



RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2020

Federal Finance





About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
8	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Po	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



Principles Index



Organisa	ntional Overview			Principle						General	
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6		
OO TG	·	8	n/a								
00 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							√	
00 02	Headquarters and operational countries	√	Public							√	
00 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓	
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓	
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	~	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							√	
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓	
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓	
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓	
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓	
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓	
00 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓	
00 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓	
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓	
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	✓	Private							✓	
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓	
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	8	n/a							✓	
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Public							✓	
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Private							✓	
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	8	n/a							✓	
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	8	n/a							✓	
00 PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	8	n/a							✓	
00 PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	8	n/a							✓	
00 PR 03	Largest property types	8	n/a							✓	
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	8	n/a							✓	
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	8	n/a							✓	
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	8	n/a							✓	
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	8	n/a							✓	
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-								

CCStrategy and Governance						rin	cip	le		General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Public							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Public	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		8	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14 CC		✓	Public							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Public	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring						rin	General			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	1 2 3 4 5 6				6	
SAM 01	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 02	Selection processes (LE and FI)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 03	Evaluating engagement and voting practices in manager selection (listed equity/fixed income)	8	n/a		~					
SAM 04	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	8	n/a	~						
SAM 05	Monitoring processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	~						
SAM 06	Monitoring on active ownership (listed equity/fixed income)	8	n/a	✓						
SAM 07	Percentage of (proxy) votes	8	n/a		✓					
SAM 08	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						
SAM 09	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Public	~					✓	
SAM End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation							cip	le		General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	8	n/a	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	8	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							



Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership						rin	cip	le		General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - I	Direct - Fixed Income						cip	le		General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 02	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Public	✓						
FI 03	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	✓						
FI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	-	n/a	✓						
FI 06	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Thematic investing - overview	8	n/a	✓						
FI 08	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	8	n/a	~						
FI 09	Thematic investing - assessing impact	8	n/a	✓						
FI 10	Integration overview	✓	Public	✓						
FI 11	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	✓	Public	✓						
FI 12	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	✓	Public	✓						
FI 13	ESG incorporation in passive funds	✓	Private	✓						
FI 14	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Public		✓					
FI 15	Engagement method	✓	Public	✓	✓					
FI 16	Engagement policy disclosure	✓	Public	✓	✓					
FI 17	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Private							✓
FI 18	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement		n/a	✓	✓					
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures					P	rin	General			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	8	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	8	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	8	n/a							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Federal Finance

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Bas	ic info	rmation			
O 01	Mar	ndatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
00	01.1	Select the services and funds	you offer		
Select the	e servic	es and funds you offer	% of asse	et under management (AU	M) in ranges
Fund man	agemen	t	○ 0%○ <10%○ 10-50%⑨ >50%		
Fund of fu	nds, ma	nager of managers, sub-advised	d products)	
Other			● 0%○ <10%○ 10-50%○ >50%)	
Total 100%	%				
		Further options (may be selec	eted in addition to the a	above)	
	ledge fur und of h	nds edge funds			
O 02	Mar	ndatory	Public	Peering	Genera
00	02.1	Select the location of your org	ganisation's headquart	ers.	
Fran	nce				
00	02.2	Indicate the number of countr	ies in which you have	offices (including your head	dquarters).
126					



○ >10

OO 02.3

Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

45

OO 03 Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

00 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

Yes

00 03.2

List your subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories and indicate if you would like to report their RI activities in your organisation's consolidated report.

Name of PRI signatory subsidiary (Up to six subsidiaries may be reported)	RI implementation reported here on a consolidated basis
Swen CP	○ Yes ● No
	○ Yes ○ No

 \bigcirc No

OO 04 Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

00 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

00 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2



	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		46	329	000	000
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD		51	068	516	800

 $[\]hfill\square$ Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

00 04.3

Indicate the total AUM of subsidiaries you have excluded from your report (as named in OO 03.2 under "No"). Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		4	700	000	000
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD		5	180	816	097

00 04.4

Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach



OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

OO 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

 \bigcirc as percentage breakdown

• as broad ranges

Т	
Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
10-50%	<10%
>50%	10-50%
0	<10%
0	<10%
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
<10%	0
<10%	0
0	0
0	0
	>50% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10% <10% 0



OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

O Yes

No

No

Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

O Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.

No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 06.6 Provide contextual information on your AUM asset class split. [Optional

Please note that both assets class "properties" and "private equity" are managed for Suravenir, our life insurer. Those sub portfolio are managed by other asset managers directly choosen by Suravenir. Suravenir is also a PRI signatory and will report on this.

OO 09	Mar	ndatory	Public	Peering	General
00	09.1	Indicate the breakdown of	your organisation's AUN	/I by market.	
		Developed Markets			
9	9.37				
		Emerging Markets			
0	.51				
		Frontier Markets			
0	.12				
		Other Markets			
0					
		Total 100%			
100	%				

Asset class im	plementati	ion gateway	indicat	ors
----------------	------------	-------------	---------	-----

OO 10 Mandatory Public Gateway General



00 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year. Listed equity - engagement We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers. ☐ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf. ☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Listed equity – voting ☑ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers ☐ We require our external managers to vote on our behalf. ☐ We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf Fixed income SSA - engagement ☑ We engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers. ☐ We require our external managers to engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors on our behalf. ☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not. Fixed income Corporate (financial) - engagement ☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers. ☐ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf. ☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not. Fixed income Corporate (non-financial) - engagement

- $\ensuremath{\square}$ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- ☐ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.
- \Box We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Fixed income Corporate (securitised) – engagement

- ☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- \square We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

OO 11 Mandatory Public Gateway General

00 11.1

Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).



Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - SSA

- We address ESG incorporation.
- \bigcirc We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- \bigcirc We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- \bigcirc We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - securitised

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Cash

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Money market instruments

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

00 11.2

Select the externally managed assets classes in which you and/or your investment consultants address ESG incorporation in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes.



Asset class	ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
Listed equity	
	Listed equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	$\hfill\square$ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	$\hfill \square$ We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - SSA	
	Fixed income - SSA - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	$\hfill \square$ We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - corporate	
(financial)	Fixed income - corporate (financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	$\hfill\Box$ We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - corporate	
(non-financial)	Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☐ We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Private equity	
	Private equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager



	selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	\square We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	$\hfill\square$ We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable
	$\ensuremath{\square}$ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Property	
	Property - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	$\ensuremath{\square}$ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	\square We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	$\hfill\square$ We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable
	$\ensuremath{\square}$ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation

00 11.4

Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

Every year each external assets manager of our investment perimeter receives 2 questionnaries. This first questionnary includes questions regarding topics at the company level: responsible investment and CSR. The aim of this process is to assess how asset managers adress the responsible investment principles and how they do implement CSR issues to their own company. A second questionnary is focus on the integration of ESG issues at the fund level (philosophy, process, ESG constriaints, exclusions...). A committee chaired by the CEO of Federal Finance Gestion decide then of the quality of eache fund and then the eligibility into our ESG funds if funds.

We launched a fund of fund named Federal Oxygene at the end of 2018. This fund only selects funds from asset management companies that answered to our questionnary. This funds put the emphasis on well beeing, investing in thematic such as: environment, health, water, well beeing.

In 2019, we also modify our pension funds in order to systemtically integrate ESG topics.

00 12	2	Man	datory	Public	Gateway	General
	00 12	.1	Below are all applicable modules or report (asset classes representing 1 Those which are voluntary to report	10% or more of you	ır AUM) are already ticked and re	
			Core modules			
	☑ ()rganis	ational Overview			
		Strategy	y and Governance			
			RI implementation directly or via s	service providers		



☑ Listed Equity incorporation ☑ (Proxy) voting ☑ Fixed income - SSA ☑ Fixed income - Corporate (financial) ☑ Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial) ☐ Fixed income - Securitised RI implementation via external managers Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers ☑ Listed Equities ☑ Fixed income - SSA ☑ Fixed income - Corporate (financial) ☑ Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial) ☐ Private Equity ☐ Property Closing module Closing module **Peering questions**

OO LE 01 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose Public Gateway General

00 LE 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.

Percentage of internally managed listed equities

Passive

9.54



Active - quantitative (quant)

Active - fundamental and active - other

90.46

Total

100%

OO FI 01 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose Public Gateway General

OO FI 01.1

Provide a breakdown of your internally managed fixed income securities by active and passive strategies

SSA		Passive
	10	
		Active - quantitative (quant)
	00	
		Active - fundamental and active - other
	90	
		Total
	100%	
Corporate (financial)		Passive
	0	
		Active - quantitative (quant)
	10	
		Active - fundamental and active - other
	90	
		Total
	100%	
0		
Corporate (non- financial)	40	Passive
	10	
		Active - quantitative (quant)
	00	
		Active - fundamental and active - other
	90	
		Total
	1009/	
	100%	

Securitised		Passive
	00	
		Active - quantitative (quant)
	00	
		Active - fundamental and active - other
	100	
		Total
	100%	

OO FI 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report. This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

OO FI 03.1 Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your SSA investments, by developed markets and emerging markets.



OO FI 03.2

Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your corporate and securitised investments by investment grade or high-yield securities.



Туре	Investment grade (+/- 5%)	High-yield (+/- 5%)	Total internally managed
Corporate (financial)	>50%10-50%<10%0%	>50%10-50%€ <10%0%	100%
Corporate (non-financial)	>50%10-50%<10%0%	>50%● 10-50%<10%○ 0%	100%

If you are invested in private debt and reporting on ratings is not relevant for you, please indicate below

 $[\]square$ OO FI 03.2 is not applicable as our internally managed fixed income assets are invested only in private debt.

Federal Finance

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Investment policy

SG 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	O Applicable policies cover all AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
$\hfill\Box$ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☐ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties	
☐ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☑ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☑ Screening / exclusions policy	
☑ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	



SG 01.3	Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following			
	anisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments			
✓ Your inverse	stment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account			
☐ Time horizon of your investment				
☑ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities				
☑ ESG incorporation approaches				
✓ Active ow	☑ Active ownership approaches			
⊠ Reporting	☑ Reporting			
☑ Climate change				
☐ Understa	nding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences			
☐ Other RI	☐ Other RI considerations, specify (1)			
☐ Other RI	☐ Other RI considerations, specify (2)			

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

Our ESG approach is based on a double strong belief: 1/ we have a true responsibility toward our stakeholders, to integrate ESG topics, and 2/ESG topics are also financial performance drivers.

The integration of our ESG philosophy at company level is guaranteed by the implementation of specific research available for the portfolio managers as well as risk managers, information and assessments at the portfolio managers level and controls at the risk managers level.

In concrete, we have a implemented a combined normative and best in class approach: normative in order to exclude companies that do not comply with one of the ten principles of the United Nations Global Compact and issuers involved in coal extraction or use of coal to produce electricity. The best in class approach is set to select, sector by sector, issuers that have the best practices regarding the management of their own ESG issues.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

Please note that our responsible investment approach does not cover our quantitative funds.

O No

Disclose		SG 01 CC	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	General
----------	--	----------	---	--------	-------------	---------

SG 01.6 CC Indicate whether your organisation has identified transition and physical climate-related risks and opportunities and factored this into the investment strategies and products, within the organisation's investment time horizon.

Yes



Describe the identified transition and physical climate-related risks and opportunities and how they have been factored into the investment strategies/products.

We are in the process to publish a climate strategy and are developping a tool to monitor the transition and climate-related risks and opportunities. In the meantime, our ESG scoring integrates climate related risk issues.

O No

SG 01.7 CC

Indicate whether the organisation has assessed the likelihood and impact of these climate risks?

O Yes

No

Describe why your organisation has not yet assessed the likelihood and impact of climate risks

Our climate strategy will be publish in 2020. We are also developing a tool in order to monitor the climate risks. We are also finalising a RFP to obtain different climate related data including impact risks for issuers.

SG 01.8 CC

Indicate whether the organisation publicly supports the TCFD?

O Yes

No

Explain the rationale

We aim to develop a climate strategy aligned with TCFD recommendations but didn't support it publicly.

SG 01.9 CC Indicate whether there is an organisation-wide strategy in place to identify and manage material climate-related risks and opportunities.

Yes

Describe

We are developing a climate strategy aligned with the TCFD. In this perspective, we will set in 2020 a Climate committee in order to identify and manage climate related risks. Following the training sessions organized in 2019, we have set up a dedicated team including ESG analysts and risk managers to identify the relevant indicators to manage climate-related risks and opportunities

○ No



SG 1.10 CC	Indicate the documents	and/or communications	the organisation uses to publis	h TCFD disclos	
☐ Public P	Public PRI Climate Transparency Report				
☐ Annual financial filings					
☐ Regular	client reporting				
☐ Member	communications				
☐ Other					
	ently do not publish TCFD d	disclosures			
2 Ma	indatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI	
New selectio	n options have been adde	ed to this indicator. Ple	ease review your prefilled res	ponses carefu	
SG 02.1	Indicate which of your ir and an attachment of th		nents (if any) are publicly availab	ole. Provide a U	
☑ Policy se	etting out your overall appro				
	URL/Attachment				
☑ UR	L				
	URL				
<u>http</u>	s://www.federal-finance-ge	stion.fr/gestion/actifs/jcr	ms/c_55464		
□ Atta	achment (will be made publi	ic)			
☐ Sector s	pecific RI guidelines				
	ng / exclusions policy				
	URL/Attachment				
☑ UR					
	URL				
http 03/g	s://www.federal-finance-ge politique_exclusion_2019.pd	stion.fr/gestion/actifs/up df	oload/docs/application/pdf/2019-		
□ Atta	achment (will be made publi	ic)			
□ Atta ☑ Engager		ic)			



☑ URL

URL https://www.federal-finance-gestion.fr/gestion/actifs/jcms/c 55464 ☐ Attachment (will be made public) ☑ (Proxy) voting policy URL/Attachment **☑** URL **URL** https://www.federal-finance-gestion.fr/gestion/actifs/upload/docs/application/pdf/2018-03/politique vote 2018 2018-03-12 13-24-17 887.pdf ☐ Attachment (will be made public) ☐ We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an SG 02.2 attachment of the document. ☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments **URL/Attachment ☑** URL URL https://www.federal-finance-gestion.fr/gestion/actifs/jcms/c_55464 □ Attachment ☐ Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account ☑ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities **URL/Attachment ☑** URL **URL** https://www.federal-finance-gestion.fr/gestion/actifs/upload/docs/application/pdf/2018-



03/finance responsable loi transition energetique pe 2018-03-13 16-34-25 23.pdf

□ Attachment

☑ ESG incorporation approaches

URL/Attachment					
☑ URL					
E ONE					
URL					
https://www.federal-finance-gestion.fr/gesti	ion/actifs/jcms/c_58	<u>5464</u>			
☐ Attachment					
 ☑ Active ownership approaches 					
URL/Attachment					
☑ URL					
URL					
https://www.federal-finance-gestion.fr/gesti	ion/actifs/upload/de	ocs/application/pdf/2018-			
03/finance_responsable_loi_transition_ene	ergetique_pe_2018	-03-13_16-34-25_23.pdf			
☐ Attachment					
☐ Reporting					
☑ Climate change					
URL/Attachment					
☑ URL					
URL					
https://www.federal-finance-gestion.fr/gestion/actifs/upload/docs/application/pdf/2018-					
03/finance_responsable_loi_transition_energetique_pe_2018-03-13_16-34-25_23.pdf					
□ Attachment					
☐ We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components					
SG 02.3 Additional information [Optional].					
Kindly note that our different documents for 2019 (engagement report, voting report) are expected to be publish by					
april 2020.					
Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General		

29

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

SG 03.1

Yes

SG 03

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

As required by law, (articles 313-18 to 313-22 of the *Règlement Général de l'AMF*) Federal Finance Gestion sets up a policy to identify, prevent and manage potential conflicts of interest for its activities to ensure protection and primacy of the clients' interests.

We first define conflict of interest as any professional situation where the ability to assess or decide of a person, company or organisation can be influenced or altered, in its independence or integrity, by personal considerations or power of persuasion from a third party.

As required by law:

Federal Finance defines a management of conflicts of interest policy, detects situations of conflicts of interest, keeps a record of the situations of conflicts of interest detected, informs its customers when conflicts of interest remain unsolved

- 1. Identification: different situations may occur:
- 2. Prevention of the conflicts of interest
- 3. Management of the conflicts of interest

Federal Finance Gestion initiates a management process for conflicts of interest based on transparency, in the case the previously mentionned scheme was not able to guarantee that a potential conflict would not affect clients' interest.

O No

SG 0	4	Vol	untary	Public	Descriptive	General
	SG 04.	.1	Indicate if your organisation has a princestee entities.	process for identifyi	ng and managing incidents that c	occur within
	● Yes○ No	i				
	SG 04.	.2	Describe your process on managin	g incidents		

If a company is facing a major controversy, portfolio managers have the opportunity to sell their shares but new investments are not allowed. In 2018, has been developed a dedicated tool to controversies monitoring. This tool is based on data we receive from a extra-financial provider, in order to guarantee the completeness of the tool. ESG research team analyses the controversies and the responsiveness of the company. The company's ESG rating is then be reviewed. If the ESG rating is too low, portfolio managers will have to sell their stakes. Regarding the controversy, we can also engage with the company.

Objectives and strategies						
00.05						
SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General		



Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

O Quarterly or more frequently

Biannually

Annually

Less frequently than annually

Governance and human resources

SG 07 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 07.1

O Ad-hoc basis

O It is not set/reviewed

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles

- ☑ Board members or trustees
 - ☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☐ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ✓ Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- ☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
 - ☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☑ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☑ Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify

Head of ESG & Financial Research



	☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment				
	✓ Implementation of responsible investment				
	□ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment				
	ortfolio managers				
	☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment				
	✓ Implementation of responsible investment				
	☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment				
☑ Inv	estment analysts				
]	✓ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment				
[✓ Implementation of responsible investment				
[☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment				
☑ De	dicated responsible investment staff				
]	☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment				
]	✓ Implementation of responsible investment				
]	☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment				
□ Inv	estor relations				
□ Oth	ner role, specify (1)				
□ Oth	ner role, specify (2)				
□ Externa	I managers or service providers				
SG 07.2	For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.				
	s do oversee the Responsible Investment strategy of the company. They validate core statements				
	voting policy, engagement policy and exclusion				
CEO monitors t	he ESG implementation and SRI developments.				
SG 07.3	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.				
	Number				
5					
SG 07.4	Additional information. [Optional]				
Please note tha Services group.	t ESG resources are shared with Schelcher Prince Gestion, a sister company in Arkea Investment				





SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply ☑ Principles for Responsible Investment
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
□ Basic
☑ Moderate
□ Advanced
Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
We attended to the PRI in Person event organised in Paris in September 2019.
☐ Asian Corporate Governance Association
☐ Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
□ AVCA: Sustainability Committee
☐ France Invest – La Commission ESG
□ BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
☑ CDP Climate Change
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic □ Moderate
□ Advanced
☑ CDP Forests
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic
☐ Moderate
☐ Advanced
☑ CDP Water
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic
☐ Moderate
□ Advanced



☑ Climate Action 100+

☐ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity

Y	our organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic	
☐ Moderate	
☐ Advanced	
	rovide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. Optional]
We support Clima	t Acion 100+ initiative
☐ Code for Respons	sible Investment in SA (CRISA)
☐ Council of Instituti	onal Investors (CII)
☐ Eumedion	
☐ Extractive Industri	es Transparency Initiative (EITI)
☐ ESG Research Au	ustralia
☐ Invest Europe Res	sponsible Investment Roundtable
☐ Global Investors C	Governance Network (GIGN)
☐ Global Impact Inv	esting Network (GIIN)
☐ Global Real Estate	e Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
☐ Green Bond Princ	iples
☐ HKVCA: ESG Cor	nmittee
☐ Institutional Invest	tors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
☐ Interfaith Center o	on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
☐ International Corp	orate Governance Network (ICGN)
☐ Investor Group on	Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
☐ International Integ	rated Reporting Council (IIRC)
☐ Investor Network	on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
☐ Local Authority Pe	ension Fund Forum
☐ Principles for Fina	incial Action in the 21st Century
☐ Principles for Sus	tainable Insurance
☐ Regional or Nation	nal Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
☐ Responsible Final	nce Principles in Inclusive Finance
☐ Shareholder Asso	ciation for Research and Education (Share)
☐ United Nations En	nvironmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
☐ United Nations Gl	obal Compact



 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Princificat committee: a committee dedicated to ethical issues.

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)								
	□ Basic								
	☐ Moderate								
	☑ Advanced								
	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]								
	Membe	ers of th	nmittee has been set to improve on its committee are : nuns, bishop a noe Observatory is part of it. This	ind laymen involved	d in Christian finance. For example				
V	Other o	collabor	ative organisation/initiative, speci	fy					
,	AFG R	espons	ible Investment Committee (frenc	h asset manageme	ent association)				
			Your organisation's role in the in	nitiative during the	reporting year (see definitions)				
	\checkmark	Basic							
		Moder	ate						
		Advan	ced						
\checkmark	Other o	collabor	ative organisation/initiative, speci	fy					
,	Arkéa I	S ESG	committee						
	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)								
		Basic							
	☐ Moderate								
	☑ Advanced								
	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]								
(We have set up a quarterly ESG Committee in 2018 at the level of Arkea Investment Services. It gathers ESG staff of the asset management companies of the Arkea Group i.e Federal Finance Gestion, Schelcher Prince Gestion, Swen Capital Partners and Mandarine Gestion. Meetings are organized on a quarterly basis. The main objective of the committee is to share and implement ESG best practices.								
	The agenda of the meetings is usually organized in 2 parts: update on the current ESG projects and the next steps and a non-group affiliated ESG expert presentation.								
	In 2019 we launched an RFP to buy climate related data and to select a consultant to help us in the training of our staff and in the implementation of the TCFD.								
	☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify								
2		landate	ory to Report Voluntary to e	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1			



Indicate approximately what percentage (+/- 5%) of your externally managed assets under management are managed by PRI signatories.

%

SG 10 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 4

SG 10.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

☑ Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

Description

Training programmes for customers, especially institutionnal investors that have to address the French LTE law.

Frequency of contribution

- O Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- O Ad hoc
- Other
- ☐ Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- ☐ Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
- ☐ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- ☑ Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

Description

Our CEO spoked at public event related to sustainable finance. We published an interview of our Head of SRI speaking about climate change and financial duty.



	Frequency of contribution			
○ Quarter	y or more frequently			
Annually	/			
○ Less fre	quently than annually			
O Ad hoc				
○ Other				
☐ Wrote and pu	ublished in-house research pa	apers on respons	sible investment	
☐ Encouraged	the adoption of the PRI			
☐ Responded to	o RI related consultations by	non-government	tal organisations (OECD, FSE	3 etc.)
☐ Wrote and pu	ublished articles on responsib	le investment in	the media	
☐ A member of	PRI advisory committees/ we	orking groups, sp	pecify	
☐ On the Board	of, or officially advising, other	er RI organisation	ns (e.g. local SIFs)	
☐ Other, specif	у			
○ No				
Outsourcing to fic	duciary managers and in	vestment con	sultants Core Assessed	PRI 4
Mandatory		Public		
Mandatory New selection options		Public ndicator. Please	Core Assessed e review your prefilled response	
Mandatory New selection options SG 12.1 Indica	s have been added to this in te whether your organisation	Public ndicator. Please	Core Assessed e review your prefilled response	
Mandatory ew selection options SG 12.1 Indica Yes, we use inves	s have been added to this in te whether your organisation	Public ndicator. Please	Core Assessed e review your prefilled response	
Mandatory New selection options SG 12.1 Indica Yes, we use inves	te whether your organisation tment consultants investment consultants.	Public ndicator. Please	Core Assessed e review your prefilled response	
Mandatory lew selection options SG 12.1 Indica ☐ Yes, we use inves ☑ No, we do not use ESG issues in ass	te whether your organisation tment consultants investment consultants.	Public ndicator. Please uses investment	Core Assessed e review your prefilled response consultants.	onses carefully.
Mandatory lew selection options SG 12.1 Indica ☐ Yes, we use inves ☑ No, we do not use	te whether your organisation tment consultants investment consultants.	Public ndicator. Please	Core Assessed e review your prefilled response	
Mandatory New selection options SG 12.1 Indica ☐ Yes, we use inves ☑ No, we do not use ESG issues in ass Mandatory Indica	te whether your organisation through the whether your organisation through the transmission of the whether the organisation of	Public ndicator. Please uses investment Public arries out scena	Core Assessed e review your prefilled response consultants.	PRI 1
Mandatory New selection options SG 12.1 Indica ☐ Yes, we use inves ☑ No, we do not use ESG issues in ass Mandatory SG 13.1 Indica providents.).	te whether your organisation through the whether your organisation through the transmission of the whether the organisation of	Public ndicator. Please uses investment Public arries out scena	Core Assessed e review your prefilled response consultants. Descriptive rio analysis and/or modelling,	PRI 1



impact of the different ESG criteria. The weights of these criteria are then calculated to highlitght in our rating

the most important ESG issues for each sector.

,	rder to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities		
⊔ No, our o	organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling		
SG 13.2	Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.		
	We do the following		
☐ Alloca	tion between asset classes		
□ Deterr	nining fixed income duration		
☐ Alloca	tion of assets between geographic markets		
☐ Sector	☐ Sector weightings		
✓ Other,	specify		
	e developing a funds range dedicated to some specific issues. For the rest of our investments, we levelop a best in class approach		
□ We do	not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation		
SG 13.3	Additional information. [OPTIONAL]		

Despite the normative approach (global compact issues, controversial weapons and coal divestment), we integrate a sectorial best in class methodology.

SG 14 CC	Voluntary	Public	General
SC 14	6		



CC

Metric Type	Coverage	Purpose	Metric Unit	Metric Methodology
Weighted average carbon intensity	☐ All assets ☑ Majority of assets ☐ Minority of assets	All metrics are calculated for Suravenir, our insurance company		
Carbon footprint (scope 1 and 2)	☐ All assets ☐ Majority of assets ☐ Minority of assets			
Portfolio carbon footprint	☐ All assets ☑ Majority of assets ☐ Minority of assets			
Carbon intensity	☐ All assets ☐ Majority of assets ☐ Minority of assets			
Exposure to carbon- related assets	☐ All assets ☐ Majority of assets ☑ Minority of assets			

SG 14.8 CC Indicate whether climate-related risks are integrated into overall risk management and explain the risk management processes used for identifying, assessing and managing climate-related risks.

Processes for climate-related risks are integrated into overall risk management

Please describe

Climate related issues are integrated into our issuers reviews presented on a weekly basis during our risk committee. The committee pay a specfic attention to these risks. In 2020 we will upgrade our process by isolating the climate risks assessments thanks to the new data that will be available, including transition risks and physical risks.

O Processes for climate-related risks are not integrated into overall risk management



SG	14.9
CC	

Indicate whether your organisation, and/or external investment manager or service providers acting on your behalf, undertake active ownership activities to encourage TCFD adoption.

` /

O No, we do not undertake active ownership activities.

No	o, we do not undertake active ownership ac	ctivities to encoura	age TCFD adoption.	
SG 15	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
SG 15 ⊚ Ye	environmental and social themec		manages, funds based on sp	pecific
5	Indicate the percentage of y	our total AUM inv	ested in environmental and s	ocial themed areas.
	%			
(0.3			
5	Specify which thematic area particular asset class and pr	(s) you invest in, rovide a brief desc	indicate the percentage of yo cription.	ur AUM in the
	Area			
	☐ Energy efficiency / Clean technology			
	☐ Renewable energy			
	☐ Green buildings			
	☐ Sustainable forestry			
	☐ Sustainable agriculture			
	☐ Microfinance			
	☐ SME financing			
	\square Social enterprise / community investig	ng		
	☐ Affordable housing			
	☐ Education			
	☐ Global health			
	□ Water			
	☑ Other area, specify			
	Solidarity fund			
	Asset class invested			
	☐ Listed equity			
	☐ Fixed income - SSA			
	☑ Fixed income - Corporate (finance)	cial)		

	Percentage of AUM (+/-5%) per asset class invested in the area		
100			
☐ Fixed incom	ne - Corporate (non-financial)		
☐ Fixed incom	☐ Fixed income - Securitised		
☐ Private equ	☐ Private equity		
☐ Property	□ Property		
☐ Cash			
В	rief description and measures of investment		

bond (SIFA: Société d'Investissement France Active)

 \bigcirc No

Asset class implementation not reported in other modules

SG 16 Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-----------------	--------	-------------	---------

SG 16.1

Describe how you address ESG issues for internally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Fixed income - Securitised	We address fixed income issues for securitised bonds with the same methodology as for fixed income in general.
Money market instruments	We address money market issues with the same methodology as for fixed income in general. Our money market funds integrate ESG issues.

SG 16.2 Additional information [Optional]

We do not consider that differences should be made in ESG integration due to asset classes. Of course voting is a specific duty for equities but we consider that as bondholder we also have to well integrate ESG consideration in our portfolio management process.

SG 1	7	Mar	ndatory	Public	Descriptive	General
			Describe how you address ESG iss	ues for externally r	managed assets for which a speci	ific PRI
	SG 17	4	asset class module has yet to be de		3	

your assets are below the minimum threshold.



Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Private equity	For all externally managed assets, we sent an annual questionnaire to all asset managment companies. We assess them on two topics: (i) integration of ESG in investment strategy and means, CSR qualities and (ii) at the portfolio level, ESG integration, ESG philosophy, exclusion policies.
Property	Our investment in property is made for a mandate that doesn't have specific ESG requirements for property assets.

Communication

SG 19 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

Do you disclose?

- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public



Disclosure t	o clients/beneficiaries
	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
monitoring p	
	the responsible investment activities carried out by managers on your behalf or G impacts and outcomes that have resulted from your managers' investments and/or active
	Frequency
☐ Quarterly	or more frequently
☐ Biannually	
☐ Annually	
☐ Less frequ	ently than annually
✓ Ad-hoc/wh	nen requested
	Listed equity - Incorporation
	Do you disclose?
○ We	do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
• We	disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
○ We	disclose it publicly
Disclosure t	o clients/beneficiaries
	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
O Broad app	proach to ESG incorporation
	xplanation of ESG incorporation strategy used
	<u>· </u>
	Frequency
Quarterly	or more frequently
☐ Biannually	
☐ Annually	
☐ Less frequ	ently than annually
□ Ad boo/wh	nen requested

Listed equity - Engagement



Do you disclose?

- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- $\ \bigcirc$ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

○ Yes

No



Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries					
Discussions to public und CN2						
Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries					
☑ Details on the overall engagement strategy	☑ Details on the overall engagement strategy					
☑ Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals	☑ Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals					
✓ Number of engagements undertaken	☑ Number of engagements undertaken					
☑ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic	☑ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic					
☑ Breakdown of engagements by region	☑ Breakdown of engagements by region					
☑ An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives	☑ An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against					
☑ Examples of engagement cases	defined objectives					
☑ Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.)	 ☑ Examples of engagement cases ☑ Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) ☐ Details on whether the provided information 					
☐ Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured						
☑ Outcomes that have been achieved from the	has been externally assured					
engagement Other information	☑ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement					
□ Other information	☐ Other information					
Frequency	Frequency					
☐ Quarterly or more frequently	☑ Quarterly or more frequently					
☐ Biannually	☐ Biannually					
☑ Annually	☐ Annually					
☐ Less frequently than annually	☐ Less frequently than annually					
☐ Ad-hoc/when requested	☐ Ad-hoc/when requested					
https://www.federal-finance-gestion.fr/gestion/actifs/jcms/c_55464						
Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting Do you disclose?						

- O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- $\ \bigcirc$ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public



O Yes

No

Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries		
Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries		
Disclose all voting decisions	Disclose all voting decisions		
O Disclose some voting decisions	O Disclose some voting decisions		
Only disclose abstentions and votes against management	Only disclose abstentions and votes against management		
Frequency	Frequency		
☐ Quarterly or more frequently	☐ Quarterly or more frequently		
☐ Biannually	☐ Biannually		
☑ Annually	☑ Annually		
☐ Less frequently than annually	☐ Less frequently than annually		
\square Ad hoc/when requested	☐ Ad hoc/when requested		
https://www.federal-finance-gestion.fr/gestion/actifs/jcms/c_55464			

Fixed income

Do you disclose?

- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- \bigcirc We disclose to the public



Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries								
Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries								
O Broad appr	roach to RI incorporation							
Detailed ex	xplanation of RI incorporation strategy used							
Frequency								
☑ Quarterly								
☐ Biannually								
☐ Annually								
☐ Less frequently than annually								
☐ Ad hoc/who	en requested							

SG 19.2 Additional information [Optional]

We are in the process to publish the different annual ESG reports for 2019 (engagement, voting, \dots). These reports should be available by the end of April 2020.

Federal Finance

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Listed	Equity and	I Fixed Incom	e Strategies				
AM 01	Mandatory			Public		Gateway	PRI
SAM 0	to imp	ate which of the olement on your we investment s	behalf for all yo	ncorporation : our listed equi	stra ty a	ategies you require your external n and/or fixed income assets:	nanage
Active inve	estment	Listed Equity	FI - SSA	FI - Corpora (financial)	ite	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	
Screening							
Thematic		V	V	\checkmark		Ø	
Integration		V	V	V		Ø	
None of the	above						
	Pas	ssive investment	strategies				
Pass	sive investm	ent strategies	Listed Equity	FI - SSA		FI -Corporate (non-financial)	
Scre	ening						
Ther	matic		V	V		V	
			V	7		V	1

SAM 01.2 Additional information. [Optional

For the selection of our external portfolio manager, we assess their integration of ESG topics through 2 questionnaires. One is focus on the portfolio management company (means, CSR integration...). The other one is more focus on the portfolio process (philosophy, integration, exclusion constraints...). a dedicated committee chaired by the CEO of our company decide of the eligibility or not of the funds in our buy list.

Selection

Integration

None of the above



SAM 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

SAM 02.1

Indicate what RI-related information your organisation typically covers in the majority of selection documentation for your external managers

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)
Your organisation's investment strategy and how ESG objectives relate to it	V	V		
ESG incorporation requirements	V	V	V	
ESG reporting requirements	V	V	V	
Other	V	V	V	
No RI information covered in the selection documentation				

You selected an `Other` option in table SAM 02.1 above, please specify

In our questionnaires, we are also asking to the external portfolio managers if they repspect the specific catholic church approach.

SAM 02.2

Explain how your organisation evaluates the investment manager's ability to align between your investment strategy and their investment approach

Strategy



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)		
Assess the time horizon of the investment manager's offering vs. your/beneficiaries' requirements						
Assess the quality of investment policy and its reference to ESG	V	V	☑			
Assess the investment approach and how ESG objectives are implemented in the investment process	V	V				
Review the manager's firm-level vs. product-level approach to RI	V	V	☑	☑		
Assess the ESG definitions to be used						
Other						
None of the above						

ESG people/oversight

		Т	1	
	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)
Assess ESG expertise of investment teams	V	V	V	
Review the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation				
Review how is ESG implementation enforced /ensured	V	V		
Review the manager's RI-promotion efforts and engagement with the industry				
Other				
None of the above				

Process/portfolio construction/investment valuation



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)		
Review the process for ensuring the quality of the ESG data used	V	V				
Review and agree the use of ESG data in the investment decision making process	V	V		\checkmark		
Review and agree the impact of ESG analysis on investment decisions	V	V	☑	I		
Review and agree ESG objectives (e.g. risk reduction, return seeking, real-world impact)	V	V		V		
Review and agree manager's ESG risk framework						
Review and agree ESG risk limits at athe portfolio level (portfolio construction) and other ESG objectives	V	V	☑			
Review how ESG materiality is evaluated by the manager	V	V		V		
Review process for defining and communicating on ESG incidents						
Review and agree ESG reporting frequency and detail						
Other, specify						
None of the above						

SAM 02.3	Indicate the selection process and its ESG/RI components							
☑ Review ESG/RI responses to RfP, RfI, DDQ etc.								
☐ Review re	☐ Review responses to PRI's Limited Partners` Responsible Investment Due Diligence Questionnaire (LP DDQ)							
☑ Review pu	ublicly available information on ESG/RI							
☐ Review as	ssurance process on ESG/RI data and processes							
☐ Review Pl	RI Transparency Reports							
☑ Request and discuss PRI Assessment Reports								
	with the potential shortlisted managers covering ESG/RI themes							
☐ Site visits to potential managers offices								
☐ Other, spe	ecify							

SAM 02.4

When selecting external managers does your organisation set any of the following:

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	
ESG performance development targets	V	V			
ESG score	V	\checkmark	V		
ESG weight	V	V	\square		
Real world economy targets					
Other RI considerations					
None of the above					

SAM 02.5

Describe how the ESG information reviewed and discussed affects the selection decision making process.[OPTIONAL]

As described before, thanks to the answers of the questionnaires and the results of the exchanges we can have with the external asset managers, the ESG research team is analysing the quality of the asset managers and the funds. The result of this review is presented to an ESG external fund selection committee chaired by the CEO of Federal Finance Gestion. For the main part of our funds of funds, 90% of the underlying funds have to propose an advenced ESG integration.

	Monit	oring				
SAM	05	Mar	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
	SAM 0	5.1	When monitoring managers, indicating information your organisation typical			nent



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)		
ESG objectives linked to investment strategy (with examples)						
Evidence on how the ESG incorporation strategy(ies) affected the investment decisions and financial / ESG performance of the portfolio/fund	V	V	☑			
Compliance with investment restrictions and any controversial investment decisions	V	V	Ø	V		
ESG portfolio characteristics	V	V	abla	V		
How ESG materiality has been evaluated by the manager in the monitored period	V	V	Ø	V		
Information on any ESG incidents	V	V	abla	\checkmark		
Metrics on the real economy influence of the investments						
PRI Transparency Reports						
PRI Assessment Reports						
RI-promotion and engagement with the industry to enhance RI implementation	V	V	Ø			
Changes to the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation	V	V	Ø	V		
Other general RI considerations in investment management agreements; specify						
None of the above						

SAM 05.2

When monitoring external managers, does your organisation set any of the following to measure compliance/progress



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)
ESG score	V	V	V	
ESG weight				
ESG performance minimum threshold	V	V		
Real world economy targets				
Other RI considerations				
None of the above				

Outputs and outcomes

SAM 09 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,6

SAM 09.1

Provide examples of how ESG issues have been addressed in the manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring process for your organisation during the reporting year.

☑ Add Example 1



Topic or issue	quality of integration				
Conducted by	☑ Internal staff				
Asset class	☐ All asset classes				
	☑ Listed Equity				
	☐ Fixed income – SSA				
	☐ Fixed income – corporate (financial)				
	☐ Fixed income – corporate (non financial)				
Scope and process	The answers of our questionnaire from a specific asset manager revealed a weak integration of ESG topics with the absence of constriants. Therefore we have decided to have a call with the asset manager. We then better understood the integration of ESG analysis in the investment process and the treatment of controversies. We then propose to our ESG selection committee to make this fund eligible for our ESG funds of funds.				
Outcomes	Decision of our selection committee to make the fund eligible by our ESG funds of funds.				
☐ Add Ex	xample 2				
☐ Add Ex	☐ Add Example 3				
☐ Add Ex	☐ Add Example 4				
☐ Add Ex	☐ Add Example 5				
☐ Add Ex	xample 6				
☐ Add Ex	xample 7				
□ We are	☐ We are not able to provide examples				



Federal Finance

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes **LEI 01 Mandatory Public Gateway** PRI 1 Indicate which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities; and the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by **LEI 01.1** strategy or combination of strategies. ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply) ☐ Screening alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies) ☐ Thematic alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies) ☐ Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies) Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/-% 5% 100 ☐ Thematic and integration strategies ☐ Screening and thematic strategies ☐ All three strategies combined ☐ We do not apply incorporation strategies Total actively managed listed equities 100%

Our ESG approch has two legs.

particular strategy/strategies.

LEI 01.2

One is a norm based ESG integration. A screening is based on 1/ the UN Global Compact Principles, 2/ a list of issuers involved in cluster bombs and landmines that are excluded and 3/ a coal policy that we have published in 2019 ans that exclude issuers that are involved in coal for more thant 30% of their revenues (for the extractive companies) or utilities companies thathave more than 30% of coal in their energy mix. To assess this coal share we use data from the Global Coal Exit List that .

Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the

On the other hand, we have developped a sectorial "Best in class" approach for our equity funds. We want our SRI funds to invest in companies with the best ESG practices regarding their ESG issues. Our equity funds are feeders of Mandarine Gestion's funds (the masters). They are managed with a responsible investment strategy and have received the french SRI label.

Our main customer has a dedicated ESG process. It covers a large amount of our assets under management. The philosophy is to limit investment in companies that have a low ESG rating.

For the rest of our equity funds, we integrate systematically our ESG research and as a result we do not invest in the 20% of issuers that have the lowest rating.



LEI 01.3

If assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, briefly describe how these combinations are used. [Optional]

LEI 02	Volunt	ary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
	LEI 02.1	Indicate what ESG information you this information.	ou use in your ESG	incorporation strategies and who	provides
	Type of ESC	information			
	☑ Raw ESG	company data			
		vho provides this information			
	⊻ ESG □ Sell-s	research provider			
		side ouse – specialised ESG analyst or t	aam.		
		ouse – analyst or portfolio manager			
		related analysis or ratings			
	Indicate v	who provides this information			
		research provider			
	□ Sell-s	side			
	☑ In-ho	ouse – specialised ESG analyst or t	eam		
	□ In-ho	ouse – analyst or portfolio manager			
		ated analysis or ratings			
	Indicate v	vho provides this information			
	☑ ESG	research provider			
	☑ Sell-s	side			
	☑ In-ho	ouse – specialised ESG analyst or t	eam		
	☑ In-ho	ouse – analyst or portfolio manager			



☑ Country-related analysis or ratings

Indicate who provides this information

☐ ESG research provider					
☐ Sell-side					
☑ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team					
☐ In-house – analyst or portfolio manager					
☑ Screened stock list					
Indicate who provides this information					
☑ ESG research provider					
☐ Sell-side					
☑ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team					
☐ In-house – analyst or portfolio manager					
☑ ESG issue-specific analysis or ratings					
Indicate who provides this information					
☐ ESG research provider					
☑ Sell-side					
☑ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team					
☐ In-house – analyst or portfolio manager					
☐ Other, specify					
LEI 02.2 Indicate whether you incentivise brokers to provide ESG research.					
Yes					

LEI 02.3 Describe how you incentivise brokers.

ESG Research team is part of the brokers review. ESG issues have the same voting weight as the financial

sector. A qualitative SRI feedback is given to brokers.

When implementing MIFID 2 new regulation, the ESG Research team participated to the definition of a broker's list. All brokers asked by this team were selected on the final list.

 \bigcirc No



LEI 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a process through which information derived from ESG engagement and/or (proxy) voting activities is made available for use in investment decision-making.

- - We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.
 - O We occasionally make this information available.
 - O We do not make this information available.
- ☑ (Proxy) voting
 - We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.
 - O We occasionally make this information available.
 - O We do not make this information available.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 04 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1

LEI 04.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

✓ Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- ✓ Product
- □ Sector
- ☐ Country/geographic region
- $\hfill\square$ Environmental and social practices and performance
- ☐ Corporate governance

Description

We exclude all companies involved in landmines and cluster munitions. We also exlcude issuers involved in coal extraction if this represents more than 30% of their revenues and utilities companies if coal represents more than 30% of their energy mix.

✓ Positive/best-in-class screening

Screened by



□ Product
□ Activity
☑ Sector
☑ Country/geographic region
$\ensuremath{\boxdot}$ Environmental and social practices and performance

Description

We have a best in class approach. For each sector we assess the performance of every company on the 3 pillars: Environment, Social and Corporate governance. We rank them with the aim to identify companies with the best practices on each pillar. Then we compute a SRI score derived from each ESG score, with a various ponderation depending on the sector ESG issues. This assessment is carried out for 3 geographical areas: Europe, France (for small and mid caps) and the rest of World.

✓ Norms-based screening

Screened by

$\hfill\Box$ The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
☐ International Labour Organization Conventions
$\hfill \square$ United Nations Convention Against Corruption
$\hfill \square$ OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
☐ Other, specify

Description

We consider UN Global Compact as a reference to the main international convention. So by using this norm we cover the conventions on labour rights, human rights, environmental issues, corruption... We have identified a list of companies that have made violation of the different principles of the Global Compact without giving insurance of adequate remediation plan. The assessment is first quantitative. Then we analyse the short list to discriminate the worst performers. This list of companies available on our website with the rationale of each exclusion.

LEI 04.2

Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria.

The screening criteria are established by our ESG team, which selects criteria for each sector in accordance with the sector's ESG issues. Criteria are reviewed on an annual basis. Clients are notified through our Transparency code.

LEI 05 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1



LEI 05.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG screening is based on robust analysis.					
	ensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.					
$\ensuremath{\square}$ Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies.						
☑ External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar.						
☑ Third-part	y ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.					
☐ Trading p	latforms blocking / restricting flagged securities on the black list.					
	tee, body or similar with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company riews some or all screening decisions.					
☑ A periodic	review of internal research is carried out.					
☐ Review ar	nd evaluation of external research providers.					
☐ Other; specify						
\square None of the	ne above					
LEI 05.2	Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your ESG screening strategy.					
O <10%						
○ 10-50%						
○ 51-90%						
⊚ >90%						
LEI 05.3	Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings are updated for screening purposes.					
O Quarterly	or more frequently					
O Bi-Annual	ly					
Annually						
O Less frequ	uently than annually					

Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 1

Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG screens.



LEI 05.4

LEI 06

○ Bi-Annually● Annually

O Quarterly or more frequently

 \bigcirc Less frequently than annually

LEI 06.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure fund criteria are not breached.				
☑ Systematic checks are performed to ensure that stocks meet the fund's screening criteria					
$\hfill \Box$ Automated IT systems prevent investment managers from investing in excluded stocks or those that do not meet positive screening criteria					
☑ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken regularly by internal audit function					
☐ Periodic auditing/checking of the organisations RI funds by external party					
☐ Other; specify					
☐ None of the above					
LEI 06.2	If breaches of fund screening criteria are identified, describe the process followed to correct those breaches.				

An internal audit is conducted every two weeks. If breaches are identified, fund managers receive an alert. They have to sell the securities from the companies that are no more eligible to the fund.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors LEI 08 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1 LEI 08.1 Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and G factors are systematically researched as part of your investment analysis.

ESG issues	Proportion impacted by analysis
Environmental	
	Environmental
	O <10%
	○ 10-50%
	○ 51-90%
	© >90%
Social	
	Social
	O <10%
	○ 10-50%
	○ 51-90%
	>90%
Corporate	
Governance	Corporate Governance
	O <10%
	○ 10-50%
	○ 51-90%
	● >90%

LEI 08.2 Additional information. [Optional

ESG issues are systematically taking into account in our investment process for the funds that are actively managed.

LEI 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1

LEI 09.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on robus analysis.					
☑ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products						
	ies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them inaccuracies					
☑ Third-pa	rty ESG ratings are updated regularly					
☑ A period	ic review of the internal research is carried out					
	ed, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or investments team					
☐ ESG risk	c profile of a portfolio against benchmark					
□ Analysis	of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance					
☐ Other; s	pecify					
☐ None of	the above					
LEI 09.2	Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.					
O <10%						
O 10-50%						
○ 51-90%						
>90%						
LEI 09.3	Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated.					
Quarterly	y or more frequently					
O Bi-Annua	ally					
○ Annually						
O Less free	quently than annually					
LEI 09.4	Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration strategy.					
O Quarterl	y or more frequently					
O Bi-Annua	ally					
Annually						
O Less free	quently than annually					
LEI 09.5	Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.					
☑ ESG info	ormation is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff					



 \square ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or

☑ Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into

industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff

investment decisions□ Other; specify□ None of the above

LEI 09.6

Additional information. [Optional]

Please note that the ratings are calculated on a monthly basis and provided to portfolio managers. A weekly meeting stand between portfolio managers and analysts to review some issuers or sectors. Finally, a web tool centralise all the research provided by the ESG team (as well as the research produced on financial analysis side). It contains issuers names but also some key words to easily find all the documents prepared by the research team.

Outputs and outcomes

LEI 12 Voluntary Public Descriptive PRI 1

LEI 12.1

Indicate how your ESG incorporation strategies have influenced the composition of your portfolio(s) or investment universe

Describe any reduction in your starting investment universe or other effects.

For management of our SRI funds, we have hard constraints to exclude more than 20% of their initial universe.

For the rest of our funds, we systematically integrate ESG ratings. If these funds don't have official constraints as the SRI funds have, we have decided to exclude all issuers that have the lowest ESG ratings (that represents 20% of the rated issuers).

Specify the percentage reduction (+/- 5%)

%

100

☐ Integration of ESG factors



Federal Finance

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

Attachment provided:

File 1:rapportannuel vote 2017 2018-03-13 16-34-25 48.pdf

File 2:compte_rendu_engagement2018.pdf

O URL provided:

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- ☑ Conflicts of interest
- ☑ Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- ☑ Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- ☑ Expectations and objectives
- ☑ Engagement approach

Engagement

- ☑ ESG issues
- ☑ Prioritisation of engagement
- ☑ Methods of engagement
- ☑ Transparency of engagement activities
- ☑ Due diligence and monitoring process
- ☑ Insider information
- ☑ Escalation strategies
- $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Service Provider specific criteria
- ☑ Other; (specify)
- ☑ (Proxy) voting approach



Voting					
☑ ESG issues					
☑ Prioritisation and scope of voting activities					
☑ Methods of voting					
☑ Transparency of voting activities					
☐ Regional voting practice approaches					
☐ Filing or co-filing resolutions					
☐ Company dialogue pre/post-vote					
☑ Decision-making processes					
☑ Securities lending processes					
☐ Other; (specify)					
□ Other					
☐ None of the above					
○ No					
LEA 01.4 Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?					
○ Yes					
No					
LEA 01.6 Additional information [optional]					

Please note that our 2019 engagement report that will include our voting report will be published in the next weeks.

Engagement									
LEA 02		Mar	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2,3			
LEA 02.1 Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.									



Type of engagement	Reason for interaction		
Individual / Internal staff engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues		
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure		
	☐ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management		
	☐ We do not engage via internal staff		
Collaborative engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues		
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure		
	\square To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management		
	☐ We do not engage via collaborative engagements		
Service provider engagements	☐ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues		
	☐ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure		
	\square To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management		
	☑ We do not engage via service providers		

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

○ Yes

No

LEA 03.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Engagement is performed (i) on issuers that have low ESG ratings (ii) on issuers that report insufficiently on their practices regarding key ESG issues or (iii) on issuers that are invested and that are subject of downgrades of their ESG ratings.

LEA 04 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1

Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.



Individual / Internal staff engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff
Collaborative engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration

LEA 04.2 Additional information. [Optional

Our ESG Research team conducts engagement. The organisation of the team is made on a sectoral approach, in order to favorise the expertise of the analysts on their sectors. Then, each of the analyst has to participate on engagement activities on the sectors on his coverage but due to the specificities of each sector and the reason why we decide to engage (as mentioned previously), it is not possible to foresee specific objectives on engagement.

LEA 05 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2 **LEA 05.1** Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes. Individual / Internal staff O Yes, in all cases engagements Yes, in a majority of cases O Yes, in a minority of cases O We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff. Collaborative engagements O Yes, in all cases O Yes, in a majority of cases Yes, in a minority of cases O We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is

carried out through collaboration.

LEA 05.2

Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.



Individual / Internal staff engagements	 ☑ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives ☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs ☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met ☐ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis ☐ Other; specify
Collaborative engagements	 □ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives □ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs ☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met □ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis □ Other; specify

LEA 06 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 2,4

LEA 06.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.

O Yes

No

LEA 07 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,2

LEA 07.1

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation`s engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual / Internal staff engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo
Collaborative engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo



LEA 07.2

Indicate the practices used to ensure that information and insights gained through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

□ Involving investment	decision-makers	when	developina	an	engagement	programn	ne

☑ Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations

☑ Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing

 \square Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels

☐ Other; specify

☐ None

LEA 07.3

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo
Collaborative engagements	○ Yes, systematically● Yes, occasionally○ No

LEA 07.4

Additional information. [Optional]

We disclose a specific reporting on our engagement's activities. This document is updated every year.

LEA 08 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 2

LEA 08.1

Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual/Internal staff engagements	Yes, we track the number of our engagements in fullYes, we partially track the number of our engagementsWe do not track
Collaborative engagements	 Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in full Yes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagements We do not track

Outputs and outcomes

LEA	10	Volu	ntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2	
	LEA 10	0.1	Indicate which of the following your	engagement involv	ved.		
	☑ Letters and emails to companies						
	○ In a minority of cases						
	С) In a m	najority of cases				
	In all cases						
	□ Mee	etings a	and/or calls with board/senior manage	ement			
	☑ Mee	etings a	and/or calls with the CSR, IR or other	management			
	С	In a m	ninority of cases				
	•) In a m	najority of cases				
	С	In all	cases				
	☐ Visi	ts to op	perations				
	☐ Visi	ts to su	pplier(s) in supplier(s) from the comp	pany's supply chain	l		
	☐ Part	ticipatio	on in roadshows				
	☐ Oth	er					
	(Provi	v) voti	ing and shareholder resolution	6			
	(110)	y) voti	ing and shareholder resolution	3			
LEA	12	Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2	
	LEA 12	2.1	Indicate how you typically make you	ur (proxy) voting de	ecisions.		
			Approach				
	\circ V	Ve use	our own research or voting team and	d make voting decis	sions without the use of service p	oviders.	
			service providers who make voting re	ecommendations a	nd/or provide research that we us	se to guide	
	our	voting	decisions.				
			Danadan				
			Based on				
	○ The service-provider voting policy we sign off on						
	Our own voting policy						
		O Ou	r clients` requests or policies				
		O Oth	ner (explain)				
	○ W whe	Ve hire re we r	 We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions. 				
	We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.						



LEA 12.2

Voluntary

LEA 14

Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

Additional Assessed

PRI 2

In case of policy breach, the analyst in charge of the annual general meeting has to inform the corporate officer who signs the voting ballot. If the corporate officer agrees with the rationale for the derogation, the compliance officer has to validate this exception. A register of exceptions is kept up to date and disclosed publicly.

Public

YesNo						
LEA	A 14.2 Describe why your organisation does not lend securities.					
Lendi	ing securities isn't part of our investment policy.					
A 15	Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 2					
LEA 15.	Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which where you or the service providers acting on your behalf raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.					
O 100%						
○ 99-75	5%					
O 74-50)%					
O 49-25	5%					
24-1%	%					
○ Neithe	er we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting					
LEA 15.2	2 Indicate the reasons for raising your concerns with these companies ahead of voting.					
□ Vote((s) concerned selected markets					
□ Vote((s) concerned selected sectors					
✓ Vote(s)	s) concerned certain ESG issues					
 ✓ Vote(s) concerned certain ESG issues ☐ Vote(s) concerned companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues 						
□ voic(.	□ Vote(s) concerned companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues					
	(s) concerned significant shareholdings					
□ Vote((s) concerned significant shareholdings t request					



Of the 1,105 resolutions that have been submitted to Federal Finance Gestion for approval, 911 have been

approved. 79% of general meetings were voted on at least one time.

LEA	EA 16 Mandatory		datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
	LEA 16.1 Indicate the proportion of votes where you, and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicated the rationale to companies for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.					
	O 100)%				
	O 99-	75%				
	O 74-	50%				
	O 49-	25%				
	O 24-	1%				
	We	do no	t communicate the rationale to compa	nies		
		applic menda	able because we and/or our service pations	roviders did not ab	stain or vote against managemer	nt
LEA	17	Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
	LEA 1	7.1	For listed equities in which you or you instructions, indicate the percentage			xy) voting

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%) % 40

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- O We do not track or collect this information

• We do track or collect this information



LEA 17.2	Explain your reason(s) for not voting on certain holdings			
☑ Shares w	ere blocked			
☐ Notice, ba	\square Notice, ballots or materials not received on time			
☐ Missed de	eadline			
☐ Geograph	nical restrictions (non-home market)			
□ Cost				
□ Conflicts	of interest			
☑ Holdings	deemed too small			
☐ Administr placement)	☐ Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)			
☐ Client req	☐ Client request			
☐ Other (ex	☐ Other (explain)			
LEA 17.3	_EA 17.3 Additional information. [Optional]			

Voting is systematic for companies whose outstanding amounts represent either:

- more than 0.4% of the company's capital
- more than 0.2% of the total outstanding of the voting perimeter $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

LEA 18	Volu	untary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
I FA 1	8.1	Indicate whether you track the votin	ng instructions that	you or your service provider on yo	our behalf

Yes, we track this information

LEA 18.2

Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:



Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	% 82.444
Against (opposing) management recommendations	17.55
Abstentions	0

99.994%

O No, we do not track this information

LEA 18.3

In cases where your organisation voted against management recommendations, indicate the percentage of companies which you have engaged.

0

LEA 19	EA 19 Mandatory		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
LEA 1	9.1	Indicate whether your organisation	has a formal escala	ation strategy following unsuccess	sful voting.

○ Yes● No

LEA 20 Voluntary Public Descriptive PRI 2

LEA 20.1

Indicate whether your organisation, directly or through a service provider, filed or co-filed any ESG shareholder resolutions during the reporting year.

O Yes

No



Federal Finance

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Implementation processes

FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1

FI 01.1

Indicate (1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and (2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.

SSA		
		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
	100	
		Thematic + integration strategies
	0	
		Screening + thematic strategies
	0	
		All three strategies combined
	0	
		No incorporation strategies applied
	0	
Corporate (financial)	100%	
		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
	100	

	Thematic + integration strategies
0	
	Screening + thematic strategies
0	
	All three strategies combined
0	
	No incorporation strategies applied
0	
10	00%

Corporate (non-		
financial)		Screening alone
	0	
		The second section of the section of the second section of the section of the second section of the se
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
	100	
		Thematic + integration strategies
	0	
		Screening + thematic strategies
	0	
		All three strategies combined
	0	
		No incorporation strategies applied
	0	
	100%	

FI 01.2

Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.

Our ESG integration approach is the consequence of a double conviction:

As an asset manager, we have a true responsibility toward our stakeholders, to integrate ESG topics, ESG topics are also financial performance drivers.

Then we systematically integrate ESG considerations into all our investment process, whatever are the kind of assets we are investing in.

FI 01.3 Additional information [Optional]

Please note the since 2018, all our credit analysis include an ESG analysis based on extrafinancial risks and opportunities.



FI 02

Indicate which ESG factors you systematically research as part of your analysis on issuers.

Select all that apply

	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Environmental data				
	\checkmark	\checkmark	V	
Social data				
	\checkmark	\checkmark	V	
Governance data				

FI 02.2

Indicate what format your ESG information comes in and where you typically source it

☑ Raw ESG company data

☑ ESG research provider
☐ Sell-side
$\ensuremath{\boxdot}$ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
\square In-house – FI analyst, PM or risk team
☐ Other, specify

Indicate who provides this information

☑ ESG factor specific analysis

Indicate	who	provides	this	inform	nation

☐ ESG research provider
☐ Sell-side
☑ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
\square In-house – FI analyst, PM or risk team
☐ Other, specify
✓ Issuer-level ESG analysis

Indicate who provides this information

maiotic wife provided the information
☑ ESG research provider
☐ Sell-side
☑ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
\square In-house – FI analyst, PM or risk team
☐ Other, specify
☑ Sector-level ESG analysis



Indicate w	ho provides this information				
☐ ESG research provider					
☐ Sell-si	□ Sell-side				
☑ In-hou	use – specialised ESG analyst or team				
☐ In-hou	use – FI analyst, PM or risk team				
☐ Other	, specify				
	vel ESG analysis				
Indicate w	ho provides this information				
□ ESG r	research provider				
☐ Sell-si	ide				
☑ In-hou	use – specialised ESG analyst or team				
☐ In-hou	☐ In-house – FI analyst, PM or risk team				
☐ Other, specify					
FI 02.3	Provide a brief description of the ESG information used, highlighting any differences in sources of information across your ESG incorporation strategies.				

For corporates (financial and non-financial), we use the same analysis scheme that we have built for equities assessment. Our philosophy is to have a single ESG score for each company whatever are the kind of asset we are investing in.

For sovereigns, we have developed a specific ESG methodology. For this purpose, we collect data from the World Bank, OECD, NGO (Transparency International, Reporters without borders...). This analysis is in-house realized, we don't use any provider or external consulting company to obtain ratings or data regarding sovereigns.

FI 03	Mandatory		atory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1			
FI 03.1		3.1	Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:						
 □ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken internally to determine companies' activities; and pand/or services ☑ Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on the correct inaccuracies 					roducts				
					der to review ESG research on the	em and			
☑ Issuer information and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is a				ensure ESG research is accurate					
 □ Internal audits and regular reviews of ESG research are undertaken in a systematic way. ☑ A materiality/sustainability framework is created and regularly updated that includes all the key Estand opportunities for each sector/country. 					aken in a systematic way.				
					SG risks				
	☐ Other, specify								
	□ None of the above								



FI 03.2	Describe now your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.						
☑ ESG info	$\ensuremath{ riangledef{eta}}$ ESG information is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff						
☑ ESG info	rmation is displayed on front office research platforms						
	$\ensuremath{\square}$ ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or similar documents						
$\ensuremath{\square}$ Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment committee meetings							
□ Records capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions							
☐ Other, sp	☐ Other, specify						
☐ None of the	☐ None of the above						
FI 03.3	Additional information. [Optional]						

In 2019, we have implemented a web research tool dedicated to the research (financial and ESG), available for the all the portfolio managers called Picking Box. Thanks to this tool, the portfolio manager can have access to all the research (including ESG research) that have been produced on the issuers they have in their portfolio. They can of course also have access to the research on the issuers they have an interest on.

(A) Implementation: Screening

FI 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1

FI 04.1

Indicate the type of screening you conduct.

Select all that apply

	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
	V	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Negative/exclusionary screening				
	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Positive/best-in-class screening				
	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Norms-based screening				

FI 04.2 Describe your approa

Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income

- UN Global Compact compliance

- Coal : we have implemented a coal policy to not invest in extractive companies that have more than 30% of their revenues from coal or utilities companies that have more than 30% of their energy mix also from coal.
- Controversial weapons: we exclude companies that are involved in the production, sell, maintenance of controversial weapons such as landmines and cluster munitions (Oslo and Ottawa Conventions which are mandatory for French asset managers).
- On client's request, we are also able to exclude companies that operate in sectors that could be considered as controversial (weapons, alcohol, tobacco, gambling, adult entertainment...).

Regarding SSA, our screening is focus on 6 topics:

For corporates, our normative screening is focus on:



- Index of governance,
- Index of corruption,
- Countries in conflict,
- Child labor,
- Fundamental human rights, authoritarian regime

Tax heaven (as considered by the French public list)

FI 06 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

FI 06.1

Indicate which systems your organisation has to ensure that fund screening criteria are not breached in fixed income investments.

Type of screening	Checks
	☑ Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria
Negative/exclusionary screening	☑ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year.
	☐ Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria
	☑ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions
	☐ Other, specify
	□ None of the above
	☑ Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria
Positive/best-in-class screening	☑ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year.
	☐ Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria
	☑ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions
	☐ Other, specify
	□ None of the above
	☑ Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria
Norms-based screening	☑ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year.
	☐ Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria
	☑ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions
	☐ Other, specify
	☐ None of the above

FI 06.2 Additional information. [Optional

Please note that:

- our exclusion list is formally updated once a year (but we do monitor the most severe controversies in order to exclude companies that would violate the principles of the UN Global Compact).
- regarding our best in class approach, ratings are updated on a monthly basis.



(C) Implementation: Integration

FI 10 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1

FI 10.1

Describe your approach to integrating ESG into traditional financial analysis.

All the analyses produced by the Research department have a financial opinion plus an ESG opinion. These analyses are carried out by a pair of analysts that are working together on the case study. Sector by sector, we determine the materiality of each E, S and G factor in order to give more importance to the pillar that would have a greater impact. However, we systematically overweight the G pillar as we consider that Governance is the main driver for the overall performance of an issuer, including for environmental and social practices

The results of the research are presented during the weekly Research/Credit Committee with our CIO and portfolio managers interested in the case study.

FI 10.2

Describe how your ESG integration approach is adapted to each of the different types of fixed income you invest in.

SSA

Regarding sovereigns, we have developed a specific ESG methodology. For this purpose, we collect data from the World Bank, OECD, NGO (Transparency International, Reporters without borders...). This analysis is inhouse realized, we don't use any provider or external consulting company to obtain ratings or data regarding sovereigns.

We then have a normative approach as described in the Screening section and a best in class approach for which criteria on each pillar are calculated:

- -On environmental side: management of resources, climate change...
- On social issues: education, health systems, tackling inequalities...
- On governance: compliance with international conventions, democracy, corruption issues....

Corporate (financial)

As mentionned previously, sector by sector, we determine the materiality of each E, S and G factor in order to give more importance to the pillar that would have a greater impact. However, we systematically overweight the G pillar as we consider that Governance is the main driver for the overall performance of an issuer, including for environmental and social practices

The ESG integration strategy described above is adapted to financial corporates as it includes a strong analysis of governance risks and ethics issues.



Corporate (non-financial)

As mentionned previously, sector by sector, we determine the materiality of each E, S and G factor in order to give more importance to the pillar that would have a greater impact. However, we systematically overweight the G pillar as we consider that Governance is the main driver for the overall performance of an issuer, including for environmental and social practices For non-financial companies, ESG topics will be analysed regarding their materialty on the issuer.

FI 11	Manda	atory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
FI 1	1 1	Indicate how ESG information is	typically used as pa	art of your investment process	

Select all that apply



	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
ESG analysis is integrated into fundamental analysis	V	\checkmark	V	
ESG analysis is used to adjust the internal credit assessments of issuers.				
ESG analysis is used to adjust forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates.				
ESG analysis impacts the ranking of an issuer relative to a chosen peer group.	\checkmark	V	V	
An issuer's ESG bond spreads and its relative value versus its sector peers are analysed to find out if all risks are priced in.	$\overline{\checkmark}$	V	V	
The impact of ESG analysis on bonds of an issuer with different durations/maturities are analysed.				
Sensitivity analysis and scenario analysis are applied to valuation models to compare the difference between base-case and ESG-integrated security valuation.				
ESG analysis is integrated into portfolio weighting decisions.	V	V	V	
Companies, sectors, countries and currency and monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits.				
The ESG profile of portfolios is examined for securities with high ESG risks and assessed relative to the ESG profile of a benchmark.				
Other, specify in Additional Information				

FI 12 Mand		Manda	atory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
	FI 12.1	1	Indicate the extent to which ESG	issues are reviewe	ed in your integration process.	



	Environment	Social	Governance
SSA	Environmental	Social	Governance
	Systematically	Systematically	Systematically
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	O Not at all	O Not at all
Corporate (financial)	Environmental	Social	Governance
(imanolal)	Systematically	Systematically	Systematically
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	O Not at all	O Not at all
Corporate (non-	Environmental	Social	Governance
financial)	Systematically	Systematically	Systematically
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	O Not at all	O Not at all

FI 12.2 Please provide more detail on how you review E, S and/or G factors in your integration process.

SSA

ESG factors are systematically taking into account in our investment process and risk monitoring. All of the ESG pillars are studied.

Corporate (financial)

ESG factors are systematically taking into account in our investment process and risk monitoring. All of the ESG pillars are studied.

Corporate (non-financial)

ESG factors are systematically taking into account in our investment process and risk monitoring. All of the ESG pillars are studied.

Fixed	income - Engagement			
FI 14	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2



FI 14.1

Indicate the proportion of your fixed income assets on which you engage. Please exclude any engagements carried out solely in your capacity as a shareholder.

Category	Proportion of	Proportion of assets					
	○ >50%						
SSA	○ 26-50%						
	○ 5-25%						
	More than	0%, less than 5%					
	FI 14.2	Indicate your motivations for conducting engagement (SSA fixed income assets).					
	☑ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management						
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure						
	☑ To influen	ce issuer practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issue					
Corporate (financial)	○ >50% ○ 26-50% ○ 5-25%						
	More than	More than 0%, less than 5%					
	FI 14.2 Indicate your motivations for conducting engagement (Corporate, Financial fixed income assets)						
	☑ To gain ar	☑ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management					
	☑ To encour	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure					
	☑ To influen	☑ To influence issuer practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issue					
Corporate (non- financial)	 (non- ○ >50% ○ 26-50% ○ 5-25% ● More than 0%, less than 5% 						
	FI 14.2	Indicate your motivations for conducting engagement (Corporate, non-financial fixed income assets)					
		n understanding of ESG strategy and/or management					
		age improved/increased ESG disclosure					
	✓ Io influen	ce issuer practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issue					

Disclose	FI 15	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2
----------	-------	--	--------	---------------------	---------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



FI 15.1

Indicate how you typically engage with issuers as a fixed income investor, or as both a fixed income and listed equity investor. (Please do not include engagements where you are both a bondholder and shareholder but engage as a listed equity investor only.)

Select all that apply

Type of engagement	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Individual/Internal staff engagements				
			\checkmark	
Collaborative engagements				
Service provider engagements				

FI 15.2

Indicate how your organisation prioritises engagements with issuers.

Select all that apply

	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non- financial)
Size of holdings			
Credit quality of the issuer			
Duration of holdings			
Quality of transparency on ESG		V	
Specific markets and/or sectors		V	Ø
Specific ESG themes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	\square	Ø
Issuers in the lowest ranks of ESG benchmarks		\square	d
Issuers in the highest ranks of ESG benchmarks			
Specific issues considered priorities for the investor based on input from clients and beneficiaries		V	
Other			

Select all that apply

	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non- financial)
We engage pre-investment.	V	V	▼
We engage post-investment.	V	V	☑
We engage proactively in anticipation of specific ESG risks and/or opportunities.			
We engage in reaction to ESG issues that have already affected the issuer.		V	Ø
We engage prior to ESG-related divestments.		V	✓
Other, describe			

FI 15.4

Indicate what your organisation conducts engagements with issuers on.

Select all that apply

	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non- financial)	
We engage on ESG risks and opportunities affecting a specific bond issuer or its issuer.				
We engage on ESG risks and opportunities affecting the entire industry or region that the issuer belongs to.				
We engage on specific ESG themes across issuers and industries (e.g., human rights).	V	V	☑	
Other, describe				

FI 15.5

Indicate how your organisation ensures that information and insights collected through engagement can feed into the investment decision-making process.

Select all that apply

		SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-
Ensuring regular cross-team meetings and presentation	ons.	\checkmark	V	V	
Sharing engagement data across platforms that is acc ESG and investment teams.	cessible to	√		Ø	
Encouraging ESG and investment teams to join engage meetings and roadshows.					
Delegating some engagement dialogue to portfolio managers/credit analysts.					
Involving portfolio managers when defining an engagement programme and developing engagement decisions.					
Establishing mechanisms to rebalance portfolio holdings based on levels of interaction and outcomes of engagements.					
Considering active ownership as a mechanism to assess potential future investments.				V	
Other, describe					
We do not ensure that information and insights collected through engagement can feed into the investment decision-making process.					
Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public		Additional Ass	essed	PRI 1,

FI 16.1

Indicate if your publicly available policy documents explicitly refer to fixed income engagement separately from engagements in relation to other asset classes.

Yes

FI 16.2

Please attach or provide a URL to your fixed income engagement policy document. [Optional]

URL

https://www.federal-finance-gestion.fr/gestion/actifs/jcms/c 55464

 \bigcirc No



FI 16.3

Please note that our 2019 engagement report will be available on our website in the next weeks.



Federal Finance

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Confidence building measures CM1 01 **Public Additional Assessed Mandatory** General Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this CM1 01.1 year has undergone: ☐ Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report ☐ Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year ☐ Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year) ☐ Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year) ☐ Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board) ☐ Other, specify ☑ None of the above CM1 02 **Mandatory Public Descriptive** General CM1 02.1 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report O Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year O Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report • We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report O None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year. **Public** CM1 03 **Mandatory Descriptive** General We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI CM1 03.1 Transparency Report: ☑ We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme

CM1 03.2 Which scheme?

☑ National SRI label based on the EUROSIF Transparency guidelines



		% of total AUM the scheme	e applies		
	⊚ < 25%				
	O 25-50 %				
	○ 50 - 70 %				
	○ >75 %				
	B-corporation				
	UK Stewards	hip code			
	GRESB				
	Commodity ty	pe label (e.g. BCI)			
	Social label				
	Climate label				
	RIAA				
	Other				
		endent/third party assurance included in this year's PRI Tr		c report (such as a sustainability	report)
□ ESG	audit of holdi	ngs			
☐ Othe	er, specify				
□ None	e of the above				
M1 04	Mandatory		Public	Descriptive	General
CM1 04	Do you	uplan to conduct third party a	assurance of this ye	ear's PRI Transparency report?	
○ Who	le PRI Transp	arency Report will be assure	d		

- \bigcirc Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report