



RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2020

Connor, Clark & Lunn Investment Management Ltd.





About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
8	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ъ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



Principles Index



Organisa	sational Overview						Principle					
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6			
OO TG		8	n/a									
00 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							√		
00 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓		
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓		
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓		
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	~	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							√		
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓		
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓		
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	8	n/a							✓		
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓		
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓		
00 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓		
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓		
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Private							✓		
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	8	n/a							✓		
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Private							✓		
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	8	n/a							✓		
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Public							✓		
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓		
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	8	n/a							✓		
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	8	n/a							✓		
00 PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	8	n/a							✓		
00 PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	8	n/a							✓		
00 PR 03	Largest property types	8	n/a							✓		
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	8	n/a							✓		
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	8	n/a							✓		
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	8	n/a							✓		
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	✓	Private							✓		
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-									

CCStrategy and Governance						rin	General			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Private							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	8	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		8	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14 CC		✓	Private							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct -	Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation						Principle				
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6		
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓							
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓							
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓							
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	8	n/a	✓							
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	8	n/a	✓							
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	8	n/a	✓							
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	8	n/a	✓							
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓							
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓							
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓							
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	8	n/a	✓							
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓							
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓							
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-								

Direct - I	t - Listed Equity Active Ownership							Principle					
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6				
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓								
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓							
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓								
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓								
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓								
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓						
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓								
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓								
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓								
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓								
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓								
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓								
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	8	n/a		✓								
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓								
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓								
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓								
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓								
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓								
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓								
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓								
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓								
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-										

Direct -	ect - Fixed Income						Principle				
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6		
FI 01	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓							
FI 02	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	✓							
FI 03	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	✓							
FI 04	Types of screening applied	8	n/a	✓							
FI 05	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	8	n/a	✓							
FI 06	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	8	n/a	✓							
FI 07	Thematic investing - overview	8	n/a	✓							
FI 08	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	8	n/a	✓							
FI 09	Thematic investing - assessing impact	8	n/a	✓							
FI 10	Integration overview	✓	Public	✓							
FI 11	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	✓	Public	✓							
FI 12	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	✓	Public	✓							
FI 13	ESG incorporation in passive funds	8	n/a	✓							
FI 14	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Private		✓						
FI 15	Engagement method	✓	Private	✓	✓						
FI 16	Engagement policy disclosure	✓	Private	✓	✓						
FI 17	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Private							✓	
FI 18	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	✓	Private	✓	✓						
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-								

Confidence building measures						rin	General			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	8	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	8	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Connor, Clark & Lunn Investment Management Ltd.

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Basic	inf	orn	nat	ior

OO 01 Mandatory Public Gateway/Peering General

00 01.1

Select the services and funds you offer

○ 0%○ <10%○ 10-50%● >50%
○ 10-50%● >50%○ 0%
>50%0%
O 0%
0 0 70
○ <10%
● 10-50%
○ >50%
0 0%
○ <10%
O 10-50%
○ >50%

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Hedge funds

☐ Fund of hedge funds

00 01.2

Additional information. [Optional]

Equity Market Neutral, Multi-Strategy

OO 02 Mandatory Public Peering General

00 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Canada



00 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters). ① 1 0 2-5 O 6-10 ○ >10 00 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE). FTE 98 OO 03 **Mandatory Public Descriptive** General Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in 00 03.1 their own right. ○ Yes No

000)4	Mar	ndatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
	00 04	.1	Indicate the year end date for your	reporting year.		
	31/12/	2019				

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		49	414	981	428
Currency	CAD				
Assets in USD		37	162	312	600

 $\hfill\square$ Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

00 04.4

Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

☑ Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach



OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

OO 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	61	0
Fixed income	28	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0



Hedge funds	11	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

 $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ as broad ranges

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3

ndicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional]

O Yes

No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- O Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09	O 09 Manda		atory	Public	Peering	General
	OO 09	.1	Indicate the breakdown of your orga	anisation's AUM by	market.	
			Developed Markets			
	99					
			Emerging Markets			
	1					
			Frontier Markets			



0

Other Markets

Total 100%

100%

Asset class implementation gateway indicators 00 10 **Mandatory Public** General **Gateway** 00 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year. Listed equity - engagement ☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers. ☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Listed equity – voting ☑ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers ☐ We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf Fixed income SSA - engagement ☐ We engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers. ☑ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with SSA bond issuers on

Please explain why you do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Our SSA investments are made up entirely of Canadian federal and provincial government bonds. While we do not engage with government issuers, ESG factors are incorporated into the analysis of SSA securities.

Fixed income Corporate (financial) - engagement

ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.

 \square We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.



Fixed income Corporate (non-financial) – engagement

☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.

 \square We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

OO 11 Mandatory Public Gateway General

00 11.1

Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - SSA

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- O We do not do ESG incorporation.

Hedge funds

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

OO 12 Mandatory Public Gateway General

00 12.1

Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- ☑ Organisational Overview

RI implementation directly or via service providers



Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

☑ Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- ☑ (Proxy) voting

Direct - Fixed Income

- ☑ Fixed income SSA
- ☑ Fixed income Corporate (financial)
- ☑ Fixed income Corporate (non-financial)

Direct - Other asset classes with dedicated modules

 \square Hedge Funds and/or Fund of Hedge Funds

Closing module

☑ Closing module

Peering questions

OO FI 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report. This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

OO FI 03.1

Indicate the approximate (+/-5%) breakdown of your SSA investments, by developed markets and emerging markets.

Developed markets

100

Emerging markets

0

Total

100%



OO FI 03.2

Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your corporate and securitised investments by investment grade or high-yield securities.

Туре	Investment grade (+/- 5%)	High-yield (+/- 5%)	Total internally managed
Corporate (financial)	>50%10-50%<10%	>50%10-50%● <10%	100%
	○ 0%	○ 0%	
Corporate (non-financial)	>50%10-50%<10%0%	>50%● 10-50%<10%○ 0%	100%

If you are invested in private debt and reporting on ratings is not relevant for you, please indicate below

 \square OO FI 03.2 is not applicable as our internally managed fixed income assets are invested only in private debt.

Connor, Clark & Lunn Investment Management Ltd.

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Investment policy

SG 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	Applicable policies cover all AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	O Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☐ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties	
☑ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☐ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☐ Screening / exclusions policy	
☑ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	



SG 01.3	Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following				
	nisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments				
✓ Your investigation	stment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account				
☑ Time horizon of your investment					
☑ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities					
☑ ESG inco	rporation approaches				
☑ Active ownership approaches					
☑ Reporting					
☐ Climate cl	hange				
☐ Understar	nding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences				
☐ Other RI o	considerations, specify (1)				
☐ Other RI o	considerations, specify (2)				

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

- We believe that, all else equal, companies with sound business practices including appropriate attention
 to environmental issues, social practices and corporate governance are more likely to outperform those
 without.
- We believe that, all else equal, companies with unsustainable practices and with poor corporate governance are likely to be subject to a higher cost of capital and operational risk.
- We believe that, all else equal, the impact of ESG practices on the outlook for risk and return increases with investment horizon.
- We believe that ESG best practices and regulations can differ across industries, sectors, and regions and our ESG approach should reflect these differences.
- We believe that addressing issues relating to ESG through our research, risk assessment, engagement
 activities and proxy voting is preferable than simply divesting of or screening out securities from our
 investable universe.
- We believe that we can best advocate for greater attention to ESG issues in our investable universe by
 participating in and supporting relevant coalitions, such as the Canadian Coalition for Good Governance,
 the UN-backed Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI), and various industry initiatives.
- We believe that we have a responsibility to advocate for the integrity of capital markets.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

 \bigcirc No

SG 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 6

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
https://www.cclgroup.com/cclim/en/home/what-we-do/responsible-investing
☑ Attachment (will be made public)
Attachment
File 1:cc-l-responsible-investing-policy.pdf
 □ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors □ Asset class-specific RI guidelines ☑ Engagement policy
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
https://www.cclgroup.com/cclim/en/home/what-we-do/responsible-investing
☐ Attachment (will be made public) ☑ (Proxy) voting policy
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL https://www.cclgroup.com/cclim/en/home/what-we-do/responsible-investing
☐ Attachment (will be made public)
□ We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents



SG 02.2

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

 $\ensuremath{\,ert}$ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

		URL/Attachment
V	URL	
		URL
	https://w	ww.cclgroup.com/cclim/en/home/what-we-do/responsible-investing
	Attachme	ent ent objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
		URL/Attachment
V	URL	
		URL
	https://w	ww.cclgroup.com/cclim/en/home/what-we-do/responsible-investing
	Attachme	
		of your investment structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
M 0000	erriance s	indictare of organisational 200 responsibilities
		URL/Attachment
\checkmark	URL	
		URL
	https://w	ww.cclgroup.com/cclim/en/home/what-we-do/responsible-investing
	Attachme	ent
☑ ESG	incorpor	ation approaches
		URL/Attachment
√	URL	



URL

https://www.cclgroup.com/cclim/en/home/what-we-do/responsible-investing

□ Attachment

☑ Active ownership approaches

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

https://www.cclgroup.com/cclim/en/home/what-we-do/responsible-investing

☐ Attachment

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

https://www.cclgroup.com/cclim/en/home/what-we-do/responsible-investing

☐ Attachment

☐ We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

CC&L adheres to the Code of Ethics as prescribed by the CFA Institute (CFAI). CC&L is in compliance with CFAI's ethical investment management standards and subscribes to full disclosure. A formal policy delineating Standards of Personal Conduct (SPC) and encompassing a personal trading policy is in place. Policies are monitored on an ongoing basis by the firm's Compliance Officer, and reviewed at least annually by the Board of Directors. On an annual basis, all members of firm confirm their understanding of and adherence to the firm's SPC. On a quarterly basis, all personnel provide an online certification written report of trading activity and conflicts of interest. Trading activity is audited in accordance with CC&L's internal procedures and a report on Compliance Activities is provided to the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis.

Some members of the firm are involved in various outside activities in their community. All such outside activities have been reviewed and approved by CC&L's UDP, and disclosed to securities regulators in accordance with National Instrument 31-103. None of these activities present a material conflict of interest with the members obligations to CC&L and its clients, and no issues have been raised by the securities regulators.



 \bigcirc No

Obj	ectives and	strategies			
SG 05	Mandator	у	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
SG (cate if and how frequently your stment activities.	organisation sets	and reviews objectives for its resp	onsible
O Q	uarterly or mo	re frequently			
ОВ	iannually				
A	nnually				
O Le	ess frequently	than annually			
\circ A	d-hoc basis				
○ It	is not set/revie	ewed			
Gov	vernance and	d human resources			
G 07	Mandator	у	Public	Core Assessed	General
SG (they			our organisation, and indicate for eabilities for responsible investment.	acii wiletilei
□ □	Board member	are or trustoes			
		nt/accountability for responsible	investment		
	_	entation of responsible investment			
	•	sight/accountability or impleme		ility for responsible investment	
✓		s (triggers other options)			
		(990.00 0			
		Select from the below interna	al roles		
		ecutive Officer (CEO), Chief In Committee	vestment Officer	(CIO), Chief Operating Officer (CO	Ο),
	☑ Ove	ersight/accountability for respon	nsible investment		
	□ Imp	plementation of responsible inve	estment		
	□ No	oversight/accountability or imp	lementation respo	onsibility for responsible investment	:
	Other Cl	nief-level staff or head of depar	tment, specify		
	Chief Co				



☐ Oversignt/accountability for responsible investment				
☑ Implementation of responsible investment				
$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment				
✓ Portfolio managers				
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment				
☑ Implementation of responsible investment				
$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment				
☑ Investment analysts				
☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment				
☑ Implementation of responsible investment				
$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment				
☑ Dedicated responsible investment staff				
☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment				
☑ Implementation of responsible investment				
$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment				
☐ Investor relations				
☑ Other role, specify (1)				
Other description (1)				
ESG Committee				
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment				
☐ Implementation of responsible investment				
☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment				
☐ Other role, specify (2)				
xternal managers or service providers				

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

At Connor, Clark & Lunn Investment Management (CC&L), our Board of Directors has ultimate responsibility for our approach to RI. In 2015, the Board established the CC&L ESG Committee comprised of leaders from each of our investment teams, our client solutions team, and compliance. The CC&L ESG Committee reports directly to the Board and its mandate is to oversee and coordinate firm wide RI activities including:

- Integration of ESG factors in our investment processes,
- Education of our teams including the internal communication of industry best practices and gathering of team insights regarding RI,
- External communication efforts including reporting to our clients on RI issues,
- Stewardship and engagement practices, including proxy voting,
- ESG related policies and guidelines, including our proxy voting policy,
- Oversight of our commitments under industry collaborative initiatives, including as a signatory to the UN-backed Principles for Responsible Investing (PRI).
- Review and evaluation of additional industry collaborative initiatives, and
- Most importantly, recommendations to our investment teams and our Board of ongoing improvements in all of these areas.

Each of our investment teams is responsible for ensuring the firm's ESG policies are being implemented.

In addition, in 2019 we created a Stewardship & Engagement team to provide support to our analysts and portfolio managers to more proactively engage with investee companies, collaborate with like-minded investors and facilitate our proxy voting.



SG 07.3 Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.	
	Number
1	
SG 07.4	Additional information. [Optional]

As an affiliate of Connor, Clark & Lunn Financial Group, the investment team at Connor, Clark & Lunn Investment Management receives support from dedicated responsible investment staff from within the Connor, Clark & Lunn Financial Group Engagement & Stewardship team.

	Prom	oting	responsible investment			
SG 0	9	Man	idatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
	SG 09	.1	Select the collaborative organisation which it participated during the repo			ember or in
			at apply for Responsible Investment			
			Your organisation's role in the in	nitiative during the	reporting period (see definitions)	
		□Ва	asic			
		☑ Mo	oderate			
			lvanced			
			Provide a brief commentary on [Optional]	the level of your or	ganisation's involvement in the ir	nitiative.

In 2019 we established formal guidelines for participation in collaborative initiatives and began regularly monitoring the UN PRI Collaboration Platform for opportunities and subsequently signed on to two letters.



☐ Asian Corporate Governance Association
☐ Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
□ AVCA: Sustainability Committee
☐ France Invest – La Commission ESG
□ BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
☐ CDP Climate Change
□ CDP Forests
□ CDP Water
☐ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
☐ Climate Action 100+
☐ Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
☐ Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
□ Eumedion
☐ Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
☐ ESG Research Australia
☐ Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
☐ Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
☐ Green Bond Principles
☐ HKVCA: ESG Committee
☐ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
☑ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic
☐ Moderate
☐ Advanced



Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

In 2019, Connor, Clark & Lunn Financial Group, of which Connor, Clark & Lunn Investment Management is an affiliate, became a member of the ICGN. We subsequently made use of the ICGN's Global Stewardship Principles while developing Connor, Clark & Lunn Investment Management's new Stewardship & Engagement Policy.

☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
☐ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
☐ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
☐ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
☐ Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
☐ Principles for Sustainable Insurance
$\hfill\square$ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
□ Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
☐ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
☐ United Nations Global Compact
☑ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
Canadian Coalition for Good Governance
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)
□ Basic
☐ Moderate
☑ Advanced
Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
CC&L is pleased to be a founding member of the Canadian Coalition for Good Governance (CCGC), whose members manage approximately \$4 trillion in combined assets. The CIO of CC&L is a Director of the CCGG.
Canadian Coalition for Good Governance (CCGG): https://www.ccgg.ca/
☑ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
Responsible Investment Association of Canada (RIA) Vancouver Working Group
Responsible investment Association of Canada (RIA) valicouver vvolking Group
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)
□ Basic
☐ Moderate
☑ Advanced



Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

A senior member of our Client Solutions team is the Chair of the Responsible Investment Association Vancouver-Working Group. The group was established in 2016 as a forum for the exchange of ideas, best practices, and education as these relate to ESG factors.

Other	collaborative	organisation/initiative,	specify

 $\hfill\square$ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 4

SG 10.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

☑ Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

Description

The Stewardship and Engagement team provides peer to peer RI support to various members of the investment teams on an ad hoc basis. In addition, a member of the Stewardship and Engagement team co-organizes a monthly peer discussion group on the topic of proxy voting and stewardship.

Frequency of contribution

- O Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other
- ☐ Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- ☐ Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
- ☐ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- ☑ Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

Description

In December 2019 a member of the Stewardship and Engagement team participated in a roundtable hosted by Scotiabank on challenges and opportunities in sustainable investment and contributed to the subsequently published article.



Quarterly or more frequentlyBiannuallyAnnuallyLess frequently than annually	
○ Annually	
O Less frequently than annually	
Ad hoc	
Other	
\square Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment	
☐ Encouraged the adoption of the PRI	
\square Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)	
\square Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media	
☐ A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify	
$\hfill\Box$ On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)	
☐ Other, specify	
○ No	
Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants	
SG 12 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 4	
inalitation in a contraction in a contractin a contraction in a contraction in a contraction in a contractio	
New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefull	<i>.</i>
SG 12.1 Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.	
☐ Yes, we use investment consultants	
☑ No, we do not use investment consultants.	
= 110, we do not doe investment constitution	
ESG issues in asset allocation	
SG 13 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1	
Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does,	
provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).	
SG 13.1 provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation,	
provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).	
provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).	
provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.). Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities	



Asset class implementation not reported in other modules

SG 16 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

SG 16.1

Describe how you address ESG issues for internally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved		
Hedge funds - DDQ	Select whether you have responded to the PRI Hedge Fund DDQ O Yes No		
Hedge funds	Not applicable. Our approach to incorporating ESG issues into our investment process is the same for Hedge Funds as it is for Fundamental Equity and Quantitative Equity mandates depending on the applicable Hedge Fund strategy.		

Communication

SG 19 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- \bigcirc We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

○ Yes

No



Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
Broad approach to ESG incorporation	O Broad approach to ESG incorporation
O Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used	 Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used
Frequency	Frequency
☐ Quarterly or more frequently	☑ Quarterly or more frequently
☐ Biannually	☐ Biannually
☑ Annually	☐ Annually
☐ Less frequently than annually	☐ Less frequently than annually
☐ Ad-hoc/when requested	☐ Ad-hoc/when requested
https://www.cclgroup.com/cclim/en/home/what-we-do/responsible-investing	
Listed equity - Engagement	
Do you disclose?	
We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.	
O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.	
We disclose to the public	
The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same	



○ Yes● No

Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
 ☑ Details on the overall engagement strategy ☐ Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals ☐ Number of engagements undertaken ☐ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic ☐ Breakdown of engagements by region ☐ An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives ☐ Examples of engagement cases ☐ Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filling resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) ☐ Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured 	 ☑ Details on the overall engagement strategy ☑ Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals ☐ Number of engagements undertaken ☐ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic ☐ Breakdown of engagements by region ☐ An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives ☑ Examples of engagement cases ☐ Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been
☐ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement ☐ Other information	unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) □ Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured □ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement □ Other information
Frequency	Frequency
 □ Quarterly or more frequently □ Biannually ☑ Annually □ Less frequently than annually □ Ad-hoc/when requested 	 ☑ Quarterly or more frequently ☐ Biannually ☐ Annually ☐ Less frequently than annually ☐ Ad-hoc/when requested
https://www.cclgroup.com/cclim/en/home/what-we-do/responsible-investing	

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting



Do you disclose?

- O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- $\ensuremath{\bullet}$ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- \bigcirc We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries			
Disclosure	to chemis/penencialles		
	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries		
Disclose a	Disclose all voting decisions		
O Disclose some voting decisions			
Only disclose abstentions and votes against management			
	Frequency		
☑ Quarterly	☑ Quarterly or more frequently		
□ Biannually			
☐ Annually			
☐ Less frequ	☐ Less frequently than annually		
☐ Ad hoc/when requested			

Fixed income

Do you disclose?

- O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No



Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
Broad approach to RI incorporation	O Broad approach to RI incorporation	
O Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used	Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used	
Frequency	Frequency	
☐ Quarterly	☑ Quarterly	
☐ Biannually	☐ Biannually	
☑ Annually	☐ Annually	
☐ Less frequently than annually	☐ Less frequently than annually	
☐ Ad hoc/when requested	☐ Ad hoc/when requested	
https://www.cclgroup.com/cclim/en/home/what-we-do/responsible-investing		

Hedge Funds

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

SG 19.2

Additional information [Optional]

Our hedge funds are comprised of underlying strategies (Fundamental Equity, Quantitative Equity and Fixed Income) that are managed by each of our investment teams. The approach to Responsible Investing for each of the underlying strategies is covered in detail in the relevant sections of our response and Responsible Investment Policy.



Connor, Clark & Lunn Investment Management Ltd.

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

1	Manda	itory	Public	Gateway	PRI
L	El 01.1		equities; and the break	or combination of strategies down of your actively mana	
E	SG incorp	oration strategy (select	all that apply)		
	□ Scree	ening alone (i.e., not coml	bined with any other stra	ategies)	
	☐ Then	natic alone (i.e., not comb	ined with any other stra	tegies)	
	✓ Integ	ration alone (i.e., not com	bined with any other str	ategies)	
					-
5%	□ Scree	ening and integration stra	41 %		
 ☐ Thematic and integration strategies ☐ Screening and thematic strategies 					
		ree strategies combined	gios		
		lo not apply incorporation	strategies		
Pero	centage of a	active listed equity to whic	h the		
	•	ed — you may estimate			
Otica					
5%			59		

LEI 01.2 Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular strategy/strategies.

The fundamental equity team meets on a regular and frequent basis with the management of the companies that they follow. At these meetings, the portfolio managers ask various questions that assist in the research of the organization that they are reviewing and include questions an austring hillty of the hydrogen configuration.

Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the

that they follow. At these meetings, the portfolio managers ask various questions that assist in the research of the organization that they are reviewing and include questions on sustainability of the business, environmental impacts of the business, social implications of policies enacted by the business and other related questions. Their responses to these questions form part of their analysis of the company. To the extent that there is a likely material implication over the investment time horizon of the strategy, the team will reflect this in their financial forecasts and valuation approach.



100%

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

LEI 08 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

LEI 08.1

Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and G factors are systematically researched as part of your investment analysis.

ESG issues	Proportion impacted by analysis	
Environmental		
		Environmental
	O <10%	
	○ 10-50%	
	○ 51-90%	
	o >90%	
Social		
		Social
	○ <10%	
	○ 10-50%	
	○ 51-90%	
	o >90%	
Corporate		
Governance		Corporate Governance
	O <10%	
	O 10-50%	
	○ 51-90%	
	● >90%	

LEI 08.2 Additional information. [Optional]

LEI 09 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1



LEI 09.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on robust analysis.		
☐ Compreh	ensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products		
	es are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them inaccuracies		
☐ Third-par	ty ESG ratings are updated regularly		
☐ A periodic	c review of the internal research is carried out		
	d, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or vestments team		
☐ ESG risk	profile of a portfolio against benchmark		
☑ Analysis of the second	of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance		
☐ Other; sp	ecify		
☐ None of t	he above		
LEI 09.5	Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.		
☐ ESG info	rmation is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff		
	☑ ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff		
	\square Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment decisions		
☐ Other; sp	□ Other; specify		
☐ None of t	he above		
1 F1 09 6	Additional information [Ontional]		

Connor, Clark & Lunn Investment Management Ltd.

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Over	rview				
LEA 01	Mandatory		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
	,				
New se	lection options	have been added	to this indicator. Pleas	se review your prefilled resp	onses carefully.
LEA (01.1 Indicate voting)		anisation has an active c	ownership policy (includes eng	agement and/or
	es				
ı	L EA 01.2 At	tach or provide a Uf	RL to your active owners	ship policy.	
	Attachment pr	ovided:			
	URL provided				
		URL			
	https://www.co	clgroup.com/cclim/e	n/home/what-we-do/res	ponsible-investing	
				-	
1	LEA 01.3 Inc	dicate what your act	tive engagement policy	covers:	
		General approach to	Active Ownership		
	☐ Conflicts of	interest			
	☐ Alignment v	vith national steward	dship code requirements	S	
		ds covered by active	e ownership policy		
	•	ns and objectives			
		nt approach			
		Engagement			
	☑ ESG is				
		sation of engageme	ent		
		ds of engagement	ant activities		
	_	parency of engagem iligence and monitor			
		r information	ing process		
		ation strategies			



 $\hfill \square$ Service Provider specific criteria

☐ Other; (specify)☑ (Proxy) voting approach

		Voting
		☑ ESG issues
☑ Prioritisation and scope of voting activities		☑ Prioritisation and scope of voting activities
		☑ Methods of voting
		☑ Transparency of voting activities
		☑ Regional voting practice approaches
		☐ Filing or co-filing resolutions
		☐ Company dialogue pre/post-vote
		☑ Decision-making processes
		☐ Other; (specify)
		Other
	□ I	None of the above
C	No	
LI	EA 01.4	Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?
С	Yes	
•) No	
E	ngagem	ent

Public

Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Core Assessed

PRI 1,2,3

41

LEA 02

LEA 02.1

Mandatory

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☑ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☑ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	☐ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	☐ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	\square To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☑ We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.4

Additional information. [Optional]

LEA 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

Yes

LEA 03.2

Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.



Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements
Individual / Internal staff	
engagements	Individual / Internal staff engagements
	☑ Geography/market of the companies
	☑ Materiality of the ESG factors
	☑ Exposure (size of holdings)
	☐ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries
	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)
	☑ Follow-up from a voting decision
	☐ Client request
	☐ Breaches of international norms
	☐ Other; (specify)
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements
Collaborative engagements	
	Collaborative engagements
	☐ Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues through other investors
	☑ Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues
	☑ Ability to add value to the collaboration
	☑ Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration
	☑ Materiality of the ESG factors addressed by the collaboration
	☑ Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration
	☐ Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure
	☐ Follow-up from a voting decision
	☐ Alleviate the resource burden of engagement
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries
	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)
	☐ Other; (specify)
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagement providers

 \bigcirc No

LEA 04 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



LEA 04.1

Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff
Collaborative engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration

LEA 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEA 05.1 Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	 Yes, in all cases Yes, in a majority of cases Yes, in a minority of cases We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	 Yes, in all cases Yes, in a majority of cases Yes, in a minority of cases We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out through collaboration.

LEA 05.2

Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.



Individual / Internal staff engagements	 ☑ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives ☐ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs ☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met ☑ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis ☐ Other; specify
Collaborative engagements	 ☑ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives ☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs ☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met ☑ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis ☐ Other; specify

LEA 06 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 2,4

LEA 06.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.

Yes

LEA 06.2

Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements.

- ☐ Collaborating with other investors
- ☐ Issuing a public statement
- ☐ Filing/submitting a shareholder resolution
- ☑ Voting against the re-election of the relevant directors
- ☑ Voting against the board of directors or the annual financial report
- ☐ Submitting nominations for election to the board
- ☐ Seeking legal remedy / litigation
- ☑ Reducing exposure (size of holdings)
- ☑ Divestment
- ☐ Other; specify

 \bigcirc No

LEA 08 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 2

LEA 08.1

Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.



Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual/Internal staff engagements	 Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements We do not track
Collaborative engagements	Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in fullYes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagementsWe do not track

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

LEA 12 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 2

LEA 12.1

Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

- O We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.

Based on

- O The service-provider voting policy we sign off on
- Our own voting policy
- Our clients' requests or policies
- Other (explain)
- O We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 12.2

Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

The global proxy research and voting services of Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS) are employed to help assess and vote proxies in accordance with our custom voting policy. Taking into account our custom guidelines, ISS prepares voting recommendations for all proposals on which we are entitled to vote. CC&L will generally rely on these recommendations, however, certain situations will warrant additional review and may result in CC&L voting contrary to ISS' recommendations.

LEA 15	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------



	LEA 15.1	Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which where you or the service providers acting on your behalf raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.	ne
,	○ 100%		
	○ 99-75%		
	○ 74-50%		
	O 49-25%		
	② 24-1%		
	O Neither w	ve nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting	
	LEA 15.2	Indicate the reasons for raising your concerns with these companies ahead of voting.	
	□ Vote(s) co	oncerned selected markets	
	□ Vote(s) co	concerned selected sectors	
	✓ Vote(s) compared to the property of th	oncerned certain ESG issues	
	☑ Vote(s) co	concerned companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues	
	☑ Vote(s) co	oncerned significant shareholdings	
	☐ Client rec	quest	
	☐ Other		
LEA	16 Mai	ndatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2	
	LEA 16.1	Indicate the proportion of votes where you, and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicated the rationale to companies for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.	
		recommendations. mulcate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.	
	O 100%	recommendations. indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.	
	○ 100% ○ 99-75%	recommendations. indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.	
		recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.	
	O 99-75%	recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.	
	99-75%74-50%	recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.	
	99-75%74-50%49-25%24-1%	ot communicate the rationale to companies	
	99-75%74-50%49-25%24-1%We do no	ot communicate the rationale to companies cable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management	
	99-75%74-50%49-25%24-1%We do noNot applie	ot communicate the rationale to companies cable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management	
	 99-75% 74-50% 49-25% 24-1% We do not applied recommend LEA 16.2	ot communicate the rationale to companies cable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management lations Indicate the reasons why your organisation would communicate to companies, the rationale for	
	 99-75% 74-50% 49-25% 24-1% We do not applied recommend LEA 16.2 □ Vote(s) contact the properties of the pro	ot communicate the rationale to companies cable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management lations Indicate the reasons why your organisation would communicate to companies, the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations.	
	 99-75% 74-50% 49-25% 24-1% We do not applied recommend LEA 16.2 Vote(s) control Vote(s) control 	ot communicate the rationale to companies cable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management lations Indicate the reasons why your organisation would communicate to companies, the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations.	
	 99-75% 74-50% 49-25% 24-1% We do not applied recommend LEA 16.2 Vote(s) color Vote(s) color Vote(s) color Vote(s) color 	of communicate the rationale to companies cable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management dations Indicate the reasons why your organisation would communicate to companies, the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Concern selected markets concern selected sectors	
	 99-75% 74-50% 49-25% 24-1% We do not applied recommend LEA 16.2 Vote(s) contract Vote(s) contract Vote(of communicate the rationale to companies cable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management lations Indicate the reasons why your organisation would communicate to companies, the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Concern selected markets concern selected sectors concern certain ESG issues	
	 99-75% 74-50% 49-25% 24-1% We do not applied recommend LEA 16.2 Vote(s) contract Vote(s) contract Vote(of communicate the rationale to companies cable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management lations Indicate the reasons why your organisation would communicate to companies, the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Concern selected markets concern selected sectors concern certain ESG issues concern companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues concern significant shareholdings	



LEA 16.3

In cases where your organisation does communicate the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations, indicate whether this rationale is made public.

O Yes

No

LEA 17 Core Assessed PRI 2 **Mandatory Public** For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting **LEA 17.1** instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year. • We do track or collect this information Votes cast (to the nearest 1%) % 96 Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted O We do not track or collect this information **LEA 17.2** Explain your reason(s) for not voting on certain holdings ☑ Shares were blocked ☑ Notice, ballots or materials not received on time ☐ Missed deadline ☑ Geographical restrictions (non-home market) ☐ Cost ☐ Conflicts of interest ☐ Holdings deemed too small ☑ Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement) ☐ Client request ☐ Other (explain) **Core Assessed** PRI 2 **LEA 19 Mandatory Public LEA 19.1** Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.



YesNo

Connor, Clark & Lunn Investment Management Ltd.

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Implementation processes

FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1

FI 01.1

Indicate (1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and (2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.

SSA		
		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	100	
		Screening + integration strategies
	0	
		Thematic + integration strategies
	0	
		Screening + thematic strategies
	0	
		All three strategies combined
	0	
		No incorporation strategies applied
	100%	
Corporate (financial)		
		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	0	
	100	Integration alone
	100	
		Screening + integration strategies
	0	

	Thematic + integration strategies
0	
	Screening + thematic strategies
0	
	All three strategies combined
0	
	No incorporation strategies applied
0	
10	00%

Corporate (non-		
financial)		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	100	
		Screening + integration strategies
	0	
		Thematic + integration strategies
	0	
		Screening + thematic strategies
	0	
		All three strategies combined
	0	
		No incorporation strategies applied
	0	
	100%	

Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.

The Fixed Income team factors ESG criteria into the investment process through its company specific research. The team's corporate credit research process includes an assessment of the quality of management, the strength of the company's governance model and any environmental risks. The team will adjust the spread forecast for a security where the research indicates that an ESG issue materially affects the risk profile of the business

FI 03	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
FI U3	Manuatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PKII

	FI 03.1	Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:				
	☐ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken internally to determine companies' activities; and products and/or services					
	☐ Issuers a correct inac	re given the opportunity by you or youracies	your research provi	ider to review ESG research on th	iem and	
	✓ Issuer inf	ormation and/or ESG ratings are u	pdated regularly to	ensure ESG research is accurate	;	
	☐ Internal a	udits and regular reviews of ESG r	esearch are under	taken in a systematic way.		
		lity/sustainability framework is creanities for each sector/country.	ated and regularly ι	updated that includes all the key E	SG risks	
	\square Other, sp	ecify				
	☐ None of t	he above				
	FI 03.2	Describe how your ESG informa	ition or analysis is s	shared among your investment tea	am.	
	☑ ESG info	rmation is held within a centralised	database and is a	ccessible to all investment staff		
	☐ ESG info	rmation is displayed on front office	research platforms	3		
	☑ ESG information similar documents in the state of	rmation is a standard item on all inc ments	dividual issuer sum	nmaries, research notes, 'tear she	ets', or	
	☐ Investme committee n	nt staff are required to discuss ESC neetings	G information on iss	suers as a standard item during in	ivestment	
	□ Records	capture how ESG information and	research was incor	porated into investment decisions	;	
	☐ Other, sp	ecify				
	□ None of t	he above				
	(C) Impleme	ntation: Integration				
FI 10	Mand	otory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1	
FIIO	Manu	atory	Fublic	Descriptive	PNII	
	FI 10.1 Describe your approach to integrating ESG into traditional financial analysis.					
	ESG has been added as an assessment criteria as we undertake corporate bond research. We systematically include the information as part of our process in evaluating the risks of a company, and include the result of our assessment in our company notes. The result of our assessment is incorporated into our spread forecast with other risk factors. We take the same approach to ESG integration whether the company is in the financial or non-financial sector.					
	FI 10.2	Describe how your ESG integrations income you invest in.	tion approach is ad	lapted to each of the different type	es of fixed	

ESG has been added as an assessment criteria as we undertake SSA bond research. We systematically include the information as part of our process in evaluating the risks of a borrower, and include the result of our assessment in our notes. The result of our assessment is incorporated into our spread forecast with other risk factors.



SSA

Corporate (financial)

We take the same approach to ESG Integration whether the company is in the financial or non-financial sector.

Corporate (non-financial)

We take the same approach to ESG Integration whether the company is in the financial or non-financial sector.

FI 11		Manda	atory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
	FI 11	1.1	Indicate how ESG information is	typically used as pa	art of your investment process.	

Select all that apply



	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)
ESG analysis is integrated into fundamental analysis	V	\checkmark	V
ESG analysis is used to adjust the internal credit assessments of issuers.			
ESG analysis is used to adjust forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates.	V	V	☑
ESG analysis impacts the ranking of an issuer relative to a chosen peer group.	\checkmark	V	☑
An issuer's ESG bond spreads and its relative value versus its sector peers are analysed to find out if all risks are priced in.			
The impact of ESG analysis on bonds of an issuer with different durations/maturities are analysed.	V	V	V
Sensitivity analysis and scenario analysis are applied to valuation models to compare the difference between base-case and ESG-integrated security valuation.	V	Ø	
ESG analysis is integrated into portfolio weighting decisions.	V	V	V
Companies, sectors, countries and currency and monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits.			
The ESG profile of portfolios is examined for securities with high ESG risks and assessed relative to the ESG profile of a benchmark.			
Other, specify in Additional Information			

FI 12		Manda	atory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
	FI 12	2.1	Indicate the extent to which ESG	issues are reviewe	ed in your integration process.	



	Environment	Social	Governance
SSA	Environmental	Social	Governance
	Systematically	Systematically	Systematically
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	O Not at all	O Not at all
Corporate (financial)	Environmental	Social	Governance
(manda)	Systematically	Systematically	Systematically
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	○ Not at all	○ Not at all
Corporate (non-	Environmental	Social	Governance
financial)	Systematically	Systematically	Systematically
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	O Not at all	○ Not at all

FI 12.2

Please provide more detail on how you review E, S and/or G factors in your integration process.

SSA

ESG has been added as an assessment criteria as we undertake SSA bond research. We systematically include the information as part of our process in evaluating the risks of a borrower, and include the result of our assessment in our notes. The result of our assessment is incorporated into our spread forecast with other risk factors.

Corporate (financial)

ESG has been added as an assessment criteria as we undertake corporate bond research. We systematically include the information as part of our process in evaluating the risks of a company, and include the result of our assessment in our company notes. The result of our assessment is incorporated into our spread forecast with other risk factors.

Corporate (non-financial)

ESG has been added as an assessment criteria as we undertake corporate bond research. We systematically include the information as part of our process in evaluating the risks of a company, and include the result of our assessment in our company notes. The result of our assessment is incorporated into our spread forecast with other risk factors.



Connor, Clark & Lunn Investment Management Ltd.

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Confidence building measures					
CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General	
CM1 (year has undergone:	borted information you have	provided for your PRI Transpar	ency Report this	
☐ Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report					
$\hfill\Box$ Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year					
\Box Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)					
☐ Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)					
☑ Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)					
 Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified 					
○ Selected data has been internally verified					
☐ Other, specify					
□ None of the above					
CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General	
CM1 (We undertook third part	ty assurance on last year's F	PRI Transparency Report		
O Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year					
O Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report					
● We did not assure last year`s PRI Transparency report					
○ No	ne of the above, we were in our p	preparation year and did not	t report last year.		
CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General	
CM1 03.1 We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:					
☐ We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme					
	carry out independent/third part ts of which are included in this y			pility report)	
☐ ESG audit of holdings					
☐ Other, specify					
☑ None of the above					
CM1 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General	



CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- O Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- O Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 07 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

CM1 07.1

☐ Other (specify)

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

☑ CEO or other Chief-Level staff

	Sign-off or review of responses		
☑ Sign-off			
☑ Review of the last of t	of responses		
☐ The Board			
☐ Investment Committee			
☑ Compliance Function			
☑ RI/ESG Team			
☑ Investment Teams			
□ Legal Department			