issues			
	✓ \	otes co	oncerning significant holdings			
	✓ \	otes a	gainst management and/or abstention	ns		
		Conflicts	s of interest			
	☑ C	Corpora	te action, such as M &As, disposals,	etc.		
	\square \lor	otes co	oncerning companies with which we h	nave an active e	ngagement	
		Client re	equests			
		d-hoc	oversight of service provider			
	✓ S	Shareho	older resolutions			
		Share b	locked securities			
		Other (e	explain)			
LEA	15	Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
	LEA 1	5.1	Indicate the proportion of votes part service providers acting on your bel	ticipated in within half raised conc	n the reporting year in which w erns with companies ahead of	where you or the voting.
	O 100	%				
	O 99-	75%				
	O 74-	50%				
	O 49-2	25%				
	② 24-	1%				
	O Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting					



LEA	15.2	Indicate the reasons for raising you	r concerns with the	ese companies ahead of voting.	
□V	ote(s) co	ncerned selected markets			
\Box \lor	ote(s) co	ncerned selected sectors			
V	ote(s) co	ncerned certain ESG issues			
\square \vee	ote(s) co	ncerned companies exposed to conti	roversy on specific	ESG issues	
\Box V	ote(s) co	ncerned significant shareholdings			
□С	lient req	uest			
	ther				
16	Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
LEA	16.1	Indicate the proportion of votes who communicated the rationale to com recommendations. Indicate this as	panies for abstainir	ng or voting against management	
0 1	00%				
O 9	9-75%				
\circ 7	4-50%				
0 4	9-25%				
② 24	4-1%				
\circ V	e do no	t communicate the rationale to compa	nnies		
	ot applic mmenda	able because we and/or our service pations	providers did not ab	stain or vote against managemer	t
LEA	16.2	Indicate the reasons why your orga abstaining or voting against manag			nale for
✓V	ote(s) co	ncern selected markets			
\square V	ote(s) co	ncern selected sectors			
V	ote(s) co	ncern certain ESG issues			
V	ote(s) co	oncern companies exposed to controv	ersy on specific ES	SG issues	
\square V	ote(s) co	oncern significant shareholdings			
□С	lient req	uest			
	ther				
LEA	16.3	In cases where your organisation d management recommendations, inc			ng against
ΟY	es				
N	0				
17	Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
	Ividi	- Latery	- dono	O No Noodocu	11112



LEA 17.1

For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

• We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nea	arest 1%)		
	%			
98.1				
	Specify the basis on v	which this percentage is o	calculated	
Of the	ne total number of ballot item ne total number of company ne total value of your listed e of track or collect this informa	meetings at which you co	ould have voted	
LEA 17.2	Explain your reason(s) for	r not voting on certain ho	Idinas	
			141195	
	vere blocked		ianigo	
☐ Shares w			.u.i.go	
☐ Shares w	vere blocked allots or materials not receive		iango	
☐ Shares w ☐ Notice, b ☑ Missed d	vere blocked allots or materials not receive	ed on time	ianigo	
☐ Shares w ☐ Notice, b ☑ Missed d	vere blocked allots or materials not receive eadline	ed on time	iango	
□ Shares w□ Notice, b☑ Missed d□ Geograph□ Cost	vere blocked allots or materials not receive eadline hical restrictions (non-home)	ed on time		
□ Shares w □ Notice, b □ Missed d □ Geograph □ Cost □ Conflicts	vere blocked allots or materials not receive eadline hical restrictions (non-home)	ed on time		
□ Shares w □ Notice, b □ Missed d □ Geograph □ Cost □ Conflicts □ Holdings	vere blocked allots or materials not receive eadline hical restrictions (non-home) of interest deemed too small	ed on time market)	ents, ineligibility due to participa	ation in share
□ Shares w □ Notice, b □ Missed d □ Geograph □ Cost □ Conflicts □ Holdings ☑ Administr	vere blocked allots or materials not receive eadline hical restrictions (non-home) of interest deemed too small rative impediments (e.g., pov	ed on time market)		ation in share
□ Shares w □ Notice, b □ Missed d □ Geograph □ Cost □ Conflicts □ Holdings ☑ Administriplacement)	vere blocked allots or materials not receive eadline hical restrictions (non-home) of interest deemed too small rative impediments (e.g., pow	ed on time market)		ation in share



YesNo

LEA 19.2	Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following abstentions and/or votes against management.				
☐ Contacting	g the company's board				
☐ Contacting	g the company's senior management				
☐ Issuing a	☐ Issuing a public statement explaining the rationale				
☐ Initiating i	☐ Initiating individual/collaborative engagement				
☐ Directing service providers to engage					
☐ Reducing exposure (holdings) / divestment					
Other					

96,6% of our ballots are casted. We investigate the reasons why a vote was rejected or not voted. (PoA or clerical error etc.)



Regime de Rentes du Mouvement Desjardins

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Confidence building measures						
CM1 01	Mai	ndatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General	
CM1	01.1	Indicate whether the reported inforr year has undergone:	mation you have pr	ovided for your PRI Transparency	/ Report this	
□ Thi	rd par	y assurance over selected responses	from this year's PF	RI Transparency Report		
		ry assurance over data points from oth nis year	ner sources that ha	ve subsequently been used in yo	ur PRI	
	rd par	ry assurance or audit of the correct im r)	plementation of RI	processes (that have been report	ed to the	
		udit of the correct implementation of F he PRI this year)	RI processes and/or	r accuracy of RI data (that have b	een	
☑ Into	ernal v	erification of responses before submis	ssion to the PRI (e.	g. by the CEO or the board)		
(Who	le PRI Transparency Report has beer	n internally verified			
		cted data has been internally verified				
	ner, sp	•				
□ No	ne or t	he above				
CM1 02	Mai	ndatory	Public	Descriptive	General	
CM1		We undertook third party assurance		Transparency Report		
		RI Transparency Report was assured I				
		data was assured in last year's PRI T ot assure last year`s PRI Transparenc		τ		
		he above, we were in our preparation		nort last vear		
0 110	110 01 1	no above, we were in our proparation	your and did not to	port last your.		
CM1 03	Mai	ndatory	Public	Descriptive	General	
CM1	03.1	We undertake confidence building i Transparency Report:	measures that are (unspecific to the data contained in	n our PRI	
□W€	☐ We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme					
		out independent/third party assurance hich are included in this year's PRI To			report)	
□ ES	☐ ESG audit of holdings					
□ Oth	ner, sp	ecify				
☑ No	ne of t	he above				
CM1 04	Mai	ndatory	Public	Descriptive	General	



CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- O Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- O Selected data will be assured

☑ Investment Teams☑ Legal Department☐ Other (specify)

• We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1	CM1 07 Mandatory		Public	Descriptive	General	
	CM1 07.1 Indicate who has reviewed/verified Report . and if this applies to select				ransparency	
	Who h	nas co	nducted the verification			
	☐ CEO or other Chief-Level staff					
	☐ The Board					
	☐ Investment Committee					
	☐ Compliance Function					
	□ RI/E	SG T	eam			