



# RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2020

Itaú Asset Management





# About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

## Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
8	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Po	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



# Principles Index



Organisa	sational Overview						cip	le		General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG	·	8	n/a							
00 01	Signatory category and services	<b>✓</b>	Public							<b>√</b>
00 02	Headquarters and operational countries	<b>✓</b>	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	~	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							<b>√</b>
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
80 OO	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	8	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
00 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Private							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Public							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	8	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	8	n/a							✓
00 PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	8	n/a							✓
00 PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	8	n/a							✓
00 PR 03	Largest property types	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	8	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	✓	Private							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrate	CCStrategy and Governance					Principle				General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Public							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	8	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				<b>✓</b>			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		✓	Public							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
SG 14 CC		✓	Public							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Public							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				<b>✓</b>	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation						Principle			General	
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	8	n/a	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	8	n/a	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	8	n/a	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	8	n/a	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	<b>✓</b>	Public	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership						rin	General			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		<b>✓</b>					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		<b>✓</b>					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Fixed Income						Principle				General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 02	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
FI 03	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
FI 04	Types of screening applied	8	n/a	<b>✓</b>						
FI 05	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	8	n/a	<b>✓</b>						
FI 06	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	8	n/a	<b>✓</b>						
FI 07	Thematic investing - overview	8	n/a	<b>✓</b>						
FI 08	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	8	n/a	<b>✓</b>						
FI 09	Thematic investing - assessing impact	8	n/a	✓						
FI 10	Integration overview	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
FI 11	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
FI 12	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	✓	Public	✓						
FI 13	ESG incorporation in passive funds	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
FI 14	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Private		✓					
FI 15	Engagement method	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>	✓					
FI 16	Engagement policy disclosure	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 17	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Private							✓
FI 18	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>	✓					
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures						rin	General			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	8	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	✓	Public							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

# Itaú Asset Management

**Reported Information** 

Public version

Organisational Overview

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Bas	sic info	rmation			
00 01	Mai	ndatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
00	01.1	Select the services and funds	you offer		
Select th	e servic	es and funds you offer	% of asse	t under management (AU	M) in ranges
Fund mar	agemer	nt	<ul><li>○ 0%</li><li>○ &lt;10%</li><li>○ 10-50%</li><li>● &gt;50%</li></ul>	,	
Fund of fu	ınds, ma	nager of managers, sub-advised	products 0%	,	
Other			<ul><li>● 0%</li><li>○ &lt;10%</li><li>○ 10-50%</li><li>○ &gt;50%</li></ul>		
Total 100º	%				
		Further options (may be select	ed in addition to the a	above)	
	ledge fu und of h	nds nedge funds			
O 02	Mai	ndatory	Public	Peering	General
00	02.1	Select the location of your orga	anisation's headquart	ers.	
Bra	zil				
00	02.2	Indicate the number of countrie	es in which you have	offices (including your head	quarters).
01					
<ul><li>● 2</li><li>○ 6</li></ul>					



○ >10

Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

237

Additional information. [Optional]

Approximately 237 investment professionais based in São Paulo and New York.

00 0	3	Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	General
	OO 03	.1	Indicate whether you have subsidia their own right.	ries within your org	anisation that are also PRI sign	atories in
'	○ Yes					
	No					
00 0	4	Man	ndatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
	OO 04	.1	Indicate the year end date for your	reporting year.		
·	01/12/	2019				
	00 04	.2	Indicate your total AUM at the end of	of your reporting ye	ar.	

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		190	406	400	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD		190	406	400	000

 $\hfill\square$  Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

00 04.4

Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

 $\ensuremath{\square}$  Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach



OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

00 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

O as percentage breakdown

as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	<10%	0
Fixed income	>50%	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0



Hedge funds	10-50%	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3

Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

○ Yes

 $\bigcirc$  No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- O Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

00 0	9	Mand	latory	Public	Peering	General
	OO 09	.1	Indicate the breakdown of your orga	anisation's AUM by	market.	
			Developed Markets			
	3					
			Emerging Markets			
	97					
			Frontier Markets			



0

**Other Markets** 0 **Total 100%** 100% Asset class implementation gateway indicators 00 10 **Mandatory Public** Gateway General 00 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year. Listed equity - engagement ☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.  $\square$  We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Listed equity – voting ☑ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers ☐ We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf Fixed income SSA - engagement ☑ We engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers. ☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not. Fixed income Corporate (financial) - engagement

☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.

 $\Box$  We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Fixed income Corporate (non-financial) - engagement

 $\ensuremath{\square}$  We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.

 $\square$  We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

OO 11 Mandatory Public Gateway General

00 11.1

Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).



#### Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

#### Fixed income - SSA

- We address ESG incorporation.
- $\bigcirc$  We do not do ESG incorporation.

#### Fixed income - corporate (financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- $\bigcirc$  We do not do ESG incorporation.

#### Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

#### Hedge funds

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

00 12	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General

00 12.1

Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

#### Core modules

- ☑ Organisational Overview

#### RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

☑ Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- ☑ (Proxy) voting



Direct - Fixed Income ☑ Fixed income - SSA ☑ Fixed income - Corporate (financial) ☑ Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial) ☐ Hedge Funds and/or Fund of Hedge Funds Closing module ☑ Closing module **Peering questions OO LE 01 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Public Gateway General Disclose 00 LE** Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies. 01.1 Percentage of internally managed listed equities **Passive** 51 Active - quantitative (quant) 0 Active - fundamental and active - other 49 Total 100%

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report. This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

**Public** 

**Descriptive** 



**General** 

**Mandatory** 

**OO FI 03** 

OO FI 03.1

Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your SSA investments, by developed markets and emerging markets.

SSA		
		Developed markets
	5	
		Emerging markets
		Emerging markets
	95	
		Total
	100%	

OO FI 03.2

Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your corporate and securitised investments by investment grade or high-yield securities.

Туре	Investment grade (+/- 5%)	High-yield (+/- 5%)	Total internally managed
Corporate (financial)		○ >50%	
	O 10-50%	○ 10-50%	100%
	○ <10%	<b>●</b> <10%	
	○ 0%	O 0%	
Corporate (non-financial)	>50%	○ >50%	
	○ 10-50%	○ 10-50%	100%
	○ <10%	<b>●</b> <10%	
	○ 0%	○ 0%	

If you are invested in private debt and reporting on ratings is not relevant for you, please indicate below

 $\square$  OO FI 03.2 is not applicable as our internally managed fixed income assets are invested only in private debt.



# Itaú Asset Management

**Reported Information** 

Public version

Strategy and Governance

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



#### **Investment policy**

SG 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

#### Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	Applicable policies cover all AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	O Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☑ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties	
☑ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☐ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☐ Screening / exclusions policy	
☑ Engagement policy	
☑ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	



SG 01.3	Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following				
	☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments				
	stment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account				
☐ Time hori	zon of your investment				
☐ Governar	nce structure of organisational ESG responsibilities				
☑ ESG inco	rporation approaches				
	☑ Active ownership approaches				
☐ Reporting	□ Reporting				
☐ Climate c	☐ Climate change				
$\hfill \Box$ Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences					
☐ Other RI	☐ Other RI considerations, specify (1)				
☐ Other RI	☐ Other RI considerations, specify (2)				

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

IAM's SRI/ESG policy focuses on mainstream investment and ownership activities. Our belief is that ESG criteria can impact financial performance by presenting new risks and opportunities, which must be considered for an informed investment decision. IAM has in place a proprietary methodology to integrate Environmental, Social and Governance issues into its investment processes.

Our approach to Responsible Investment helps us achieve our fiduciary duties, creating value for our customers by providing better risk adjusted returns.

The ESG Integration Method of Itaú Asset Management incorporates these variables into our fundamental valuation models, by analyzing ESG impacts on cash flow.

The objective is to adjust individual target stock prices and to anticipate events that result in value creation or destruction. This approach provides flexibility to our portfolio managers, who use the ESG valuation inputs to varying degrees, according to their specific strategies and mandates. The method does not use negative screening to exclude sectors or companies and so reduce the investment universe. Nevertheless, such exclusions may be added as an additional filter for clients with exclusive funds or managed portfolios.

 $\bigcirc \ \mathsf{No}$ 

SG 01 CC		ndatory to Report Voluntary to close	Public	Descriptive	General
SG 01	.6	Indicate whether your organisation opportunities and factored this into			risks and

organisation's investment time horizon.

Yes

CC



Describe the identified transition and physical climate-related risks and opportunities and how they have been factored into the investment strategies/products.

Climate change risks and opportunities are part of a formal dimension in Itau Asset Management ESG integration model. Physical impacts, dissemination of diseases, changes in water cycle, carbon pricing, changes in agroforestry yields and the development of new products by investee companies are the value drivers approached by the ESG analyst depending on each company industry or sector of activity.

 $\bigcirc$  No

SG 01.7 CC

Indicate whether the organisation has assessed the likelihood and impact of these climate risks?

Yes

Describe the associated timescales linked to these risks and opportunities.

The broad climate change study and internal scenarios for economic sectors are estimated until 2050. Individual company ESG valuation models projecting specific impacts of climate change are focused on a 10 year time horizon and a perpetuity estimation segregated.

○ No

SG 01.8 CC

Indicate whether the organisation publicly supports the TCFD?

Yes

 $\bigcirc$  No

SG 01.9 CC Indicate whether there is an organisation-wide strategy in place to identify and manage material climate-related risks and opportunities.

Yes

Describe

The estimation of climate change impacts are an integral part of Itau Asset Management investment process for all investee companies.

 $\bigcirc$  No

SG 1.10 CC

Indicate the documents and/or communications the organisation uses to publish TCFD disclosures.

- $\hfill\square$  Public PRI Climate Transparency Report
- $\ensuremath{\square}$  Annual financial filings
- ☐ Regular client reporting
- ☐ Member communications
- Other



specify

White Paper on Climate Change: https://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dam/itau-assetmanagement/content/pdf/white-papers/Mudancas-Climaticas%20-%20White%20Paper.pdf

☐ We currently do not publish TCFD disclosures

SG 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 6

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

☑ Policy setting out your overall approach

**URL/Attachment** 

**☑** URL

URL

https://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dam/itau-asset-management/content/pdf/quemsomos/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf

- ☐ Attachment (will be made public)
- ☑ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties

URL/Attachment

**☑** URL

URL

 $\frac{https://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dahttps://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dahttps://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dahttps://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dahttps://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dahttps://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dahttps://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dahttps://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dahttps://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dahttps://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dahttps://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dahttps://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dahttps://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dahttps://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dahttps://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dahttps://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/pdf/quem-somos/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf$ 

- ☐ Attachment (will be made public)
- $\hfill \square$  Asset class-specific RI guidelines
- ☑ Engagement policy

URL/Attachment

**☑** URL



URL

https://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dam/itau-asset-management/content/pdf/quem-somos/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf
☐ Attachment (will be made public)  ☑ (Proxy) voting policy
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
https://www.itau.com.br/_arquivosestaticos/Itau/PDF/Empresas/Investimentos/itau-unibanco-e-itau-DTVM.pdf
☐ Attachment (will be made public)
☐ We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents
Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.
☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
https://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dam/itau-asset-management/content/pdf/quem-somos/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf
□ Attachment
☑ Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
https://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dam/itau-asset-management/content/pdf/quem-somos/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf

☐ Attachment

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$  ESG incorporation approaches



URL/Attachment 
☑ URL

URL

https://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dam/itau-asset-management/content/pdf/quemsomos/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf

☐ Attachment

☑ Active ownership approaches

**URL/Attachment** 

**☑** URL

**URL** 

https://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dam/itau-asset-management/content/pdf/quem-somos/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf

☐ Attachment

☐ We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3 Additional information [Optional].

Asset Class specific Listed Equities ESG model available at:

https://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dam/itau-asset-management/content/pdf/white-papers/ESG%20na%20avalia%C3%A7%C3%A3o%20de%20empresas.pdf#v=7715.html

Asset Class specific Fixed Income Corporate and Private Debt ESG model available at https://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dam/itau-asset-management/content/pdf/white-papers/ESG%20em%20renda%20fixa.pdf#v=7715.html

Itau Asset Management ESG model and the SDGs white paper available at: https://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dam/itau-asset-management/content/pdf/white-papers/Investimento%20Responsavel%20pela%20lente%20dos%20ODS%20-%20White%20Paper.pdf

SG 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2 Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Itaú Unibanco has clearly defined conflict of interest policies and procedures, which have been designed to identify and properly disclose, mitigate, and/or eliminate applicable conflicts of interest. However, written policies and procedures cannot address every potential conflict, so employees must use proper judgment in identifying and responding appropriately to actual or potential conflicts.

Conflicts of interest that involve Itaú on one hand and clients on the other hand, will generally be fully disclosed and/or resolved in a way that favours the interests of the clients. In some instances conflict of interests may



arise between two or more clients. Responding appropriately to these types of conflicts can be challenging, and may require robust disclosures if there is any appearance that one or more clients have been unfairly disadvantaged. Employees must notify the relevant compliance officer promptly if it appears that any actual or potential conflict of interest exists and has not been appropriately addressed. The compliance officer will document the firm's assessment of, and response to, such conflicts.

O No

SG 04	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	General
SG 04.1	Indicate if your organisation has a prince investee entities.	rocess for identifyi	ng and managing incidents that c	occur within
<ul><li>Yes</li></ul>				
○ No				
SG 04.2	Describe your process on managing	g incidents		

Investee companies incidents are monitored and companies are engaged in a timely manner. The objetive is to obtain additional information on the incident and to present the importance of an adequate incident management and transparency report by the company. Best ESG practices are incentivized in conference calls, regular meetings and in proxy voting activities.

### **Objectives and strategies SG 05 Mandatory Public Gateway/Core Assessed** General Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible SG 05.1 investment activities. O Quarterly or more frequently O Biannually Annually O Less frequently than annually O Ad-hoc basis O It is not set/reviewed SG 05.2 Additional information. [Optional]

RI implementation is not only an objective for Itaú Asset Management but also a corporate goal for the Itaú conglomerate. Because the research process is the cornerstone of IAM's RI approach, the ESG coverage of our investment universe is key. To date, our ESG model covers more than 95% of our total Assets Under Management.

Gove	Governance and human resources					
SG 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General		



SG 07.1

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

	Roles
☑ Board m	embers or trustees
□ Ove	ersight/accountability for responsible investment
□ Imp	lementation of responsible investment
☑ No	oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Internal I	Roles (triggers other options)
	Select from the below internal roles
	ef Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), ment Committee
v	Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
	Implementation of responsible investment
	No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☐ Oth	er Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
☑ Por	tfolio managers
	Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
V	Implementation of responsible investment
	No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Inve	estment analysts
	Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
V	Implementation of responsible investment
	No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Dec	licated responsible investment staff
V	Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
v	Implementation of responsible investment
	No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
□ Inve	estor relations
☐ Oth	er role, specify (1)
☐ Oth	er role, specify (2)
	managers or service providers
□ Ove	ersight/accountability for responsible investment
✓ Imp	lementation of responsible investment
□ No	oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment



SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

C-level staff with oversight / accountability approve our RI goals and monitor them annually. Such goals also become part of their performance targets and influence executive compensation.

Dedicated PMs and analysts propose RI goals and means to achieve them, becoming responsible for implementation as it entails processes development, hiring of external providers and dissemination to other PMs and analysts.

Other PMs and analysts are required to incorporate ESG topics in their investment recomendations to be discussed in investment committees.

SG 07.3	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.		
	Number		
1			
SG 07.4	Additional information. [Optional]		

Dedicated RI staff include one full time ESG analyst.

SG 0	7 CC		datory to Report Voluntary to close	Public	Descriptive	General
	SG 07.	.5	Indicate the roles in the organisation responsibilities for climate-related is		ht, accountability and/or manage	ment
			Board members or trustees			
☐ Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues						
☐ Assessment and management of climate-related issues						
✓ No responsibility for climate-related issues						
			Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Investment Committee	Chief Investment C	Officer (CIO), Chief Risk Officer (C	CRO),
		☑ Ov	rersight/accountability for climate-rela	ted issues		
		□ As	sessment and management of climate	e-related issues		
		□ No	responsibility for climate-related issu	ies		
			Portfolio managers			
		☑ Ov	rersight/accountability for climate-rela	ted issues		
		□ As	sessment and management of climate	e-related issues		
		□ No	responsibility for climate-related issu	ies		



			Investment analysts					
			sight/accountability for climate-rela	ted issues				
	☐ Assessment and management of climate-related issues							
	☐ No responsibility for climate-related issues							
			Dedicated responsible investment	ent staff				
	☑ Oversight/accountability for climate-real			ted issues				
			essment and management of climat	e-related issues				
		□ No re	esponsibility for climate-related issu	ies				
			External managers or service p	providers				
		□ Over	rsight/accountability for climate-rela	ted issues				
		✓ Asse	essment and management of climat	and management of climate-related issues				
		□ No re	esponsibility for climate-related issu	ıes				
	Pron	noting re	esponsible investment					
SG 09		noting re		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5		
SG 09		Manda		n and/or initiatives	of which your organisation is a m			
SG 09	9 SG 09	Manda	atory Select the collaborative organisatio which it participated during the repo	n and/or initiatives	of which your organisation is a m			
SG 09	SG 09	Manda 9.1	Select the collaborative organisation which it participated during the reposarply	n and/or initiatives	of which your organisation is a m			
SG 09	SG 09	Manda 9.1	atory Select the collaborative organisatio which it participated during the repo	n and/or initiatives	of which your organisation is a m			
SG 09	SG 09	Manda 9.1	Select the collaborative organisation which it participated during the reposit apply r Responsible Investment	n and/or initiatives orting year, and the	of which your organisation is a m			
SG 09	SG 09	Manda 9.1	Select the collaborative organisation which it participated during the reportangle of the second sec	n and/or initiatives orting year, and the	of which your organisation is a morole you played.			
SG 09	SG 09	Manda 9.1 ct all that inciples fo	Select the collaborative organisation which it participated during the reportangle of the second sec	n and/or initiatives orting year, and the	of which your organisation is a morole you played.			
SG 09	SG 09	Manda 9.1  ct all that inciples fo	Select the collaborative organisation which it participated during the reportangle of the participated during the reportangle of the participated during the participat	n and/or initiatives orting year, and the	of which your organisation is a morole you played.			
SG 09	SG 09	Manda 9.1  ct all that inciples fo	Select the collaborative organisation which it participated during the reportangle apply a	n and/or initiatives orting year, and the	of which your organisation is a morely role you played.  reporting period (see definitions)	ember or in		
SG 09	SG 09	Manda 9.1  ct all that inciples fo	Select the collaborative organisation which it participated during the reportangle apply a	n and/or initiatives orting year, and the	of which your organisation is a morole you played.	ember or in		

- Active participation in Brazil's network
- Participation events together with PRI staff to promote the initiative and RI.



☐ Asian Corporate Governance Association
☐ Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
□ AVCA: Sustainability Committee
☐ France Invest – La Commission ESG
□ BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
☑ CDP Climate Change
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
□ Basic
☐ Moderate
☑ Advanced
Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.  [Optional]
Engagement with CDP representatives providing assistence and feedback on studies. Promoting the importance of the initiative to investee companies.
☑ CDP Forests
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic
☐ Moderate
☐ Advanced
☑ CDP Water



		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)			
	☑ Basic				
	☐ Moderate				
	☐ Advan	ced			
	CFA Institute	Centre for Financial Market Integrity			
	Climate Action	100+			
	Code for Resp	ponsible Investment in SA (CRISA)			
	Council of Inst	titutional Investors (CII)			
	Eumedion				
	Extractive Indu	ustries Transparency Initiative (EITI)			
	ESG Research	h Australia			
	Invest Europe	Responsible Investment Roundtable			
	Global Investo	ors Governance Network (GIGN)			
	Global Impact	Investing Network (GIIN)			
	☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)				
$\sqrt{}$	Green Bond P	rinciples			
		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)			
	☐ Basic				
	□ Moder	ate			
		ced			
		Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]			
		egularly participates in the LAB initiative, an initiative in connection with GBP to promote green ment in Brazilian regulatory framework.			
	HKVCA: ESG	Committee			
	Institutional In	vestors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)			
	☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)				
	☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)				
	☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)				
	International I	ntegrated Reporting Council (IIRC)			
		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)			
	☑ Basic				
	□ Moder	ate			
	☐ Advan	ced			



Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

IIRC promotion when engaging with investee companies.

☑ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic
☐ Moderate
☐ Advanced
Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
Participation in the investor water hub, contributing to the discussion on water pricing and integration into the investment process.
□ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
☐ Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
☐ Principles for Sustainable Insurance
☐ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
☐ Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
☑ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
□ Basic
☑ Moderate
☐ Advanced
Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.  [Optional]
Participation in meetings related to SDGs promotion.
☑ United Nations Global Compact
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
□ Basic
☐ Moderate
☑ Advanced



Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Itau is a signatory of the Global Compact. IAM is incorporating the SDGs in its ESG models.

☑ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

The Brazilian Association of Capital Markets Investors (AMEC)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions) ☐ Basic □ Moderate Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional] Itau is a founding member o AMEC. AMEC focuses on protecting minority shareholders and advancing corporate governance in the Brazilian market. Itaú is also a member of the Technical Committee and regularly participates in meetings and colaborative initiatives to protect minority shareholders. IAM participates in several meetings during the year. Always focused in protecting minority shareholders in the local market. ☑ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify ANBIMA (Brazilian Association of Financial and Capital Markets) Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions) □ Basic □ Moderate

> Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Itau Asset Managment participates in several ANBIMA technical committees (ETF's, investment Funds, treasury, product distribution, corporate finance, equity funds, credit funds, private equitry funds, fixed income funds, hedge funds, private banking, real estate products, compliance, legal and sustainability).

IAM currently chairs the Sustainability Committee.

	Other	collaborative	organisation/initiative,	specify
П	Other	collaborative	organisation/initiative	specify

**SG 10 Mandatory Public Core Assessed** PRI 4



**SG 10.1** 

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

☑ Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

Description

ESG and Responsible Investment presentations to clients and peers

Frequency of contribution

- O Quarterly or more frequently
- O Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other
- $\hfill\square$  Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- ☑ Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work

Description

Presentations about RI at Academia FGV during 2019.

Frequency of contribution

- O Quarterly or more frequently
- O Biannually
- O Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

☑ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

Description

Individual engagements with 93 companies during 2019.



		Frequency of contribution	
Quarterly or more frequently			
	O Biannua	lly	
	<ul> <li>Annually</li> </ul>		
	O Less fre	quently than annually	
	<ul><li>Ad hoc</li></ul>		
	Other		
$\checkmark$	Spoke public	ly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment	
		Description	
	Participation i	in 10 public events speaking about ESG and RI in 2019, with a total of ~1000 participants.	
		Frequency of contribution	
•	<ul><li>Quarterl</li></ul>	y or more frequently	
	O Biannua	lly	
	<ul><li>Annually</li></ul>		
	O Less fre	quently than annually	
	O Ad hoc		
	Other		
	Wrote and pu	ıblished in-house research papers on responsible investment	
$\checkmark$	Encouraged	the adoption of the PRI	
		Description	
	Participation	as speaker or panelist at 10 events related to RI during 2019.	
		Frequency of contribution	
	O Quarterl	y or more frequently	
	O Biannua	lly	
	<ul><li>Annually</li></ul>		
	O Less fre	quently than annually	
	<ul><li>Ad hoc</li></ul>		
	Other		
$\checkmark$	Responded to	o RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)	
		Description	

Participation in the LAB initiative, fostering the regulatory framework for RI and Green Bonds in Brazil



	Frequency of contribution
○ Quarter	y or more frequently
○ Biannua	ılly
○ Annually	
O Less fre	quently than annually
<ul><li>Ad hoc</li></ul>	
Other	
☑ Wrote and pu	ablished articles on responsible investment in the media
	Description
Published on	e article on Valor Newspaper on RI
	Frequency of contribution
○ Quarter	y or more frequently
O Biannua	ılly
<ul> <li>Annually</li> </ul>	
O Less fre	quently than annually
<ul><li>Ad hoc</li></ul>	
Other	
A member of	PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
	Description
Member of th	e Brazilian PRI engagement group
	Frequency of contribution
○ Quarter	y or more frequently
O Biannua	ılly
○ Annually	
O Less fre	quently than annually
<ul><li>Ad hoc</li></ul>	
○ Other	
	l of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
☐ Other, specif	
)	

SG 10.3

Describe any additional actions and initiatives that your organisation has taken part in during the reporting year to promote responsible investment [Optional]

ESG in credit ratings event, 30 attendees

SDG Investment Forum, 200 attendees

Desafios ESG na Industria Fundos Brasileira ANBIMA, 50 attendees

Conexão Carbono CDP, 150 attendees



FGV Semana de Finanças na Nova Economia, 100 attendees

Evento ODS ESG LATAM, 55 attendees

Carbon Pricing Webinar (CDP, Generation Foundation & Navigant), 100 attendees

Regional Roundtable on Sustainable Finance, 120 attendees

Lançamento da plataforma IPC, 70 attendees

Evento Impactos no Itaú Private, 90 attendees

#### Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- ☐ Yes, we use investment consultants
- ☑ No, we do not use investment consultants.

#### **ESG** issues in asset allocation

SG 13 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1

SG 13.1

Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).

☑ Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors

Describe

A scenario analysis is prepared for each E &S estimated issue in IAM ESG model. Scenarios are modeled and impacts estimated in a 10 year time horizon, plus perpetuity.

☑ Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe

Climate change is a formal dimension in IAM ESG integration model. A scenario analysis is prepared for each climate change estimated issue in IAM ESG model. Scenarios are modeled and impacts estimated in a 10 year time horizon, plus perpetuity.

 $\ \square$  No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 13.2

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.



	We do the following			
☐ Alloc	ation between asset classes			
✓ Dete	rmining fixed income duration			
□ Alloc	ation of assets between geographic ma	arkets		
Secte     Secte	or weightings			
☐ Othe	r, specify			
□Weo	lo not consider ESG issues in strategic	asset allocation		
G 13.3	Additional information. [OPTIONAL	-]		
nate chan	ed scenarios are based on a 2°C scena ge and its expected impacts in Brazil o erent industries can be impacted by clir	utlines possible outo		
	andatory to Report Voluntary to isclose	Public	Descriptive	General
		<u>'</u>		
G 13.4 C	Describe how your organisation is opportunities, including how the ar			
Initial a	ssessment			
	Describe			
	al assessment considers company / ind ion costs, disieses transmissions and o			icing,
1 Incorpo				
	ration into investment analysis			
	ration into investment analysis  Describe			
Climate in every IAM ES	·	xed income issuers. vestments needed f	Climate change is formally a dim or climate resilience and higher c	ension in apex for
Climate in every IAM ES extreme	Describe  related impacts and opportunities as p r investment analysis for equities and fit G integration model. Carbon pricing, in	xed income issuers. vestments needed f	Climate change is formally a dim or climate resilience and higher c	ension in apex for



SG 13.5 CC	Indicate who uses this analysis.
☐ Board me	mbers, trustees, C-level roles, Investment Committee
☑ Portfolio r	nanagers
☐ Dedicated	I responsible investment staff
□ External r	nanagers
☐ Investmer	nt consultants/actuaries
☐ Other	
SG 13.6 CC	Indicate whether your organisation has evaluated the potential impact of climate-related risks, beyond the investment time horizon, on its investment strategy.
<ul><li>Yes</li></ul>	
	Describe
Climate cl	nange scenarios are estimated until 2050. For specific company valuations, it is used a 10 year time
nonzon.	
○ No	
SG 13.7 CC	Indicate whether a range of climate scenarios is used.
☐ Analysis b	pased on a 2°C or lower scenario
☐ Analysis b	pased on an abrupt transition, consistent with the Inevitable Policy Response
☐ Analysis k	pased on a 4°C or higher scenario
☑ No, a rang	ge is not used
SG 13.8 CC	Indicate the climate scenarios your organisation uses.



Provider		Scenario used			
IEA		☐ Beyond 2 Degrees Scenario (B2DS)			
IEA		☐ Energy Technology Perspectives (ETP) 2 Degrees scenario			
IEA		☐ Sustainable Development Scenario (SDS)			
IEA		☐ New Policy Scenario (NPS)			
IEA		☐ Current Policy Scenario (CPS	,)		
IRENA		□ RE Map			
Greenpeace		☐ Advanced Energy [R]evolution	1		
Institute for Sustainable Development		☐ Deep Decarbonisation Pathware Project (DDPP)	зу		
Bloomberg		☐ BNEF reference scenario			
IPCC		☐ Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5	1		
IPCC		□ RPC 6			
IPCC		□ RPC 4.5			
IPCC		□ RPC 2.6			
Other		☑ Other (1)	Focus	er (1) please specify:	
Other		☐ Other (2)			
Other		☐ Other (3)			
SG 14	Mandat Disclos	ory to Report Voluntary to e	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1



SG 14.1	following are considered.
	demographics
☑ Climate cl	nange
☑ Resource	scarcity
	gical developments
☐ Other, spe	ecify(1)
☐ Other, spe	ecify(2)
☐ None of the	ne above
	Indicate which of the following activities you have undertaken to respond to climate change risk and
SG 14.2	opportunity
☐ Establishe	ed a climate change sensitive or climate change integrated asset allocation strategy
☐ Targeted	low carbon or climate resilient investments
☐ Phase out	your investments in your fossil fuel holdings
☐ Reduced	portfolio exposure to emissions intensive or fossil fuel holdings
	ssions data or analysis to inform investment decision making
	mate change integration by companies
☐ Sought cli	mate supportive policy from governments
☐ Other, spe	ecify
□ None of the property of	ne above
SG 14.3	Indicate which of the following tools the organisation uses to manage climate-related risks and
SG 14.3	opportunities.
SG 14.3  ☑ Scenario	opportunities. analysis
SG 14.3  ☑ Scenario a □ Disclosure	opportunities.  analysis es on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries
SG 14.3  ☑ Scenario a □ Disclosure □ Climate-re	opportunities.  analysis es on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries elated targets
SG 14.3  ☑ Scenario a □ Disclosure □ Climate-re ☑ Encourag	opportunities.  analysis es on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries elated targets ing internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risks
SG 14.3  Scenario a Disclosure Climate-re Encourag Emissions	opportunities.  analysis as on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries elated targets ing internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risks s-risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers
SG 14.3  Scenario a Disclosure Climate-re Encourag Emissions Weighted	opportunities.  analysis es on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries elated targets ing internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risks s-risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers average carbon intensity
SG 14.3  Scenario a Disclosure Climate-re Encourag Emissions Weighted Carbon fo	opportunities.  analysis as on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries elated targets ing internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risks s-risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers average carbon intensity otprint (scope 1 and 2)
SG 14.3  Scenario a Disclosure Climate-re Encourag Emissions Weighted Carbon fo	opportunities.  analysis es on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries elated targets ing internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risks s-risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers average carbon intensity
SG 14.3  Scenario a Disclosure Climate-re Encourag Emissions Weighted Carbon fo	opportunities.  analysis as on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries elated targets ing internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risks s-risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers average carbon intensity otprint (scope 1 and 2) arbon footprint on emissions
SG 14.3  Scenario a Disclosure Climate-re Encourag Emissions Weighted Carbon for Portfolio o	opportunities.  analysis as on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries elated targets ing internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risks s-risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers average carbon intensity otprint (scope 1 and 2) arbon footprint on emissions
SG 14.3  Scenario a Disclosure Climate-re Encourag Emissions Weighted Carbon fo Portfolio c Total carb Carbon in Exposure	analysis as on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries elated targets ing internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risks s-risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers average carbon intensity otprint (scope 1 and 2) arbon footprint on emissions tensity
SG 14.3  Scenario a Disclosure Climate-re Encourag Emissions Weighted Carbon fo Portfolio c Total carb Carbon in Exposure	analysis es on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries elated targets ing internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risks e-risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers average carbon intensity otprint (scope 1 and 2) earbon footprint on emissions tensity to carbon-related assets essions metrics
SG 14.3  Scenario a Disclosure Climate-re Encourag Emissions Weighted Carbon fo Portfolio o Total carb Carbon in Exposure	analysis as on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries elated targets ing internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risks s-risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers average carbon intensity otprint (scope 1 and 2) arbon footprint on emissions tensity to carbon-related assets assions metrics acify



SG 14.5

Additional information [Optional]

Resource scarcity is integrated into DCF analysis by the ESG analyst according to sector materiality.

Development of a Portfolio Carbon footprint tool to encourage internal PM's to better understand carbon risk in their portfolios.

SG 14 CC Voluntary Public General

SG 14.6 CC

Provide further details on the key metric(s) used to assess climate-related risks and opportunities.

Metric Type	Coverage	Purpose	Metric Unit	Metric Methodology
Carbon footprint (scope 1 and 2)	☐ All assets ☐ Majority of assets ☐ Minority of assets	Estimate carbon pricing impact on investee companies	R\$ per Ton CO2e	
Portfolio carbon footprint	☐ All assets ☐ Majority of assets ☑ Minority of assets	Flagship funds have a carbon footprint which is compared to fund benchmark	Ton Co2e vs. benchmark Ton CO2e	
Exposure to carbon-related assets	☐ All assets ☐ Majority of assets ☐ Minority of assets			

SG 14.8 CC

Indicate whether climate-related risks are integrated into overall risk management and explain the risk management processes used for identifying, assessing and managing climate-related risks.

- O Processes for climate-related risks are integrated into overall risk management
- Processes for climate-related risks are not integrated into overall risk management

Please describe

Climate related risks are integrated into company valuations but not as a systemic overall risk management metric.

SG 14.9 CC Indicate whether your organisation, and/or external investment manager or service providers acting on your behalf, undertake active ownership activities to encourage TCFD adoption.

Yes



Please describe

IAM encourages TCFD, IIRC and SDG reporting when engagin with investee companies.

- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$  No, we do not undertake active ownership activities.
- O No, we do not undertake active ownership activities to encourage TCFD adoption.

SG 1	5		ndatory to Report Voluntary to close	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
	SG 15.	.1	Indicate if your organisation allocate environmental and social themed a		nages, funds based on specific	
	O Yes	:				

#### Asset class implementation not reported in other modules

SG 16 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

SG 16.1

No

Describe how you address ESG issues for internally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved		
Hedge funds - DDQ	Select whether you have responded to the PRI Hedge Fund DDQ  O Yes  No		
Hedge funds	Hedge Funds buy listed equities as well, and therefore may use our proprietary ESG research in decision making. This is, however, at the discretion of each PM.		

#### **Innovation**

SG 18 Voluntary Public Descriptive General

SG 18.1

Indicate whether any specific features of your approach to responsible investment are particularly innovative.

Yes



SG 18.2

Describe any specific features of your approach to responsible investment that you believe are particularly innovative.

Itau Asset Management approach is centered in the investment research process, with a focus on fundamental equity / credit research. This is unprecedented in an emerging markets, according to peer benchmark we conducted (other players doing best-in-class or using ratings to restrict investable universe). Our proprietary method projects ESG issues into the DCF and credit rating models, focusing on the cash flow lines, thus influencing target prices / ratings of companies we cover and, ultimately, buy-hold-sell decisions by our PMs.

 $\bigcirc$  No

## Communication **SG 19 Mandatory Public Core Assessed** PRI 2, 6 Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. SG 19.1 Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information. Listed equity - Incorporation Do you disclose? O We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only. We disclose it publicly The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same Yes $\bigcirc$ No



Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	
Broad approach to ESG incorporation	
Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used	
Frequency	
☐ Quarterly or more frequently	
☐ Biannually	
□ Annually	
☐ Less frequently than annually	
☑ Ad-hoc/when requested	
https://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dam/itau-asset-management/content/pdf/white-papers/ESG%20na%20avalia%C3%A7%C3%A3o%20de%20empresas.pdf	
Listed equity - Engagement	
Do you disclose?	
O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.	
○ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.	
● We disclose to the public	
The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same	



 $\bigcirc$  No

Disclosure to p	public and URL	
	Disclosure to public and URL	
✓ Details on the	e overall engagement strategy	
	e selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and	
	ngagements undertaken	
☐ Breakdown o	of engagements by type/topic	
☑ Breakdown o	of engagements by region	
☐ An assessme	ent of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives	
☐ Examples of	engagement cases	
	ventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing uing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.)	
☐ Details on wh	nether the provided information has been externally assured	
☐ Outcomes th	at have been achieved from the engagement	
☐ Other inform	ation	
☐ Biannually ☑ Annually ☐ Less frequen ☐ Ad-hoc/wher	more frequently  Intly than annually in requested  URL  ecbrasil.org.br/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/relatorio-stewardship-itau-2018.pdf	
	Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting	
	Do you disclose?	
○ We	do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.	
○ We	disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.	
<ul><li>We</li></ul>	disclose to the public	
	The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same	
•	Yes	
С	No	



	TI
Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclose all voting decisions	
O Disclose some voting decisions	
Only disclose abstentions and votes against management	
Frequency	
☑ Quarterly or more frequently	
□ Biannually	
□ Annually	
☐ Less frequently than annually	
☐ Ad hoc/when requested	
URL	
http://www.itau.com.br	
Fixed income	
Fixed income	
Do you disclose?	
<ul> <li>We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.</li> </ul>	
○ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.	
We disclose to the public	

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same





YesNo

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	
O Broad approach to RI incorporation	
<ul> <li>Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used</li> </ul>	
Frequency	
□ Quarterly	
□ Biannually	
☐ Annually	
☐ Less frequently than annually	
☑ Ad hoc/when requested	
URL  https://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dam/itau-asset-management/content/pdf/white-papers/ESG%20em%20renda%20fixa.pdf	

Hedge Funds

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- $\bigcirc$  We disclose to the public

SG 19.2 Additional information [Optional]

IAM discloses its ESG models for fixed income and equities publicly in its website and also in meetings and public events. The models are shared with Brazilian investment industry and IAM has received visits from clients and other investment managers interested in aditional information on ESG integration. The focus is to spread the importance of ESG integration and reponsible investment in the markets IAM operates.



# Itaú Asset Management

**Reported Information** 

Public version

**Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation** 

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



#### ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

particular strategy/strategies.

1	Manda	atory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
L	-EI 01.1	Indicate which ESG incorporation actively managed listed equities strategy or combination of strate	s; and the breako		
E	-	oration strategy (select all that	• • • • •		
		ening alone (i.e., not combined wi	,	9 ,	
		natic alone (i.e., not combined wit	•		
	✓ Integ	gration alone (i.e., not combined w	ith any other stra	ategies)	
Per	centage of	active listed equity to which the			
	•	ied — you may estimate +/-	%		
5%			100		
			100		
	□ Scre	ening and integration strategies			
		natic and integration strategies			
	□ Ther	natic and integration strategies ening and thematic strategies			
	□ Ther				
	☐ Ther☐ Scre	ening and thematic strategies	es		
	☐ Ther☐ Scre	ening and thematic strategies aree strategies combined	es		

We consider ESG issues to the extent that they can materially affect the value of our investments, thus helping us deliver better risk-adjusted returns to our customers.

Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the

The Brazilian stock market is very concentrated in a few sectors, thus making it difficult for a large player such as Itaú Asset Management to devise thematic strategies or screening across the entire portfolio. Therefore, we have chosen to apply integration for all of IAM strategies. Our Integration approach fits better with our investment philosophy, which prioritises fundamental approaches with a strong focus on internal research. By integrating ESG into the equity research process, our analysts may give customized recommendations to our PMs, taking into consideration specificities of each strategy (long-only, long-short, benchmark used, etc).

LEI 02 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 1



**LEI 01.2** 

#### Type of ESG information

☑ Raw ESG company data

Indicate who provides this information
☑ ESG research provider
☑ Sell-side
☑ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
☑ In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
☑ Company-related analysis or ratings
Indicate who provides this information
☑ ESG research provider
☑ Sell-side
$\ensuremath{ert}$ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
$\square$ In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
☑ Sector-related analysis or ratings
Indicate who provides this information
☑ ESG research provider
☑ Sell-side
☑ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
☐ In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
☑ Country-related analysis or ratings
Indicate who provides this information
☑ ESG research provider
☐ Sell-side
☑ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
☐ In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
☐ Screened stock list
☑ ESG issue-specific analysis or ratings
Indicate who provides this information
☑ ESG research provider
☑ Sell-side
☑ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
☐ In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
☐ Other, specify



LEI 02.2

Indicate whether you incentivise brokers to provide ESG research.

Yes

LEI 02.3 Describe how you incentivise brokers

Since 2012 IAM formally considers ESG research material in its sell side evaluation process.

In 2011, Itaú Asset Management and other Brazilian PRI signatories committed to incentivise brokers by adding ESG-related criteria to their broker review process. An industry wide event hosted by Itau Asset Management was set up to communicate the initiative and attracted more than 10 brokers. Itaú Asset Management implemented the measure along 2012 and in the end of that year repeated the event to report on progress.

 $\bigcirc$  No

#### (C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

LEI 08 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

**LEI 08.1** 

Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and G factors are systematically researched as part of your investment analysis.

	T		
ESG issues	Proportion impacted by analysis		
	1 Toportion impacted by unarysis		
Environmental			
	Environmental		
	O <10%		
	○ 10-50%		
	○ 51-90%		
Social			
	Social		
	O <10%		
	○ 10-50%		
	○ 51-90%		
	>90%		
Corporate			
Governance	Corporate Governance		
	O <10%		
	○ 10-50%		
	○ 51-90%		
	>90%		



LEI 08.2

Additional information. [Optional]

The ESG analyst systematically updates analysts and PMs on ESG issues. Additionally, the NPV of material E&S issues is available to all analysts and PMs. Questions and feedback on the analysis are used by the ESG analyst to adjust the assumptions used in the models.

A Corporate Governance rating is in place and focuses at better understantding investee companies governance structure and its potencial risks. The rating is coordinated by the ESG analyst with contributions from research analysts.

LEI 09	Mandato	ory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
	LEI 09.1	Indicate which processes your analysis.	organisation uses	to ensure ESG integration is base	ed on robust
		ensive ESG research is undertak	en or sourced to de	etermine companies' activities an	d products
	Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on th and correct inaccuracies				
	☑ Third-part	ty ESG ratings are updated regul	arly		
	☑ A periodice	review of the internal research i	s carried out		
		d, regular ESG specific meetings vestments team	between responsil	ble investment staff and the fund	manager or
	☑ ESG risk	profile of a portfolio against bend	chmark		
	$\hfill \square$ Analysis of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance				
	☐ Other; specify				
	☐ None of the above				
LEI 09.2 Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.				ct to	
	○ <10%				
	○ 10-50%				
	○ 51-90%				
	● >90%				
LEI 09.3 Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strate updated.					rategy are
	O Quarterly	or more frequently			
	O Bi-Annua	lly			
	<ul><li>Annually</li></ul>				
	O Less freq	uently than annually			



LEI 09.4	Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration strategy.		
O Quarterly	or more frequently		
O Bi-Annual	ly		
Annually			
○ Less frequ	uently than annually		
LEI 09.5	Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.		
☑ ESG infor	mation is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff		
	☑ ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff		
•	☑ Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment decisions		
☐ Other; spe	☐ Other; specify		
☐ None of th	☐ None of the above		
LEI 09.6	Additional information. [Optional]		

At the end of 2019 ESG covererage reached more than 95% of Itaú Asset Management total AuM.

	ESG incorporation in passively managed listed equities					
LEI 1	1		datory to Report Voluntary to lose	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
	LEI 11.	1	Indicate if you manage passive liste construction methodology.	ed equity funds that	incorporate ESG factors in the in	ndex
	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li></ul>					
	LEI 11.	2	Indicate the percentage of your total incorporated in the index construction		uity funds for which ESG factors a	are
			ESG incorporation in index constr	ruction methodolog	y (% of total passive listed equity	funds)
	⊚ <1	10%				
	O 10	)-50%				
	○ 51	1-90%				
	O >9	90%				



LEI 11.3

Specify index/fund name, provide a brief description of ESG methodology and indicate which of the following ESG incorporation strategies you apply.

✓ Index/fund 1

Index/fund name and brief description of ESG methodology	ESG incorporation strategy
ETF It Now ISE	☐ Screening
The Corporate Sustainability Index (ISE) is constructed based on analysis of an ESG	☐ Thematic
questionnaire sent annually to eligible companies by BM&FBOVESPA and a research provider.	✓ Integration of ESG factors
	□ Other

☑ Index/fund 2

Index/fund name and brief description of ESG methodology	ESG incorporation strategy
ETF It Now IGCT	☑ Screening
The Corporate Governance Trade Index (IGCT) includes only Brazilian companies listed at the differentiated levels of corporate governance of BM&FBOVESPA	☐ Thematic
	☐ Integration of ESG factors
	□ Other

☑ Index/fund 3

Index/fund name and brief description of ESG methodology	ESG incorporation strategy
Itau Exelcencia Social is an open end fund which tracks the ISE index. The Corporate	☐ Screening
Sustainability Index (ISE) is constructed based on analysis of an ESG questionnaire sent annually to eligible companies by BM&FBOVESPA and a research provider.	☐ Thematic
	✓ Integration of ESG factors
	☐ Other

☐ Index/fund 4

☐ Index/fund 5

#### **Outputs and outcomes**

LEI 12 Voluntary Public Descriptive PRI 1

LEI 12.1

Indicate how your ESG incorporation strategies have influenced the composition of your portfolio(s) or investment universe.

☑ Integration of ESG factors



		Select which of these effects followed your ESG integration.		
	☐ Reduce o	or prioritise the investment universe		
	☐ Overweig	ght/underweight at sector level		
		ght/underweight at stock level		
	☑ Buy/sell c	decisions		
		nent / Voting		
	☐ Other; sp	pecify		
	☐ None of t	he above		
lı	ndex incorporating ESG factors (for passively managed funds)			

Describe the influence on composition or other effects

ETF It Now ISE (B3 Sustainability index) reduces the investment universe to up to 40 companies, as compared to the main index (Ibovespa) with  $\sim$ 70 companies.

For indexed strategies, ESG information is used for active ownership purposes, contributing to proxy voting process and engagement initiatives.



 $\checkmark$ 

# Itaú Asset Management

**Reported Information** 

Public version

**Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership** 

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



		W

LEA 01

**Mandatory** 

**Public** 

**Core Assessed** 

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

**LEA 01.1** 

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

**LEA 01.2** 

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

- O Attachment provided:
- URL provided:

**URL** 

https://www.itauassetmanagement.com.br/content/dam/itau-asset-management/content/pdf/quem-somos/Principios-Investimento-Responsavel.pdf

**LEA 01.3** 

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- ☑ Conflicts of interest
- ☑ Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- ☑ Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- ☑ Expectations and objectives
- ☑ Engagement approach

Engagement

- ☑ ESG issues
- ☑ Prioritisation of engagement
- ☑ Transparency of engagement activities
- $\ensuremath{\square}$  Due diligence and monitoring process
- ✓ Insider information
- ☐ Escalation strategies
- ☐ Service Provider specific criteria
- ☐ Other; (specify)
- ☑ (Proxy) voting approach



	Voting		
☑ ESG issues			
☑ Prioritisation and scope of voting activities			
✓ Methods of voting			
	☑ Transparency of voting activities		
	☑ Regional voting practice approaches		
	☐ Filing or co-filing resolutions		
	☑ Company dialogue pre/post-vote		
	☐ Decision-making processes		
	☐ Securities lending processes		
	☐ Other; (specify)		
□ 0	ther		
$\square$ N	one of the above		
$\circ$ No			
LEA 01.4	Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?		
○ Yes			
<ul><li>No</li></ul>			
Engageme	ent enter en enter e		

**Public** 

Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

**Core Assessed** 

PRI 1,2,3

**LEA 02** 

**LEA 02.1** 

Mandatory

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☑ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☑ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	☐ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	☐ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☑ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via service providers

**LEA 02.2** 

Indicate whether your organisation plays a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts.

O Yes

No

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

**LEA 03.1** 

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

Yes

**LEA 03.2** 

Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.



Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements		
Individual / Internal staff	one access of the many, provided on gargements		
engagements	Individual / Internal staff engagements		
	☐ Geography/market of the companies		
	☑ Materiality of the ESG factors		
	<ul><li>☑ Exposure (size of holdings)</li><li>☑ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred</li><li>☐ Responses to divestment pressure</li></ul>		
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries		
	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)		
	☑ Follow-up from a voting decision		
	☐ Client request		
	☐ Breaches of international norms		
	☐ Other; (specify)		
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements		
Collaborative engagements			
	Collaborative engagements		
	☑ Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues through other investors		
	☑ Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues		
	☑ Ability to add value to the collaboration		
	☐ Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration		
	☑ Materiality of the ESG factors addressed by the collaboration		
	☑ Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration		
	$\hfill \square$ Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred		
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure		
	☐ Follow-up from a voting decision		
	☐ Alleviate the resource burden of engagement		
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries		
	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)		
	☐ Other; (specify)		
	$\hfill \square$ We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagement providers		
Service-provider			
engagements	Service-provider engagements		
	☐ Geography/market of the companies		
	☑ Materiality of the ESG factors		
	☐ Exposure (size of holdings)		
	☐ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred		



☐ Responses to divestment pressure
☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries
$\hfill\Box$ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)
☐ Follow-up from a voting decision
☐ Client request
☐ Breaches of international norms
☐ Other; (specify)
$\hfill\square$ We do not outline engagement criteria for our service providers

 $\bigcirc$  No

LEA 04 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

**LEA 04.1** 

Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<ul> <li>All engagement activities</li> <li>Majority of engagement activities</li> <li>Minority of engagement activities</li> <li>We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff</li> </ul>
Collaborative engagements	<ul> <li>All engagement activities</li> <li>Majority of engagement activities</li> <li>Minority of engagement activities</li> <li>We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration</li> </ul>
Service-provider engagements	<ul> <li>All engagement activities</li> <li>Majority of engagement activities</li> <li>Minority of engagement activities</li> <li>We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by our service providers</li> </ul>

LEA 05 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

**LEA 05.1** 

Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.



Individual / Internal staff engagements	<ul> <li>Yes, in all cases</li> <li>Yes, in a majority of cases</li> <li>Yes, in a minority of cases</li> <li>We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff.</li> </ul>
Collaborative engagements	<ul> <li>Yes, in all cases</li> <li>Yes, in a majority of cases</li> <li>Yes, in a minority of cases</li> <li>We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out through collaboration.</li> </ul>
Service-provider engagements	<ul> <li>Yes, in all cases</li> <li>Yes, in a majority of cases</li> <li>Yes, in a minority of cases</li> <li>We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our service providers.</li> </ul>

**LEA 05.2** 

Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<ul> <li>☑ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives</li> <li>☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs</li> <li>☐ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met</li> <li>☐ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis</li> <li>☐ Other; specify</li> </ul>		
Collaborative engagements	<ul> <li>☑ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives</li> <li>☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs</li> <li>☐ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met</li> <li>☐ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis</li> <li>☐ Other; specify</li> </ul>		

LEA 06 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 2,4

**LEA 06.1** 

Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.

Yes



**LEA 06.2** 

Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements.

	☑ Collaborating with other investors
	☐ Issuing a public statement
	☐ Filing/submitting a shareholder resolution
	$\square$ Voting against the re-election of the relevant directors
	$\square$ Voting against the board of directors or the annual financial report
	$\square$ Submitting nominations for election to the board
	☐ Seeking legal remedy / litigation
	☐ Reducing exposure (size of holdings)
	□ Divestment
	☐ Other; specify
Ν	lo

LEA 07 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,2

**LEA 07.1** 

0

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation`s engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<ul><li>Yes, systematically</li><li>Yes, occasionally</li><li>No</li></ul>
Collaborative engagements	<ul><li>Yes, systematically</li><li>Yes, occasionally</li><li>No</li></ul>
Service-provider engagements	<ul><li>Yes, systematically</li><li>Yes, occasionally</li><li>No</li></ul>

**LEA 07.2** 

☐ None

Indicate the practices used to ensure that information and insights gained through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers

$\square$ Involving investment decision-makers when developing an engagement programme
☑ Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
☐ Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
$\Box$ Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
☐ Other; specify



**LEA 07.3** 

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<ul><li>Yes, systematically</li><li>Yes, occasionally</li><li>No</li></ul>
Collaborative engagements	<ul><li>Yes, systematically</li><li>Yes, occasionally</li><li>No</li></ul>
Service-provider engagements	<ul><li>Yes, systematically</li><li>Yes, occasionally</li><li>No</li></ul>

**LEA 07.4** 

Additional information. [Optional]

Information obtained through engagements is embedded into our ESG research process, which then feeds our ESG valuation models.

LEA 08 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 2

**LEA 08.1** 

Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<ul> <li>Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full</li> <li>Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements</li> <li>We do not track</li> </ul>
Collaborative engagements	<ul> <li>Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in full</li> <li>Yes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagements</li> <li>We do not track</li> </ul>
Service-provider engagements	<ul> <li>Yes, we track the number of service-provider engagements in full</li> <li>Yes, we partially track the number of our service-provider engagements</li> <li>We do not track</li> </ul>



**LEA 08.2** 

Additional information. [Optional]

Individual engagements conducted by our dedicated ESG analyst are tracked. The information collected depends on our previous ESG analysis of the company, all additional info is embedded to the company ESG file, including the realization of the meetings / engagement. This information feeds our research process as described in section 4. LEI. Sector analysts also perform engagements and follow ups on ESG issues according to guidelines provided by the ESG analyst.

# (Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions LEA 12 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 2 LEA 12.1 Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions. Approach O We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.

 $\odot$  We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.

Based on

- The service-provider voting policy we sign off on
- Our own voting policy
- Our clients' requests or policies
- Other (explain)
- $\bigcirc$  We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$  We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

**LEA 12.2** 

Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

All voting activities are analyzed by the ESG analyst, who drafts a voting recommendation for analysts and PM's.

LEA 12.3

Additional information.[Optional]

Final voting decisions are made by PM's and analysts. The ESG analyst contributes in the process by sharing ESG recommendations based on best practices and past engagements and interactions with the company,

LEA 15	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2



	LEA 15.1	Indicate the proportion of votes par service providers acting on your be					
	○ 100%						
	○ 99-75%						
	○ 74-50%						
	O 49-25%						
	<b>•</b> 24-1%						
	O Neither w	e nor our service provider(s) raise cor	ncerns with compa	inies ahead of voting			
	LEA 15.2	Indicate the reasons for raising you	r concerns with th	ese companies ahead of voting.			
	□ Vote(s) co	oncerned selected markets					
	□ Vote(s) co	☐ Vote(s) concerned selected sectors					
	□ Vote(s) co	oncerned certain ESG issues					
	□ Vote(s) co	oncerned companies exposed to conti	roversy on specific	ESG issues			
	☑ Vote(s) co	oncerned significant shareholdings					
	☐ Client req	uest					
	☐ Other						
LEA	16 Mar	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2		
		1					
	LEA 16.1	Indicate the proportion of votes who communicated the rationale to com	panies for abstain	ing or voting against management			
		recommendations. Indicate this as	a percentage out o	of all eligible votes.			
	O 100%	recommendations. Indicate this as	a percentage out o	of all eligible votes.			
	<ul><li>○ 100%</li><li>○ 99-75%</li></ul>	recommendations. Indicate this as	a percentage out o	of all eligible votes.			
		recommendations. Indicate this as	a percentage out o	of all eligible votes.			
	O 99-75%	recommendations. Indicate this as	a percentage out o	of all eligible votes.			
	<ul><li>99-75%</li><li>74-50%</li></ul>	recommendations. Indicate this as	a percentage out o	of all eligible votes.			
	<ul><li>99-75%</li><li>74-50%</li><li>49-25%</li><li>24-1%</li></ul>	recommendations. Indicate this as		of all eligible votes.			
	<ul><li>99-75%</li><li>74-50%</li><li>49-25%</li><li>24-1%</li><li>We do no</li></ul>	ot communicate the rationale to compa cable because we and/or our service p	anies		nt		
	<ul><li>99-75%</li><li>74-50%</li><li>49-25%</li><li>24-1%</li><li>We do no</li><li>Not applie</li></ul>	ot communicate the rationale to compa cable because we and/or our service p	anies providers did not a	bstain or vote against management			
	<ul> <li>99-75%</li> <li>74-50%</li> <li>49-25%</li> <li>24-1%</li> <li>We do not applied recommendate.</li> </ul> LEA 16.2	of communicate the rationale to compactable because we and/or our service pations  Indicate the reasons why your orga	anies providers did not a	bstain or vote against management			
	<ul> <li>99-75%</li> <li>74-50%</li> <li>49-25%</li> <li>24-1%</li> <li>We do no</li> <li>Not applied recommends</li> </ul> LEA 16.2 Uote(s) commends	ot communicate the rationale to compactable because we and/or our service pations  Indicate the reasons why your organstabstaining or voting against management.	anies providers did not a	bstain or vote against management			
	<ul> <li>99-75%</li> <li>74-50%</li> <li>49-25%</li> <li>24-1%</li> <li>We do not applied recommend.</li> </ul> LEA 16.2 <ul> <li>Vote(s) control of the control of</li></ul>	of communicate the rationale to compactable because we and/or our service pations  Indicate the reasons why your organ abstaining or voting against manageoncern selected markets	anies providers did not a	bstain or vote against management			
	<ul> <li>99-75%</li> <li>74-50%</li> <li>49-25%</li> <li>24-1%</li> <li>We do not applic recommend.</li> </ul> LEA 16.2 <ul> <li>Vote(s) co</li> <li>Vote(s) co</li> <li>Vote(s) co</li> </ul>	of communicate the rationale to compact cable because we and/or our service pations  Indicate the reasons why your organ abstaining or voting against manage oncern selected markets oncern selected sectors	anies providers did not a unisation would con ement recommend	bstain or vote against management mmunicate to companies, the rational			
	<ul> <li>99-75%</li> <li>74-50%</li> <li>49-25%</li> <li>24-1%</li> <li>We do not applied recommend.</li> </ul> LEA 16.2 <ul> <li>Vote(s) control vote(s) control vote</li></ul>	of communicate the rationale to comparable because we and/or our service pations  Indicate the reasons why your orga abstaining or voting against manage oncern selected markets oncern selected sectors oncern certain ESG issues	anies providers did not a unisation would con ement recommend	bstain or vote against management mmunicate to companies, the rational			
	<ul> <li>99-75%</li> <li>74-50%</li> <li>49-25%</li> <li>24-1%</li> <li>We do not applied recommend.</li> </ul> LEA 16.2 <ul> <li>Vote(s) control vote(s) control vote</li></ul>	Indicate the reasons why your organs abstaining or voting against manage oncern selected markets oncern certain ESG issues oncern companies exposed to controvencern significant shareholdings	anies providers did not a unisation would con ement recommend	bstain or vote against management mmunicate to companies, the rational			
	<ul> <li>99-75%</li> <li>74-50%</li> <li>49-25%</li> <li>24-1%</li> <li>We do not applied recommend.</li> </ul> LEA 16.2 <ul> <li>Vote(s) co</li> <li>Vote(s) co</li> <li>Vote(s) co</li> <li>Vote(s) co</li> </ul> Vote(s) co <ul> <li>Vote(s) co</li> </ul> Vote(s) co <ul> <li>Vote(s) co</li> </ul> Vote(s) co <ul> <li>Vote(s) co</li> </ul>	Indicate the reasons why your organs abstaining or voting against manage oncern selected markets oncern certain ESG issues oncern companies exposed to controvencern significant shareholdings	anies providers did not a unisation would con ement recommend	bstain or vote against management mmunicate to companies, the rational			



**LEA 16.3** 

In cases where your organisation does communicate the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations, indicate whether this rationale is made public.

O Yes

No

**LEA 17 Core Assessed** PRI 2 **Mandatory Public** For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting **LEA 17.1** instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year. • We do track or collect this information Votes cast (to the nearest 1%) % 43 Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted O We do not track or collect this information **LEA 17.2** Explain your reason(s) for not voting on certain holdings ☐ Shares were blocked ☑ Notice, ballots or materials not received on time ☐ Missed deadline ☐ Geographical restrictions (non-home market) ☐ Conflicts of interest ☑ Holdings deemed too small ☐ Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement) ☐ Client request ☐ Other (explain) **Core Assessed** PRI 2 **LEA 19 Mandatory Public LEA 19.1** Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting. Yes



O No

**LEA 19.2** 

Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following abstentions and/or votes against management.

$\checkmark$	Con	tac	ting	the	company	'S	board
	_						

☑ Contacting the company's senior management

 $\square$  Issuing a public statement explaining the rationale

☐ Initiating individual/collaborative engagement

 $\hfill\square$  Directing service providers to engage

 $\square$  Reducing exposure (holdings) / divestment

☐ Other

LEA 20 Voluntary Public Descriptive PRI 2

LEA 20.1

Indicate whether your organisation, directly or through a service provider, filed or co-filed any ESG shareholder resolutions during the reporting year.

O Yes

No

# Itaú Asset Management

**Reported Information** 

Public version

**Direct - Fixed Income** 

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



#### ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

#### Implementation processes

FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1

FI 01.1

Indicate (1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and (2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.

SSA		
		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
		mematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	100	
		Screening + integration strategies
	0	
		Thematic + integration strategies
	0	
		Screening + thematic strategies
	0	
		All three strategies combined
	0	
		No because and the selection because the d
		No incorporation strategies applied
	100%	
Corporate (financial)		
		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	100	
		Screening + integration strategies
	0	Screening + integration strategies
	0	

Thematic + integration strategies
0
Screening + thematic strategies
0
All three strategies combined
0
No incorporation strategies applied
0
100%

Corporate (non-		
financial)		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	100	
		Screening + integration strategies
	0	
		Thematic + integration strategies
	0	
		Screening + thematic strategies
	0	
		All three strategies combined
	0	
		No incorporation strategies applied
	0	
	100%	

Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.

ESG integration is a fundamental part of the fixed income investment process. All fixed income investments are anlayzed by the ESG analyst, which is a permanent member of the credit committee with veto power.

☑ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken internally to determine companies' activities; and products
and/or services
☑ Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
☑ Issuer information and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is accurate
☑ Internal audits and regular reviews of ESG research are undertaken in a systematic way.
$\ensuremath{\square}$ A materiality/sustainability framework is created and regularly updated that includes all the key ESG risks and opportunities for each sector/country.
☐ Other, specify
□ None of the above
FI 03.2 Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.
☑ ESG information is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff
☐ ESG information is displayed on front office research platforms
<ul> <li>□ ESG information is displayed on front office research platforms</li> <li>☑ ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or similar documents</li> </ul>
☑ ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or
<ul> <li>✓ ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or similar documents</li> <li>✓ Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>✓ ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or similar documents</li> <li>✓ Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment committee meetings</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>☑ ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or similar documents</li> <li>☑ Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment committee meetings</li> <li>☑ Records capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>✓ ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or similar documents</li> <li>✓ Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment committee meetings</li> <li>✓ Records capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions</li> <li>□ Other, specify</li> </ul>

Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:

Every fixed income investment case is analyzed by the ESG analyst together with FI analysts. For closed ended companies, questionnaires and conference calls are used by the ESG analyst to refine the ESG research process. ESG analysis is systematically presented in the credit committee, with a formal ESG research material, where the ESG analyst points out the risks / opportunities and how they have been financially estimated. The ESG analyst has veto power in the Credit Committee.

(C) In	nplementation: Integration			
FI 10	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1

FI 10.1 Describe your approach to integrating ESG into traditional financial analysis.

The ESG Integration Method of Itaú Asset Management incorporates ESG variables into our fundamental fixed income research model by estimating ESG impacts on cash flow or solvency indicators. The objective is to adjust the rating of issuers and to stress test the events that may result in challenges for servicing their debt. This approach provides flexibility to our portfolio managers, who use the ESG research inputs to varying degrees, according to their specific strategies and mandates.

The method does not use negative screening to exclude industries or companies and so reduce the investment universe. Nevertheless, such exclusions may be added as an additional filter for clients with exclusive funds or managed portfolios.



FI 03.1

FI 10.2

Describe how your ESG integration approach is adapted to each of the different types of fixed income you invest in.

SSA

The sovereign bonds space presents a different challenge. Brazilian investors tend to be concentrated on local assets; hence most sovereign bonds held by Itaú Asset Management are issued by the Brazilian Federal Government. Having only one issuer significantly diminishes the possibility of integrating ESG in the analysis. Nevertheless IAM conducted an ESG analysis of Brazilian Bonds and published an internal risk dashboard containing the country performance on its ESG dataset.

Corporate (financial)

Itaú Asset Management has defined different indicators for measuring the ESG impact on financial Institutions and corporations. Financial institutions tend to be materially impacted on their need to build capital reserves, therefore ESG integration efforts are communicated as a % of the financial institutional book value. Additionally to E&S integration, a corporate governance analysis is performed and a corporate governance internal rating is in place to better analyze each institution CG practices.

Corporate (non-financial)

Itaú Asset Management has defined different indicators for measuring the ESG impact on financial Institutions and corporations. Corporate entities are impacted on their ability to generate free cash flow, and estimated ESG impacts are presented as % of the company EBITDA. Additionally to E&S integration, a corporate governance analysis is performed and a corporate governance internal rating is in place to better analyze each institution CG practices.

FI 11	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

FI 11.1

Indicate how ESG information is typically used as part of your investment process.

Select all that apply



	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
ESG analysis is integrated into fundamental analysis	<b>V</b>	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>	
ESG analysis is used to adjust the internal credit assessments of issuers.				
ESG analysis is used to adjust forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates.		V	<b>I</b>	
ESG analysis impacts the ranking of an issuer relative to a chosen peer group.		<b>V</b>	V	
An issuer's ESG bond spreads and its relative value versus its sector peers are analysed to find out if all risks are priced in.				
The impact of ESG analysis on bonds of an issuer with different durations/maturities are analysed.				
Sensitivity analysis and scenario analysis are applied to valuation models to compare the difference between base-case and ESG-integrated security valuation.				
ESG analysis is integrated into portfolio weighting decisions.				
Companies, sectors, countries and currency and monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits.				
The ESG profile of portfolios is examined for securities with high ESG risks and assessed relative to the ESG profile of a benchmark.				
Other, specify in Additional Information				

FI 12		Manda	atory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
	FI 12.	.1	Indicate the extent to which ESG	issues are reviewe	ed in your integration process.	



	Environment	Social	Governance
SSA	Environmental	Social	Governance
	O Systematically	O Systematically	○ Systematically
	<ul><li>Occasionally</li></ul>	<ul><li>Occasionally</li></ul>	<ul><li>Occasionally</li></ul>
	O Not at all	O Not at all	O Not at all
Corporate (financial)	Environmental	Social	Governance
(manda)	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>	Systematically
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	O Not at all	O Not at all
Corporate (non-	Environmental	Social	Governance
financial)	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	○ Not at all	O Not at all

FI 12.2 Please provide more detail on how you review E, S and/or G factors in your integration process.

SSA

The economic department performs regular updates on corporate governance performance of the Brazilian Government and the information is systematically shared with all PM's and analysts.

Corporate (financial)

ESG issues are systematically updated whenever the financial institution investment case is revisited or when material ESG information is available.

Corporate (non-financial)

ESG issues are systematically updated whenever the compnay investment case is revisited or when material ESG information is available.



## Itaú Asset Management

**Reported Information** 

Public version

Confidence building measures

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



## Confidence building measures CM1 01 **Public Additional Assessed** General **Mandatory** Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this CM1 01.1 year has undergone: ☐ Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report ☐ Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year ☑ Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year) ☐ Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year) ☑ Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board) O Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified Selected data has been internally verified ☑ Other, specify Responses validated by the ESG analyst and the Head of ESG. ☐ None of the above CM1 02 **Mandatory Public Descriptive** General CM1 02.1 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report O Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year

- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- O We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- O None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

CM1 02.2 Whole report was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report

Who has conducted the assurance

PWC



	Assurance standard used
□ ISAE/ AS	SEA 3000
☐ ISAE 340	02
☐ ISO stan	dard
□ AAF01/0	6
☑ AA1000A	AS
☐ IFC perfo	ormance standards
☐ ASAE 34	10 Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements.
□ National	standard
Other	
	Specify
AA1000 /	AccountAbility Principles Standards 2008 ("AA1000APS")
	Level of assurance sought
<ul><li>Limited of</li></ul>	or equivalent
○ Reasona	ble or equivalent
	Link to external assurance provider`s report
https://www	.itau.com.br/relacoes-com-investidores/relatorio-anual/2018/#home
CM1 02.2	Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
CIVIT UZ.Z	Colocio data was assured in last year of the transparency report
	What data has been assured
☐ Financial	and organisational data
☑ Data rela	ated to RI activities
☐ RI policie	es
☑ RI proces	sses (e.g. engagement process)
☑ ESG ope	erational data of the portfolio
☐ Other	
	Relevant modules
☐ Organisa	Itional Overview
_	and Governance
☑ Direct - L	isted Equity Incorporation
☐ Direct - L	isted Equity Active Ownership
	ixed Income



CM1	03	Manda	atory	Public	Descriptive	General
	CM1 0		We undertake confidence building r Transparency Report:	measures that are ι	unspecific to the data contained ir	n our PRI
	□We	adhere t	o an RI certification or labelling sch	eme		
			t independent/third party assurance ch are included in this year's PRI Tr			report)
	С	M1 03.3		ou have used extra	ninability report that you carry out acts of in this year's PRI Transpance provider `s report.	
			Link to sustainability, RI, or in	ntegrated report [UF	RL]	
	<u>h</u>	ttps://ww	/w.itau.com.br/relacoes-com-investi	dores/relatorio-anu	al/2018/#home	
			Link to external assurance pr	ovider`s report [UR	11	
	<u>h</u>	ttps://ww	w.itau.com.br/relacoes-com-investi	<u>dores/relatorio-anu</u>	<u>al/2018/#home</u>	
	□FS	3 audit o	f holdings			
		er, speci				
		ne of the				
CM1	04	Manda	atory	Public	Descriptive	General
	014.0		Do you plan to conduct third party a	accurance of this va	oar's DDI Transparancy report?	
	CM1 0				al S FRI Transparency report!	
			Fransparency Report will be assured	d		
	Sele	ected dat	ta will be assured			
	С	M1 04.2I	Selected data will be assured			
			What data will be assured			
		□ Fina	ncial and organisational data			
			related to RI activities			
		☐ RI po				
		-	rocesses (e.g. engagement process	3)		
		-	operational data of the portfolio	-,		
		□ Othe				



		Relevant modules			
	□ Organis	sational Overview			
		gy and Governance			
	☑ Direct -	- Listed Equity Incorporation			
	☑ Direct -	- Listed Equity Active Ownership			
	☑ Direct -	- Fixed Income			
○ We d	do not plan	n to assure this year`s PRI Transparen	ncy report		
CM1 04	.2a Pro	ovide details related to the assurance	that will be con	ducted	
	E	Expected date the assurance will be co	omplete		
31/07/2	020				
	V	Who will conduct the assurance			
PWC					
	A	Assurance standard to be used			
□ IS	AE/ ASEA	A 3000			
□ IS	AE 3402				
	O standar	rd			
	AF01/06				
	A1000AS				
	-	nance standards	0 0 1		
		Assurance Engagements on Greenho	ouse Gas Stater	nents.	
□ Na	ational star	ndard			
	riei				
	L	Level of assurance sought			
<ul><li>Lir</li></ul>	mited or ed	quivalent			
○ Re	easonable	e or equivalent			
06	Mandato	pry Pul	blic	Descriptive	General
	Dow	ovide details of the third next account	oo of Dheolote	broosses and/ar dataile of the	intornal
CM1 06	.1 aud	ovide details of the third party assurandit conducted by internal auditors of Rissear)			



	What RI processes have bee	n assured		
☐ RI policie	es			
☑ RI relate	d governance			
□ Engager	ment processes			
☑ Proxy vo	ting process			
✓ Integration	on process in listed assets			
☐ Screenir	ng process in listed assets			
☐ Thematic	c process in listed assets			
☐ Other				
	Who has conducted the assu	rance		
	Willo has conducted the assu	Tarice		
PWC				
	When was the process assur	ance completed(dd	/ mm/yy)	
31/07/2020				
	Assurance standard used			
☐ ISAE 34	02			
☐ ISAE 34				
	ndard			
☐ ISO star	ndard			
☐ ISO star ☐ AAF 01/6 ☐ SSE18	ndard 06			
☐ ISO star ☐ AAF 01/6 ☐ SSE18	ndard			
☐ ISO stan ☐ AAF 01// ☐ SSE18 ☐ AT 101 (	ndard 06			
☐ ISO stan ☐ AAF 01// ☐ SSE18 ☐ AT 101 (	ndard 06			
☐ ISO star ☐ AAF 01/0 ☐ SSE18 ☐ AT 101 ( ☑ Other	odard  06  (excluding financial data)  Specify			
☐ ISO stan ☐ AAF 01// ☐ SSE18 ☐ AT 101 (	odard  06  (excluding financial data)  Specify			
☐ ISO star ☐ AAF 01/0 ☐ SSE18 ☐ AT 101 ( ☑ Other	excluding financial data)  Specify  APS			
☐ ISO star ☐ AAF 01// ☐ SSE18 ☐ AT 101 ( ☑ Other  AA1000/	excluding financial data)  Specify  APS  Level of assurance sought			
☐ ISO stan ☐ AAF 01// ☐ SSE18 ☐ AT 101 ( ☑ Other  AA1000/	sidard  O6  Sexcluding financial data)  Specify  APS  Level of assurance sought  or equivalent			
☐ ISO stan ☐ AAF 01// ☐ SSE18 ☐ AT 101 ( ☑ Other  AA1000/	excluding financial data)  Specify  APS  Level of assurance sought			
☐ ISO star ☐ AAF 01// ☐ SSE18 ☐ AT 101 ( ☑ Other  AA1000/	sidard  O6  Sexcluding financial data)  Specify  APS  Level of assurance sought  or equivalent	Public	Descriptive	Gener

Who has conducted the verification

☑ CEO or other Chief-Level staff

		Sign-off or review of responses	
	☐ Sign-off ☑ Review of responses		
		What data has been verified	
	☑ Policies		
	✓ Overarching strategy and processes		
	☑ Asset class specific processes		
	☑ Quantitative data related to RI processes		
	□ Other		
		Relevant modules	
	☑ Organisational Overview		
	☑ Strategy and Governance		
	☑ Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation		
	☑ Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership		
	☑ Direct - Fixed Income		
☐ The Board			
☐ Investment Committee			
☐ Compliance Function			
☑ RI/ESG Team			
		What data has been verified	
☑ Policies			
		ning strategy and processes	
		ass specific processes	
		tive data related to RI processes	
	□ Other	and data foldiod to the processes	
	_ 00.		
		Relevant modules	
	☑ Organisa	ational Overview	
		and Governance	
	☑ Direct - L	isted Equity Incorporation	
	☑ Direct - L	isted Equity Active Ownership	
	☑ Direct - F	Fixed Income	
☐ Investment Teams			
□ Legal Department			
	☐ Other (specify)		

