



# RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2020

Asset Management One Co.,Ltd.





# About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

# Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
<b>✓</b>	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
6	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ъ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



# Principles Index



Organisa	isational Overview						Principle						
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6				
00 TG		8	n/a										
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓			
00 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓			
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓			
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓			
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	~	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							<b>√</b>			
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							<b>✓</b>			
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓			
00 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓			
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							<b>✓</b>			
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							<b>✓</b>			
00 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓			
00 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓			
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓			
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	8	n/a							✓			
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓			
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	8	n/a							✓			
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Public							✓			
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Private							<b>✓</b>			
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	8	n/a							✓			
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	8	n/a							✓			
00 PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	8	n/a							<b>✓</b>			
00 PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	8	n/a							✓			
00 PR 03	Largest property types	8	n/a							✓			
00 INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	8	n/a							✓			
00 INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	8	n/a							✓			
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	8	n/a	Ш						✓			
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	8	n/a							✓			
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-										

CCStrategy and Governance						Principle				General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Private							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		8	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	~						
SG 14 CC		✓	Private							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		<b>✓</b>				<b>✓</b>	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring					P	rin	General			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 02	Selection processes (LE and FI)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 03	Evaluating engagement and voting practices in manager selection (listed equity/fixed income)	<b>✓</b>	Public		✓					
SAM 04	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
SAM 05	Monitoring processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 06	Monitoring on active ownership (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 07	Percentage of (proxy) votes	✓	Public		<b>✓</b>					
SAM 08	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
SAM 09	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Public	~					<b>✓</b>	
SAM End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation						Principle			General	
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	1	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	<b>✓</b>	Private	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	<b>✓</b>	Public	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	<b>✓</b>	Private	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	<b>✓</b>	Private	~						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							



Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership					P	rin	cip	le	Principle					
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6					
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓									
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>								
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓									
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓									
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓									
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓							
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>	✓									
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓									
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓									
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓									
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓									
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓									
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	8	n/a		✓									
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓									
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓									
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓									
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓									
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓									
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓									
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓									
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		<b>✓</b>									
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-											

Direct - Fixed Income					Principle			General		
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 02	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	✓						
FI 03	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
FI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
FI 05	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
FI 06	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
FI 07	Thematic investing - overview	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
FI 08	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	1	Public	<b>✓</b>						
FI 09	Thematic investing - assessing impact	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
FI 10	Integration overview	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
FI 11	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
FI 12	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
FI 13	ESG incorporation in passive funds	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
FI 14	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Private		✓					
FI 15	Engagement method	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>	✓					
FI 16	Engagement policy disclosure	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 17	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Private							✓
FI 18	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	-	n/a	<b>✓</b>	✓					
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures						rin	General			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	8	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	8	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	8	n/a							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

# Asset Management One Co.,Ltd.

**Reported Information** 

Public version

Organisational Overview

# PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Bas	sic info	rmation			
00 01	Ma	ndatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
00	01.1	Select the services and funds	you offer		
Select the	e servic	ces and funds you offer	% of ass	set under management (AUM	) in ranges
Fund man	agemer	nt	<ul><li>○ 0%</li><li>○ &lt;10%</li><li>○ 10-50</li><li>● &gt;50%</li></ul>	%	
Fund of fu	ınds, ma	nager of managers, sub-advised		%	
Other			<ul><li>● 0%</li><li>○ &lt;10%</li><li>○ 10-50</li><li>○ &gt;50%</li></ul>	%	
Total 100%	%				
□F		nedge funds			
O 02	Ma	ndatory	Public	Peering	General
00		Select the location of your org	janisation's headqua	rters.	
Japa					
00 (	02.2	Indicate the number of countr	ies in which you have	e offices (including your headq	uarters).
○ 1 <b>②</b> 2	-5				
0 6					
O >	10				



Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

924

OO 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

O Yes

OO 04 Mandatory Public Gateway/Peering General

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM	55	321	209	241	957
Currency	JPY				
Assets in USD		504	477	756	730

 $\square$  Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

00 04.4

No

00 04.2

Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

☑ Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach



OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

OO 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

 $\bigcirc$  as percentage breakdown

as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	>50%	<10%
Fixed income	10-50%	<10%
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	<10%	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0



Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	<10%	0
Money market instruments	<10%	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3

ndicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional]

○ Yes

No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- O Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09 **Mandatory Public Peering** General Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market. 00 09.1 **Developed Markets** 98 **Emerging Markets** 2 **Frontier Markets** 0 Other Markets 0 **Total 100%** 



100%

OO 09.2

Additional information, [Optional]

The figures are approximate.

	Asset class implementation gateway indicators				
00 10	Ma	ndatory	Public	Gateway	General
	00 10.1	Select the active ownership activities	es your organisation	n implemented in the reporting ye	ar.
		Listed equity – engagement			
	☑ We en	gage with companies on ESG factors	via our staff, collab	orations or service providers.	
	□ We red	quire our external managers to engage	e with companies of	n ESG factors on our behalf.	
	□ We do	not engage directly and do not require	e external manager	rs to engage with companies on E	SG factors.
		Listed equity – voting			
	☑ We ca	st our (proxy) votes directly or via dedi	icated voting provid	lers	
	☑ We red	quire our external managers to vote or	our behalf.		
	□ We do	not cast our (proxy) votes directly and	d do not require ext	ernal managers to vote on our be	half
		Fixed income SSA – engageme	ent		
	☑ We	engage with SSA bond issuers on ES	G factors via our st	aff, collaborations or service provi	ders.
	□ We	require our external managers to enga	age with SSA bond	issuers on ESG factors on our be	half.
		do not engage directly and do not requactors. Please explain why you do not.		gers to engage with SSA bond iss	uers on
		Fixed income Corporate (finance	cial) – engagement		
	☑ We	engage with companies on ESG facto	rs via our staff, coll	aborations or service providers.	
	□ We	require our external managers to enga	age with companies	on ESG factors on our behalf.	
		do not engage directly and do not request. Please explain why you do not.	uire external manaç	gers to engage with companies or	n ESG
		Fixed income Corporate (non-f	inancial) – engager	nent	
	☑ We	engage with companies on ESG facto	rs via our staff, coll	aborations or service providers.	
	□We	require our external managers to enga	age with companies	on ESG factors on our behalf.	
	☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on Estactors. Please explain why you do not.				



	Fixed income Corporate (securitised) – engagement							
		ngage with companies on ESG fact		•				
	☐ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.							
		o not engage directly and do not rec Please explain why you do not.	quire external ma	nagers to engage with con	npanies on ESG			
00 11	Mand	datory	Public	Gateway	General			
00	O 11.1	Select the internally managed ass investment decisions and/or your						
		Listed equity						
	<ul><li>We addr</li></ul>	ess ESG incorporation.						
_	○ We do n	ot do ESG incorporation.						
		Fixed income - SSA						
	<ul><li>We addr</li></ul>	ress ESG incorporation.						
	○ We do n	ot do ESG incorporation.						
		Fixed income - corporate (finance	cial)					
	@ Wo oddr	ress ESG incorporation.	Jaij					
		ot do ESG incorporation.						
		Fixed income - corporate (non-f	inancial)					
	<ul><li>We addr</li></ul>	ress ESG incorporation.						
_	○ We do n	ot do ESG incorporation.						
		Fixed income - securitised						
	<ul><li>We addr</li></ul>	ress ESG incorporation.						
_	○ We do n	ot do ESG incorporation.						
		Commodities						
	○ We addr	ress ESG incorporation.						
	<ul><li>We do n</li></ul>	ot do ESG incorporation.						
		Cash						
	○ We addr	ress ESG incorporation.						



 $\ensuremath{\, \bullet \,}$  We do not do ESG incorporation.

# Money market instruments

- $\bigcirc$  We address ESG incorporation.
- $\ensuremath{\, \bullet \,}$  We do not do ESG incorporation.

00 11.2

Select the externally managed assets classes in which you and/or your investment consultants address ESG incorporation in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes.



Asset class	ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
Listed equity	Listed equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	<ul> <li>☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</li> <li>☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</li> </ul>
	<ul><li>☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</li><li>☐ We do not do ESG incorporation</li></ul>
Fixed income - SSA	Fixed income - SSA - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	<ul> <li>☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</li> <li>☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</li> <li>☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</li> <li>☐ We do not do ESG incorporation</li> </ul>
Fixed income - corporate (financial)	Fixed income - corporate (financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	<ul> <li>☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</li> <li>☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</li> <li>☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</li> <li>☐ We do not do ESG incorporation</li> </ul>
Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)	Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	<ul> <li>☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</li> <li>☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</li> <li>☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</li> <li>☐ We do not do ESG incorporation</li> </ul>
Fixed income - securitised	Fixed income - securitised - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	<ul> <li>☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</li> <li>☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</li> <li>☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</li> <li>☐ We do not do ESG incorporation</li> </ul>



00 11.4

Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

In the selection and monitoring of investment managers, we confirm the efforts of ESG investment, such as responding to stewardship code and signing to PRI.

00 12 **Mandatory Public Gateway** General Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to 00 12.1 report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box. Core modules ☑ Organisational Overview RI implementation directly or via service providers Direct - Listed Equity incorporation ☑ Listed Equity incorporation ☑ Engagements ☑ (Proxy) voting ☑ Fixed income - SSA ☑ Fixed income - Corporate (financial) ☑ Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial) ☑ Fixed income - Securitised RI implementation via external managers Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers ☑ Fixed income - SSA ☑ Fixed income - Corporate (financial) ☑ Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)



☑ Fixed income - Securitised

Closing module

☑ Closing module

_			4.0	
Peeri	na a	lues	tioi	ns

OO LE 01 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Public Gateway General Disclose

00 LE 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.

### Percentage of internally managed listed equities

Passive

73

Active - quantitative (quant)

1

Active - fundamental and active - other

26

Total

100%

00 LE

Additional information, [Optional

The figures are approximate.

OO FI 01 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose Public Gateway General

OO FI 01.1

Provide a breakdown of your internally managed fixed income securities by active and passive strategies



SSA		Passive
	55	
		Active - quantitative (quant)
	2	
		Active - fundamental and active - other
	43	
		Total
	100%	
Corporate (financial)		Passive
Gorporato (imanolal)	5	T dosive
		Active quantitative (quant)
	5	Active - quantitative (quant)
		Active - fundamental and active - other
	90	Active - fundamental and active - other
		Total
	4000/	Total
	100%	
Corporate (non- financial)		Passive
	11	
		Active - quantitative (quant)
	4	
		Active - fundamental and active - other
	85	
		Total
	100%	

Securitised		Passive
	14	
		Active - quantitative (quant)
	4	
		Active - fundamental and active - other
	82	
		Total
	100%	

OO FI 01.2

Additional information. [Optional]

The figures are approximate.

OO FI 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report. This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

OO FI 03.1

Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your SSA investments, by developed markets and emerging markets.

SSA		
		Developed markets
	95	
		Emerging markets
		Emerging markets
	5	
		Total
		Total
	100%	

OO FI 03.2

Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your corporate and securitised investments by investment grade or high-yield securities.



Туре	Investment grade (+/- 5%)	High-yield (+/- 5%)	Total internally managed
Corporate (financial)	<ul><li>&gt;50%</li><li>10-50%</li><li>&lt;10%</li><li>0%</li></ul>	<ul><li>&gt;50%</li><li>10-50%</li><li>● &lt;10%</li><li>○ 0%</li></ul>	100%
Corporate (non-financial)	<ul><li>&gt;50%</li><li>10-50%</li><li>&lt;10%</li><li>0%</li></ul>	<ul><li>&gt;50%</li><li>10-50%</li><li>● &lt;10%</li><li>0%</li></ul>	100%
Securitised	<ul><li>&gt;50%</li><li>10-50%</li><li>&lt;10%</li><li>0%</li></ul>	○ >50% ○ 10-50% ● <10% ○ 0%	100%

OO FI 03.3 Additional information. [Optiona

The figures are approximate.

If you are invested in private debt and reporting on ratings is not relevant for you, please indicate below

□ OO FI 03.2 is not applicable as our internally managed fixed income assets are invested only in private debt.



Asset Management One Co.,Ltd.

**Reported Information** 

Public version

Strategy and Governance

# PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



# **Investment policy**

SG 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

## Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	Applicable policies cover all AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	O Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☑ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties	
☐ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☐ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☑ Screening / exclusions policy	
☑ Engagement policy	
☑ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	



SG 01.3	Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following					
	☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments					
☐ Your inve	stment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account					
☑ Time hori	zon of your investment					
	nce structure of organisational ESG responsibilities					
☑ ESG inco	rporation approaches					
✓ Active ow	nership approaches					
☑ Climate c	hange					
☐ Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences						
☐ Other RI considerations, specify (1)						
☐ Other RI considerations, specify (2)						
SG 01.4	Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real					

Our basic ESG principle is to evaluate companies' ESG from both risk and return standpoints.

We believe having ESG in the core of corporate management is essential.

economy impact.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

For actively managed funds, we limit the exposure to companies that engage in misconduct or have significant corporate governance issues so that we can avoid deterioration of fund performance.

Meanwhile, we focus on engagement and proxy voting. We believes that it is important to enhance their corporate value and achieve sustainable growth through engagement and proxy voting.

 $\bigcirc$  No

SG 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 6

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

☑ Policy setting out your overall approach

**URL/Attachment** 

**☑** URL



URL http://www.am-one.co.jp/company/fiduciary/ ☐ Attachment (will be made public) ☐ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors  $\square$  Formalised guidelines on social factors ☐ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors ☑ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties **URL/Attachment ☑** URL **URL** http://www.am-one.co.jp/company/fiduciary/ ☐ Attachment (will be made public) ☐ Screening / exclusions policy ☑ Engagement policy **URL/Attachment ☑** URL **URL** http://www.am-one.co.jp/company/stewardship/ ☐ Attachment (will be made public) ☑ (Proxy) voting policy **URL/Attachment ☑** URL URL http://www.am-one.co.jp/company/voting/



☐ Attachment (will be made public)

 $\square$  We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
http://www.am-one.co.jp/img/company/36/stewardshipreports2019_p3.pdf
☐ Attachment
☐ Time horizon of your investment
☑ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
http://www.am-one.co.jp/company/ri/
☐ Attachment
☑ ESG incorporation approaches
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
E ONE
URL
http://www.am-one.co.jp/img/company/36/stewardshipreports2019 p3.pdf
□ Attachment
☐ Attachment ☑ Active ownership approaches
El Notivo divinotatilp approadites
URL/Attachment
☑ URL



URL

http://www.am-one.co.jp/company/voting/

☐ Attachment		
☐ Reporting		
☐ Climate change		

 $\hfill\square$  We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

We have our Proxy Voting polcy and the other internal rule which manage conflicts of interest.

 $\bigcirc$  No

### **Objectives and strategies**

SG 05 Mandatory Public Gateway/Core Assessed General

SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- O Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- O Ad-hoc basis
- O It is not set/reviewed

# **Governance and human resources**

SG 07 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 07.1

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.



	Roles
☑ Board m	embers or trustees
	rsight/accountability for responsible investment
□ Imp	ementation of responsible investment
□ No	oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
✓ Internal F	Roles (triggers other options)
	Select from the below internal roles
	ef Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), ment Committee
V	Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
	Implementation of responsible investment
	No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Other	er Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
Hea	d of Responsible Investment Dept.
$\checkmark$	Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
V	Implementation of responsible investment
	No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Port	folio managers
V	Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
$\checkmark$	Implementation of responsible investment
	No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Inve	stment analysts
V	Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
V	Implementation of responsible investment
	No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Dec	icated responsible investment staff
$\checkmark$	Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
V	Implementation of responsible investment
	No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
□ Inve	stor relations
□ Oth	er role, specify (1)
☐ Oth	er role, specify (2)
$\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ External	managers or service providers
□ Ove	rsight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Imp	ementation of responsible investment



 $\hfill\square$  No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

The overall stewardship approaches and activities are overseen by the Responsible Investment Committee (chaired by the CIO) reporting to the Board of Directors, and to the board's Audit and Supervisory Committee with a majority of independent outside directors.

	SG 07.3	Indicate the number	of dedicated responsib	le investm	ent staff your organisation has	
'						
		Number				
	14					
	Promoti	ng responsible invest	ment			
SG 0	9	landatory	Public	:	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
	SG 09.1		ive organisation and/or during the reporting yea		of which your organisation is a role you played.	a member or in
		that apply les for Responsible Invest	ment			
		Your organisation	n's role in the initiative	during the	reporting period (see definitio	ns)
	$\checkmark$	Basic				
		Moderate				
		Advanced				
	✓ Asian Corporate Governance Association					
	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)		ns)			
		Basic		<u> </u>	31000	- '
		Moderate				
		Advanced				
		ian Council of Superannu	ation Investors			
		Sustainability Committee	ation investors			
		Invest – La Commission	ESG			
		- Responsible Investment				
		imate Change	Marisory Doard			
		_				
		stitute Centre for Financia	I Market Integrity			



	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic	
□ Moder	ate
☐ Advan	ced
 Climate Action	n 100+
	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☐ Basic	
☐ Moder	ate
	ced
	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
We are a co-e	engagement lead.
Code for Resp	ponsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
Council of Inst	titutional Investors (CII)
Eumedion	
Extractive Ind	ustries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
ESG Researc	h Australia
Invest Europe	Responsible Investment Roundtable
Global Investo	ors Governance Network (GIGN)
Global Impact	Investing Network (GIIN)
Global Real E	state Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
Green Bond P	Principles
HKVCA: ESG	Committee
Institutional In	vestors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
Interfaith Cent	ter on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)



 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$  International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)

		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)		
	☑ Basic			
	☐ Moderate			
	☐ Advanced			
	☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)			
	☐ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)			
	☐ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES			
	Local Authorit	y Pension Fund Forum		
	Principles for	Financial Action in the 21st Century		
	Principles for	Sustainable Insurance		
	Regional or N	ational Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify		
	Responsible F	Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance		
	Shareholder A	Association for Research and Education (Share)		
	United Nation	s Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)		
	United Nation	s Global Compact		
$\checkmark$	Other collabor	rative organisation/initiative, specify		
	Environmenta	Reporting Platform Development Pilot Project formed by Ministry of the Environment in Japan.		
		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)		
	□ Basic	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)		
	□ Basic			
		ate		
	☐ Moder	ate ced		
	☐ Moder	ate		
	☐ Moder ☑ Advan  Our chief ESG Pilot Project, f actively contril	ate ced  Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.		
	☐ Moder ☑ Advan  Our chief ESG Pilot Project, f actively contril individually in	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]  Analyst is a member of the working group of Environmental Reporting Platform Development ormed by Ministry of the Environment in Japan. He was a panellist in the launch event and outed to develop the platform. In addition, he gave consultation to the companies in the project		
<b>V</b>	☐ Moder ☑ Advan  Our chief ESG Pilot Project, f actively contril individually in	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]  Analyst is a member of the working group of Environmental Reporting Platform Development ormed by Ministry of the Environment in Japan. He was a panellist in the launch event and outed to develop the platform. In addition, he gave consultation to the companies in the project order to improve their ESG disclosure.		
<b>V</b>	☐ Moder ☑ Advan  Our chief ESG Pilot Project, f actively contril individually in	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]  Analyst is a member of the working group of Environmental Reporting Platform Development ormed by Ministry of the Environment in Japan. He was a panellist in the launch event and outed to develop the platform. In addition, he gave consultation to the companies in the project order to improve their ESG disclosure.		
<b>V</b>	☐ Moder ☑ Advan  Our chief ESG Pilot Project, f actively contril individually in	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]  Analyst is a member of the working group of Environmental Reporting Platform Development ormed by Ministry of the Environment in Japan. He was a panellist in the launch event and outed to develop the platform. In addition, he gave consultation to the companies in the project order to improve their ESG disclosure.		
<b>V</b>	☐ Moder ☑ Advan  Our chief ESG Pilot Project, f actively contril individually in	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.  [Optional]  Analyst is a member of the working group of Environmental Reporting Platform Development ormed by Ministry of the Environment in Japan. He was a panellist in the launch event and outed to develop the platform. In addition, he gave consultation to the companies in the project order to improve their ESG disclosure.  Pative organisation/initiative, specify stor group		
<b>V</b>	☐ Moder ☐ Advan  Our chief ESG Pilot Project, f actively contril individually in  Other collabor 30%Club invest	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.  [Optional]  6 Analyst is a member of the working group of Environmental Reporting Platform Development ormed by Ministry of the Environment in Japan. He was a panellist in the launch event and outed to develop the platform. In addition, he gave consultation to the companies in the project order to improve their ESG disclosure.  Fative organisation/initiative, specify stor group  Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)		



Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

### Participate as board member

☑ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

[Optional]

SASB

 $\sqrt{}$ 

0,102				
	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)			
☑ Basic				
□ Mode	rate			
☐ Advar	nced			
Other collabo	Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify			
Study Group Japan	on Implementing TCFD Recommendations formed by Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry in			
	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)			
☐ Basic				
□ Mode	rate			
	nced			

Our head of responsible investment department is a member of "Study Group on Implementing TCFD Recommendations" formed by Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry and played a leading role in the discussion. Including his participation in the study group, the RI team members contributed to formulate TCFD Guidance for Japanese companies.

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.

SG 10 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 4

SG 10.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives

Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

☑ Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

Description

We set the seminar of stewardship code to listed companies and our clients, etc.



Frequency of contribution
O Quarterly or more frequently
○ Biannually
○ Annually
O Less frequently than annually
Ad hoc
Other
$\square$ Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
☑ Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
Description
Providing our knowledge to the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, the Financial Services Agency,
and the Ministry of the Environment.
Frequency of contribution
Quarterly or more frequently
○ Biannually
○ Annually
O Less frequently than annually
• Ad hoc
Other
✓ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment

industry

## Description

To promote transparency and disclosure of responsible investment across Japanese financial industry, we actively participate in the advisory committees of the concerned government agencies such as Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, Ministry of the Environment and Financial Services Agency in Japan. This year we were involved in launching the Japan Stewardship Initiative. Supports the operation of the association as a steering committee.

Our activities in 2018 are as follows:

Guidance for Integrated Corporate Disclosure and Company-Investor Dialogues for Collaborative Value Creation, formed by Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry,

Environmental Reporting Platform Development Pilot Project, formed by Ministry of the Environment We have also officially announced to support TCFD disclosure.



		Troquency of continuation
	<ul><li>Quarterl</li></ul>	y or more frequently
	O Biannua	lly
	<ul> <li>Annually</li> </ul>	
	O Less fre	quently than annually
	O Ad hoc	
	Other	
<b>√</b>	Spoke public	ly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
		Description
	seminars in w Climate action IR Japan sem JCIF &ADBI	t took part in ICGN General Assembly in Tokyo as a panelist of the symposium. The thich we participate as panellist in 2018 are as follows: in 100+ launch event on April 13th inar in Tokyo on August 29th joint seminar on October 3rd eminar in Tokyo on November 19th
		Frequency of contribution
	O Quarterl	y or more frequently
	O Biannua	lly
	<ul> <li>Annually</li> </ul>	
	O Less fre	quently than annually
	<ul><li>Ad hoc</li></ul>	
	Other	
<b>√</b>	Wrote and pu	ablished in-house research papers on responsible investment
		Description
	We conturn a	rticles to Nikkei ESG and the ESG Asset Manager Directory.
	we sem up a	Titoles to Nikkei 230 and the 230 Asset Manager Directory.
		Frequency of contribution
	O Quarterl	y or more frequently
	O Biannua	lly
	<ul> <li>Annually</li> </ul>	
	O Less fre	quently than annually
	<ul><li>Ad hoc</li></ul>	
	Other	
	Encouraged t	the adoption of the PRI
V	Responded to	o RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
		Description
	ShareAction	



	Frequency of contribution		
○ Quarterl	Quarterly or more frequently		
○ Biannua			
<ul> <li>Annually</li> </ul>			
O Less free	quently than annually		
<ul><li>Ad hoc</li></ul>			
○ Other			
☑ Wrote and pu	blished articles on responsible investment in the media		
	Description		
Wrote a colur	nn "The Importance of ESG" on our Website.		
	Frequency of contribution		
○ Quarterl	y or more frequently		
○ Biannua	lly		
<ul><li>Annually</li></ul>	1		
O Less free	quently than annually		
<ul><li>Ad hoc</li></ul>			
Other			
$\square$ A member of	PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify		
☑ On the Board	of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)		
	Description		
30%Club Inv	restment group		
	Frequency of contribution		
<ul><li>Quarterl</li></ul>	y or more frequently		
○ Biannua	lly		
<ul> <li>Annually</li> </ul>			
O Less free	quently than annually		
O Ad hoc			
Other			
☐ Other, specify			
) No			

We joined 30%Club Investment group.



Describe any additional actions and initiatives that your organisation has taken part in during the reporting year to promote responsible investment [Optional]

SG 10.3

	Outso	ourcing to fiduciary managers and ir	ivestment cons	ultants	
			_		
SG 12	2	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
	New sele	ection options have been added to this i	ndicator. Please r	eview your prefilled responses	carefully.
	SG 12.	1 Indicate whether your organisation	uses investment o	consultants.	

☐ Yes, we use investment consultants

☑ No, we do not use investment consultants.

### ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1

SG 13.1

Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).

- $\square$  Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors
- ☐ Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities
- ☑ No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling

### Communication

SG 19 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

Do you disclose?

- O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- O We disclose to the public



Disclosure to cli	ents/beneficiaries
D	sclosure to clients/beneficiaries
	le investment considerations are included in manager selection, appointment and sees
☑ Details of the r	esponsible investment activities carried out by managers on your behalf
☐ E, S and/or G i ownership	mpacts and outcomes that have resulted from your managers' investments and/or active
☐ Other	
F	requency
☐ Quarterly or m	ore frequently
☐ Biannually	
☐ Annually	
☐ Less frequently	than annually
☑ Ad-hoc/when r	equested
	Listed equity - Incorporation
	Do you disclose?
○ We do n	ot proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
O We discl	ose to clients/beneficiaries only.
<ul><li>We discl</li></ul>	ose it publicly
	The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same
○ Yes	



No

Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
O Broad approach to ESG incorporation	Broad approach to ESG incorporation
Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used	<ul> <li>Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used</li> </ul>
Frequency	Frequency
☐ Quarterly or more frequently	☐ Quarterly or more frequently
☑ Biannually	☐ Biannually
☐ Annually	☑ Annually
☐ Less frequently than annually	☐ Less frequently than annually
☐ Ad-hoc/when requested	☐ Ad-hoc/when requested
URL http://www.am-one.co.jp/fund/pdf/313843/313843_pr_c.pdf	
Listed equity - Engagement	

Do you disclose?

- O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No



Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
<ul> <li>☑ Details on the overall engagement strategy</li> <li>☑ Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals</li> <li>☑ Number of engagements undertaken</li> <li>☑ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic</li> <li>☐ Breakdown of engagements by region</li> <li>☑ An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives</li> <li>☑ Examples of engagement cases</li> <li>☐ Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.)</li> <li>☐ Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured</li> <li>☑ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement</li> <li>☐ Other information</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☑ Details on the overall engagement strategy</li> <li>☑ Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals</li> <li>☑ Number of engagements undertaken</li> <li>☑ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic</li> <li>☐ Breakdown of engagements by region</li> <li>☑ An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives</li> <li>☑ Examples of engagement cases</li> <li>☐ Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.)</li> <li>☐ Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured</li> <li>☑ Outcomes that have been achieved</li> </ul>
	from the engagement  ☐ Other information
Frequency  Quarterly or more frequently  Biannually  Annually  Less frequently than annually  Ad-hoc/when requested	Frequency  ☐ Quarterly or more frequently ☐ Biannually ☐ Annually ☐ Less frequently than annually ☐ Ad-hoc/when requested
URL <a href="http://www.am-one.co.jp/img/company/34/stewardshipreports2019.pdf">http://www.am-one.co.jp/img/company/34/stewardshipreports2019.pdf</a>	

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting



Do you disclose?	
O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiari	es or the public.
$\bigcirc$ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.	
<ul><li>We disclose to the public</li></ul>	
The information disclosed to cl	ents/beneficiaries is the same
○ Yes	
No     No	
	1
Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
Disclose all voting decisions	Disclose all voting decisions
O Disclose some voting decisions	O Disclose some voting decisions
Only disclose abstentions and votes against management	<ul> <li>Only disclose abstentions and votes against management</li> </ul>
Frequency	Frequency
☑ Quarterly or more frequently	☑ Quarterly or more frequently
☐ Biannually	☐ Biannually
☐ Annually	☐ Annually
$\square$ Less frequently than annually	☐ Less frequently than annually
☐ Ad hoc/when requested	☐ Ad hoc/when requested

URL

http://www.am-one.co.jp/img/company/16/year-2018.pdf

Fixed income

Do you disclose?

- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$  We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$  We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

○ Yes

No



Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
Broad approach to RI incorporation	O Broad approach to RI incorporation
Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used	Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used
Frequency	Frequency
☐ Quarterly	☑ Quarterly
☐ Biannually	☐ Biannually
☑ Annually	☐ Annually
☐ Less frequently than annually	☐ Less frequently than annually
☐ Ad hoc/when requested	☐ Ad hoc/when requested
http://www.am- one.co.jp/img/company/36/stewardshipreports2019.pdf	

Disclosed detailed information refers to Japanese stocks in Japanese only.

SG 19.2

# Asset Management One Co.,Ltd.

**Reported Information** 

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



A	Active investme	ent strategio	es		
Active investment strategies	Listed Equity	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	FI - Securitised
Screening					
Thematic					
Integration	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	V	<b>V</b>	
None of the above					
				•	

Indicate what RI-related information your organisation typically covers in the majority of selection documentation for your external managers

**Public** 

Gateway

PRI 1

**Listed Equity and Fixed Income Strategies** 

**Mandatory** 

**SAM 01** 



**SAM 02.1** 

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised		
Your organisation's investment strategy and how ESG objectives relate to it							
ESG incorporation requirements	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>		
ESG reporting requirements	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>		
Other							
No RI information covered in the selection documentation							

**SAM 02.2** 

Explain how your organisation evaluates the investment manager's ability to align between your investment strategy and their investment approach

Strategy

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised	
Assess the time horizon of the investment manager's offering vs. your/beneficiaries' requirements	<b>V</b>	<b>\sqrt</b>				
Assess the quality of investment policy and its reference to ESG						
Assess the investment approach and how ESG objectives are implemented in the investment process	<b>V</b>	<b>\sqrt</b>				
Review the manager's firm-level vs. product-level approach to RI						
Assess the ESG definitions to be used	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	
Other						
None of the above						

## ESG people/oversight

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised		
Assess ESG expertise of investment teams	<b>V</b>	V			abla		
Review the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation							
Review how is ESG implementation enforced /ensured							
Review the manager's RI-promotion efforts and engagement with the industry							
Other						1	
None of the above							

Process/portfolio construction/investment valuation



						Т	$\neg$
	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised		
Review the process for ensuring the quality of the ESG data used							
Review and agree the use of ESG data in the investment decision making process	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>			Ø		
Review and agree the impact of ESG analysis on investment decisions	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	V	Ø	V		
Review and agree ESG objectives (e.g. risk reduction, return seeking, realworld impact)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>					
Review and agree manager's ESG risk framework							
Review and agree ESG risk limits at athe portfolio level (portfolio construction) and other ESG objectives							
Review how ESG materiality is evaluated by the manager							
Review process for defining and communicating on ESG incidents							
Review and agree ESG reporting frequency and detail							
Other, specify							
None of the above							

☐ Review ESG/RI resp	oonse	s to RfP.	RfI, DDQ etc.				
				le Investment Due Diligen	ce Questionnaire	(LP [	DD
☐ Review publicly ava			•	3			
☐ Review assurance p				ses			
☐ Review PRI Transpa			-				
☐ Request and discus	-	-					
<ul><li>✓ Meetings with the po</li></ul>				g ESG/RI themes			
☑ Site visits to potential							
☐ Other, specify							
SAM 02.4 When so	CICCUI	ig externe					_
SAM 02.4 When s	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate	FI - Corporate (non-	FI - Securitised		
	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	FI - Securitised		
G performance elopment targets		FI-	FI - Corporate	FI - Corporate (non-	FI-		
G performance	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	FI - Securitised		
G performance elopment targets G score	LE	FI- SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised		
G performance elopment targets	LE	FI- SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised		
G performance elopment targets G score	LE	FI- SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised		
G performance elopment targets G score G weight	LE	FI-SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised		

We set and use the ESG item of signature of stewardship code / UNPRI and guidelines for proxy voting.

**SAM 02.5** 

Describe how the ESG information reviewed and discussed affects the selection decision making process.[OPTIONAL]

We consider this ESG item as subject of quantitative score when selecting company.

SAM 03 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 2



	SAM 03.3 Describe how you assess if the manager's voting approach is effective/appropriate								
'	☐ Impa	act on	investment decisions						
	□ Impa	act on	ESG profile of company or the	portfolio					
	□ Evide	ence (	of changes in corporate practice	es(i.e. ESG policies a	nd implementation activities)				
	Othe	r, spe	cify						
	Based on the voting recommendation report, we examine whether the proxy voting is properly exercised.								
	☐ None of the above								
	Appoi	ntme	nt						
	Арроп								
SAM	04	Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1			
	SAM 04	1.1			ructure of the product allows, yo ppointment and/or commitment p				
					ppomiment and/or communent p	0100033			
			lard benchmarks or ESG bench						
			SG objectives and/ or ESG rela		tions				
			tives and controls linked to the	ESG objectives					
	-		eporting on ESG objectives						
	•			ere to ESG guidelines	, regulations, principles or stand	ards			
	☐ Othe	-							
	☐ Othe	-							
	□ None	e of th	e above						
			Provide an example per asse	t class of your bench	marks, objectives, incentives/con	trols and			
	SAM 04	1.2			ded in your managers' appointm				
			Asset class						
	☑ Lis	sted e	quity (LE)						
	Benchmark								
	✓ Standard benchmark								
	☐ ESG benchmark, specify								
	ESG Objectives								
	☑ We do not define ESG objectives								
		<u> </u>	do not define £56 objectives						
			Incentives and controls						
	☑ We do not set incentives and controls								



## Reporting requirements

- We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives
- ☑ Fixed income SSA (SSA)

### **Benchmark**

## **EMBI Global Diversified**

☐ ESG benchmark, specify

### **ESG** Objectives

#### Incentives and controls

☑ We do not set incentives and controls

## Reporting requirements

- We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives
- ☐ Fixed income Corporate (financial)
- ☑ Fixed income Corporate (non-financial)

## Benchmark

### The Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate Bond Index

☐ ESG benchmark, specify

## **ESG** Objectives

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$  We do not define ESG objectives

### Incentives and controls

☑ We do not set incentives and controls

## Reporting requirements

- We do not require the reporting on ESG objectives
- $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$  Fixed income Securitised

### Benchmark

The Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate Bond Index



	☐ ESG benchmark, specify							
			ESG Objectives					
	☑ We do not define ESG objectives							
	Incentives and controls							
	☑ We do not set incentives and controls							
	Reporting requirements							
	(	<ul><li>We do</li></ul>	not require the reporting on	ESG objectives				
	SAM 04	Ind	icate which of these actions	s your organisation m	night take if any of the requiren	nents are not met		
	☐ Discu	uss require	ements not met and set proj	ect plan to rectify				
	☐ Place	e investme	ent manager on a "watch list	in .				
	☐ Track	k and inve	stigate reason for non-comp	oliance				
	□ Re-ne	egotiate fe	ees					
	☐ Failin	ng all actio	ns, terminate contract with t	the manager				
		r, specify		Ü				
		-	taken if any of the ESG req	uirements are not me	et			
	Monitoring							
SAM 05 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI						PRI 1		
SAM 05.1 When monitoring managers, indicate which of the following types of responsible investment information your organisation typically reviews and evaluates								

Principles for Responsible Investment

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	FI - Securitised
ESG objectives linked to investment strategy (with examples)					
Evidence on how the ESG incorporation strategy(ies) affected the investment decisions and financial / ESG performance of the portfolio/fund					
Compliance with investment restrictions and any controversial investment decisions					
ESG portfolio characteristics					
How ESG materiality has been evaluated by the manager in the monitored period					
Information on any ESG incidents	<b>V</b>	V	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>I</b>
Metrics on the real economy influence of the investments					
PRI Transparency Reports	<b>V</b>	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>I</b>
PRI Assessment Reports					
RI-promotion and engagement with the industry to enhance RI implementation					
Changes to the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation					
Other general RI considerations in investment management agreements; specify	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>			
None of the above					

If you select any `Other` option(s), specify

We check for the signature of stewardship code / UNPRI and guidelines for proxy voting, and we can ask for UNPRI report as appropriate.



**SAM 05.2** 

When monitoring external managers, does your organisation set any of the following to measure compliance/progress

	L	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	FI - Securitised
ESG score					
ESG weight					
ESG performance minimum threshold					
Real world economy targets					
Other RI considerations	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	Ø	
None of the above					

If you select any `Other` option(s), specify

We check for the signature of stewardship code / UNPRI and guidelines for proxy voting.

SAM	06	Man	datory	Public	Additional Assessed		PRI 1
	SAM 06.1 When monitoring managers, indicate organisation typically reviews and experience (Provi) votice.						
			(Proxy) voting				]
						LE	
	Report on voting undertaken (with outcomes and examples)					V	
	Repor	t on vo	ting decisions taken			<b>V</b>	
	Adher	ence w	vith the agreed upon voting policy			$\checkmark$	
	Other RI considerations relating to (proxy) voting in investment management agreements; specify						
	None of the above						
SAM	07	Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed		PRI 2

**SAM 07.1** 

For the listed equities for which you have given your external managers a (proxy) voting mandate, indicate the approximate percentage (+/- 5%) of votes that were cast during the reporting year.

✓ Votes cast (to the nearest 5%)

%

100

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated.

- Of the total number of ballot items on which they could have issued instructions
- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$  Of the total number of company meetings at which they could have voted
- Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which they could have voted
- $\square$  We do not collect this information.

### **Outputs and outcomes**

SAM 09 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,6

**SAM 09.1** 

Provide examples of how ESG issues have been addressed in the manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring process for your organisation during the reporting year.

- ☐ Add Example 1
- ☐ Add Example 2
- ☐ Add Example 3
- ☐ Add Example 4
- ☐ Add Example 5
- ☐ Add Example 6
- ☐ Add Example 7
- ☑ We are not able to provide examples



# Asset Management One Co.,Ltd.

**Reported Information** 

Public version

**Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation** 

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



## ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

1	Manda	atory	Public	Gateway	PR	
LE	El 01.1	Indicate which ESG incorporati actively managed listed equitie strategy or combination of stra	s; and the break			
ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)						
	☐ Scre	ening alone (i.e., not combined w	vith any other stra	ategies)		
	☐ Ther	matic alone (i.e., not combined wi	th any other stra	tegies)		
	☐ Integ	gration alone (i.e., not combined v	vith any other str	ategies)		
	Scre     Scre	ening and integration strategies				
	-	active listed equity to which the lied — you may estimate +/-	99			
strat	tegy is appl	lied — you may estimate +/-				
strat	tegy is appl	lied — you may estimate +/-				
strat	regy is appl	lied — you may estimate +/- matic and integration strategies				
strat 5%	☐ Ther☐ Scre☐ All the	matic and integration strategies rening and thematic strategies aree strategies combined				
Stratt 5% Perc stratt	☐ Ther☐ Scre☐ All the	matic and integration strategies rening and thematic strategies aree strategies combined				
strat 5%	☐ Ther☐ Scre☐ All the	matic and integration strategies rening and thematic strategies aree strategies combined	99			
Stratt 5% Perc stratt	☐ Ther ☐ Scre ☑ All the	matic and integration strategies rening and thematic strategies aree strategies combined	99 %			

For actively managed funds, we limit the exposure to companies that engage in misconduct or have significant ESG issues so that we can avoid deterioration of fund performance.

Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the



particular strategy/strategies.

**LEI 01.2** 

LEI 01.3

If assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, briefly describe how these combinations are used. [Optional]

For our particular ESG-related fund, we select a universe of candidate stocks by using external ESG research and decide a universe of investable stocks by our own quantitative and qualitative analysis.

(A	) Implementa	tion: Screening			
LEI 04	Mandato	ry	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
	LEI 04.1	Indicate and describe the type equities.	of screening you a	pply to your internally managed a	ctive listed
	Type of scre	eening			
	✓ Negative/	exclusionary screening			
	Screened	by			
	☐ Prod	uct			
	☐ Activ	ity			
	☐ Sect	or			
	☐ Cour	ntry/geographic region			
	☑ Envii	ronmental and social practices a	and performance		
		orate governance			
		Description			
	Screening (including	out companies that engage in r ESG-related funds).	misconduct or have	significant ESG issues for most a	active funds
	☑ Positive/b	est-in-class screening			
	Screened	by			
	✓ Prod	uct			
		ity			
	□ Sect	or			
	☐ Cour	ntry/geographic region			
	☑ Envii	ronmental and social practices a	and performance		
		orate governance			
		Description			
	Screening	g excellent ESG companies for I	ESG-related funds.		
	□ Ne ···································				
	□ INORMS-ba	sed screening			



LEI 04.2

Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria.

We discuss and decide the screening criteria in terms and conditions in the meeting as apporpriate, but there is nothing to notify to clients and/or beneficiaries (for most active funds including ESG-related funds).

LEI 05	Mandato	ory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1			
	LEI 05.1	Indicate which processes your analysis.	organisation uses	to ensure ESG screening is base	d on robust			
	☑ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.							
	☑ Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies.							
	☑ External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar.							
	☐ Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.							
		platforms blocking / restricting flag	gged securities on t	he black list.				
	☐ A committee, body or similar with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions.							
	☑ A periodic review of internal research is carried out.							
	☑ Review and evaluation of external research providers.							
	☐ Other; sp	ecify						
	☐ None of t	he above						
	LEI 05.2	Indicate the proportion of your comprehensive ESG research	actively managed I as part your ESG s	isted equity portfolio that is subje screening strategy.	ct to			
	○ <10%							
	○ 10-50%							
	○ 51-90%							
	● >90%							
	LEI 05.3 Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings are updated for screening purposes.							
,	Quarterly or more frequently							
	O Bi-Annua	lly						
	<ul><li>Annually</li></ul>							
	O Less freq	uently than annually						



LEI 05.4

Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG screens.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- O Bi-Annually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually

LEI 05.5

Additional information. [Optional]

Part of the above answers are applied to particular ESG-related funds.

## (B) Implementation: Thematic

LEI 07 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1

**LEI 07.1** 

Indicate the type of sustainability thematic funds or mandates your organisation manages.

- ☑ Environmentally themed funds
- ☑ Socially themed funds
- ☑ Combination of themes

LEI 07.2

Describe your organisation's processes relating to sustainability themed funds. [Optional]

The fund is set up by deciding product specifications such as investment outlet, risk return attributes, investment plan and fund schedule such as investment restrictions, application and redemption procedure and a distribution plan, etc..

### (C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

LEI 08 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

**LEI 08.1** 

Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and G factors are systematically researched as part of your investment analysis.



ESG issues	Proportion impacted by analysis			
Environmental				
		Environmental		
	O <10%			
	○ 10-50%			
	○ 51-90%			
	<b>⊚</b> >90%			
Social				
		Social		
	O <10%			
	O 10-50%			
	○ 51-90%			
	>90%			
Corporate				
Governance		Corporate Governance		
	○ <10%			
	O 10-50%			
	○ 51-90%			
	>90%			

03	Manado	21 <b>y</b>	I ubiic	OUIC ASSESSED			
	LEI 09.1	Indicate which processes your analysis.	organisation uses	to ensure ESG integration is base	ed on robust		
		ensive ESG research is undertak	en or sourced to de	etermine companies' activities an	d products		
		☑ Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies					
		☑ Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly					
	☑ A periodice	c review of the internal research i	s carried out				
		☑ Structured, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or within the investments team					
	☑ ESG risk	☑ ESG risk profile of a portfolio against benchmark					
	☐ Analysis	☐ Analysis of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance					
	☐ Other; sp	ecify					
	☐ None of t	he above					



LEI 09.2	Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.
○ <10%	
O 10-50%	
○ 51-90%	
● >90%	
LEI 09.3	Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated.
O Quarterly	or more frequently
O Bi-Annua	lly
<ul><li>Annually</li></ul>	
O Less freq	uently than annually
LEI 09.4	Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration strategy.
	Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration strategy.  or more frequently
	or more frequently
○ Quarterly	or more frequently
<ul><li>Quarterly</li><li>Bi-Annua</li><li>● Annually</li></ul>	or more frequently
<ul><li>Quarterly</li><li>Bi-Annua</li><li>● Annually</li></ul>	or more frequently
O Quarterly Bi-Annua Annually Less freq	or more frequently lly uently than annually
<ul> <li>Quarterly</li> <li>Bi-Annua</li> <li>♠ Annually</li> <li>Less freq</li> </ul> LEI 09.5 ☑ ESG info ☐ ESG info	or more frequently lly  uently than annually  Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.
<ul> <li>Quarterly</li> <li>Bi-Annually</li> <li>Annually</li> <li>Less freq</li> <li>LEI 09.5</li> <li>✓ ESG information</li> <li>✓ ESG information</li> <li>✓ ESG information</li> <li>✓ ESG information</li> </ul>	or more frequently lly  Lently than annually  Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.  The mation is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff remation or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or tor analysis generated by investment staff ic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into
<ul> <li>Quarterly</li> <li>Bi-Annua</li> <li>Annually</li> <li>Less freq</li> <li>LEI 09.5</li> <li>✓ ESG infoindustry/sec</li> <li>✓ Systemat</li> </ul>	or more frequently lly  Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.  Immation is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff remation or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or tor analysis generated by investment staff ic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into decisions



# Asset Management One Co.,Ltd.

**Reported Information** 

Public version

**Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership** 

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



VE		

LEA 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

**LEA 01.1** 

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

**LEA 01.2** 

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

- O Attachment provided:
- URL provided:

**URL** 

http://www.am-one.co.jp/company/stewardship/

**LEA 01.3** 

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- ☑ Conflicts of interest
- ☑ Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- ☑ Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- ☑ Engagement approach

Engagement

- $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$  Methods of engagement
- ☑ Transparency of engagement activities
- ☑ Due diligence and monitoring process
- ☑ Insider information
- ☑ Escalation strategies
- ☐ Service Provider specific criteria
- ☐ Other; (specify)
- ☑ (Proxy) voting approach



activities		
hes		
ve ownership activiti	es to service providers?	
vities are conducted rs any of the followin	by service providers, indicate whog:	ether your
rs any of the followin		ether your
rs any of the followin	g:	ether your
rs any of the followin	g: sation's active ownership policy ection and agreements	ether your
ementing your organics service provider sel	g: sation's active ownership policy ection and agreements must follow	ether your
ementing your organic service provider sel- ich service providers	g: sation's active ownership policy ection and agreements must follow	ether your
ementing your organi service provider sel- ich service providers nts of service providers	g: sation's active ownership policy ection and agreements must follow	ether your
ementing your organi service provider sel- ich service providers nts of service providers	g: sation's active ownership policy ection and agreements must follow	ether your
ementing your organi service provider sel- ich service providers nts of service providers	g: sation's active ownership policy ection and agreements must follow	ether your
ementing your organi service provider sel- ich service providers nts of service providers	g: sation's active ownership policy ection and agreements must follow	ether your
ementing your organi service provider sel- ich service providers nts of service providers	g: sation's active ownership policy ection and agreements must follow	ether your
ementing your organi service provider sel- ich service providers nts of service providers	g: sation's active ownership policy ection and agreements must follow	ether your
ementing your organi service provider sel- ich service providers nts of service providers	g: sation's active ownership policy ection and agreements must follow	ether your
	hes	

Principles for Responsible Investment

Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

**LEA 02.1** 

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☑ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	$\square$ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	$\ensuremath{\square}$ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via service providers

**LEA 02.2** 

Indicate whether your organisation plays a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts.

Yes

LEA 02.3

Indicate the role(s) you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- ☑ We discuss the topic of the engagement (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- ☑ We discuss the rationale for the engagement
- ☑ We discuss the objectives of the engagement
- ☑ We select the companies to be engaged with
- ☑ We discuss the frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- ☐ We discuss the next steps for engagement activity
- $\ensuremath{\square}$  We participate directly in certain engagements with our service provider
- ☐ Other; specify
- $\square$  We play no role in engagements that our service provider conducts.

○ No

LEA 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



**LEA 03.1** 

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

Yes

**LEA 03.2** 

Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.



Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements		
Individual / Internal staff			
engagements	Individual / Internal staff engagements		
	☐ Geography/market of the companies		
	☑ Materiality of the ESG factors		
	☑ Exposure (size of holdings)		
	☑ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred		
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure		
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries		
	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)		
	☑ Follow-up from a voting decision		
	☐ Client request		
	☐ Breaches of international norms		
	☐ Other; (specify)		
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements		
Collaborative engagements			
	Collaborative engagements		
	☑ Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues through other investors		
	☐ Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues		
	☐ Ability to add value to the collaboration		
	☐ Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration		
	☑ Materiality of the ESG factors addressed by the collaboration		
	☐ Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration		
	☐ Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred		
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure		
	☐ Follow-up from a voting decision		
	☑ Alleviate the resource burden of engagement		
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries		
	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)		
	☐ Other; (specify)		
	$\hfill\square$ We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagement providers		
Service-provider			
engagements	Service-provider engagements		
	☐ Geography/market of the companies		
	☑ Materiality of the ESG factors		
	☑ Exposure (size of holdings)		
	☐ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred		



☐ Responses to divestment pressure
$\hfill\Box$ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)
☐ Follow-up from a voting decision
☐ Client request
☐ Breaches of international norms
☐ Other; (specify)
$\square$ We do not outline engagement criteria for our service providers

 $\bigcirc$  No

LEA 04 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1

Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<ul> <li>All engagement activities</li> <li>Majority of engagement activities</li> <li>Minority of engagement activities</li> <li>We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff</li> </ul>
Collaborative engagements	<ul> <li>All engagement activities</li> <li>Majority of engagement activities</li> <li>Minority of engagement activities</li> <li>We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration</li> </ul>
Service-provider engagements	<ul> <li>All engagement activities</li> <li>Majority of engagement activities</li> <li>Minority of engagement activities</li> <li>We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by our service providers</li> </ul>

LEA 05 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

**LEA 05.1** 

Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.



Individual / Internal staff engagements	<ul> <li>Yes, in all cases</li> <li>Yes, in a majority of cases</li> <li>Yes, in a minority of cases</li> <li>We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff.</li> </ul>
Collaborative engagements	<ul> <li>Yes, in all cases</li> <li>Yes, in a majority of cases</li> <li>Yes, in a minority of cases</li> <li>We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out through collaboration.</li> </ul>
Service-provider engagements	<ul> <li>Yes, in all cases</li> <li>Yes, in a majority of cases</li> <li>Yes, in a minority of cases</li> <li>We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our service providers.</li> </ul>

LEA 05.2

Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<ul> <li>☑ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives</li> <li>☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs</li> <li>☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met</li> <li>☑ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis</li> <li>☐ Other; specify</li> </ul>
Collaborative engagements	<ul> <li>□ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives</li> <li>☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs</li> <li>☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met</li> <li>☑ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis</li> <li>□ Other; specify</li> </ul>
Service-provider engagements	<ul> <li>□ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives</li> <li>☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs</li> <li>☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met</li> <li>☑ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis</li> <li>□ Other; specify</li> </ul>

LEA 06	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2,4
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------



**LEA 06.1** 

Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.

Yes

	LEA 06.2	Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements.	
	☐ Collaborating with other investors		
	☐ Issuing a	public statement	
	☐ Filing/sub	omitting a shareholder resolution	
		ainst the re-election of the relevant directors	
	☑ Voting against the board of directors or the annual financial report		
	☐ Submitting nominations for election to the board		
	☐ Seeking l	egal remedy / litigation	
	☐ Reducing	exposure (size of holdings)	
	☐ Divestme	nt	
	☐ Other; sp	ecify	
$\circ$	No		

LEA 07	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

LEA 07.1

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<ul><li>Yes, systematically</li><li>Yes, occasionally</li><li>No</li></ul>
Collaborative engagements	<ul><li>Yes, systematically</li><li>Yes, occasionally</li><li>No</li></ul>
Service-provider engagements	<ul><li>Yes, systematically</li><li>Yes, occasionally</li><li>No</li></ul>



**LEA 07.2** 

Indicate the practices used to ensure that information and insights gained through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

$\ensuremath{ert}$ Involving investment decision-makers when developing an engagement programme
☑ Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
☑ Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
$\hfill\square$ Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
☐ Other; specify
□ None

LEA 07.3

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

Type of engagement	Insights shared	
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<ul><li>Yes, systematically</li><li>Yes, occasionally</li><li>No</li></ul>	
Collaborative engagements	<ul><li>Yes, systematically</li><li>Yes, occasionally</li><li>No</li></ul>	
Service-provider engagements	<ul><li>○ Yes, systematically</li><li>● Yes, occasionally</li><li>○ No</li></ul>	

LEA 08 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 2

LEA 08.1

Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<ul> <li>Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full</li> <li>Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements</li> <li>We do not track</li> </ul>
Collaborative engagements	<ul> <li>Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in full</li> <li>Yes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagements</li> <li>We do not track</li> </ul>
Service-provider engagements	<ul> <li>Yes, we track the number of service-provider engagements in full</li> <li>Yes, we partially track the number of our service-provider engagements</li> <li>We do not track</li> </ul>



(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions									
LEA 12		Man	ndatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2			
	<b>LEA 12.1</b> Ir		Indicate how you typically make you	ndicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.					
			Approach						

• We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.

Based on

- Our own voting policy
- Our clients' requests or policies
- Other (explain)
- O We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.
- O We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- O We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

**LEA 12.2** 

Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

To achieve the goal of exercising voting rights, we will build a structure to make appropriate decisions and manage the exercise of voting rights within group companies where the risk of conflicts of interest may exist.

LEA 15.1 Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which where you or the service providers acting on your behalf raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.

100%
99-75%
74-50%
49-25%
24-1%
Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting



	LEA 15.2 Indicate the reasons for raising your concerns with these companies ahead of voting.								
	□ Vote	e(s) co	ncerned selected markets						
	□ Vote	e(s) co	ncerned selected sectors						
	☑ Vote	e(s) co	ncerned certain ESG issues						
	☑ Vote	e(s) co	ncerned companies exposed to contr	oversy on specific	ESG issues				
	□ Vote	e(s) co	ncerned significant shareholdings						
	☐ Clie	nt requ	uest						
	□ Other								
LEA	16	Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2			
LEA 16.1 Indicate the proportion of votes where you, and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicated the rationale to companies for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.									
	O 100	%							
	99-7	75%							
	O 74-5	50%							
	O 49-2	25%							
	O 24-1	1%							
	$\circ$ We	do not	communicate the rationale to compa	nies					
				providers did not ab	stain or vote against managemer	nt			
	LEA 16	5.2				nale for			
	□ Vote	e(s) co	ncern selected markets						
	□ Vote	e(s) co	ncern selected sectors						
	☑ Vote	e(s) co	ncern certain ESG issues						
	□ Vote	e(s) co	ncern companies exposed to controv	ersy on specific ES	SG issues				
	□ Vote	e(s) co	ncern significant shareholdings						
	☐ Clie	nt requ	uest						
	☐ Othe	er							
	99-75%     74-50%     49-25%     24-1%     We do not communicate the rationale to companies     Not applicable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management recommendations    Indicate the reasons why your organisation would communicate to companies, the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations.    Vote(s) concern selected markets   Vote(s) concern selected sectors   Vote(s) concern certain ESG issues   Vote(s) concern companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues   Vote(s) concern significant shareholdings   Client request   Other    In cases where your organisation does communicate the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations, indicate whether this rationale is made public.								
	LEA 16	5.3				ng against			
	<ul><li>Yes</li></ul>								
	○ No								
LEA	17	Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2			
LLA		Wall	datory	T dollo	Ooic Assessed	T INI 2			



**LEA 17.1** 

For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

• We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

100

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- O We do not track or collect this information

LEA 18	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

**LEA 18.1** 

Indicate whether you track the voting instructions that you or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

Yes, we track this information

LEA 18.2

Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	% 85
Against (opposing) management recommendations	15
Abstentions	0

100%

 $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$  No, we do not track this information



**LEA 18.3** 

In cases where your organisation voted against management recommendations, indicate the percentage of companies which you have engaged.

21

LEA	19	Mar	datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2	
	LEA 19.1		Indicate whether your organisation	has a formal escala	ation strategy following unsucces	sful voting.	
,	Yes     No						
	LEA 19.2		Indicate the escalation strategies us against management.	sed at your organis	ation following abstentions and/o	r votes	
,			the company's board				
	☑ Contacting		the company's senior management				
	□ Issu	uing a	public statement explaining the rationale				
	☑ Initiating individual/collabora		ndividual/collaborative engagement				
	$\hfill\Box$ Directing service providers to engage						
	☐ Reducing ex		exposure (holdings) / divestment				
	☐ Oth	er					

# Asset Management One Co.,Ltd.

**Reported Information** 

Public version

**Direct - Fixed Income** 

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



## ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

## Implementation processes

FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1

FI 01.1

Indicate (1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and (2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.

SSA		
		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
	100	
	100	
		Thematic + integration strategies
	0	
		Screening + thematic strategies
	0	
		All three strategies combined
		All three strategies combined
	0	
		No incorporation strategies applied
	0	
	100%	
Corporate (financial)		
		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Interesting plans
		Integration alone
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
	0	

Thematic + integration strategies
0
Screening + thematic strategies
0
All three strategies combined
100
No incorporation strategies applied
0
100%

Corporate (non-		
financial)	Screening alone	
	0	
	Thematic alone	
	0	
	Integration alone	
	0	
	Servening Lintegration strategies	
	Screening + integration strategies	
	0	
	Thematic + integration strategies	
	0	
	Screening + thematic strategies	
	0	
	All three strategies combined	
	100	
	No incorporation strategies applied	
	0	
	100%	
Securitised	Screening alone	
	0	
	Thematic alone	
	0	
	Integration alone	
	0	
	Screening + integration strategies	
	0	

	Thematic + integration strategies
0	
	Screening + thematic strategies
0	
	All three strategies combined
100	
•	No incorporation strategies applied
0	
100%	

FI 01.2

Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.

#### Screening

Our ESG Fund use screening Process, strengthen our ability to assess the downside risk potential of our credit investments

#### ·ESG integration

Credit analysts assign ESG assessments to issuers in terms of the impact on issuer's credit metrics, social impact, and the expected timeline. This will be reflected in investment decisions through discussions between fund managers and credit analysts. We also utilize engagement information from internal analysts and/or external ESG information vendors when we assign evaluations.

#### Theme investment (ESG bond)

We positively work on ESG bond investment to get active return while considering bond scheme, yield and spread into account. Expecting ESG bond market expansion, we also continue our effort in view of social responsibility. Credit analysts evaluate ESG bond in accordance with four requirements of the Green Bond Principles 2018 (1.the use of proceeds, 2.the process of project evaluation and selection, 3.the process of managing, 4.reporting on the proceeds).

FI 01.3

Additional information [Optional].

Screening process is our ESG fund only.

FI 03	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------



FI 03.1	Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:				
	ensive ESG research is undertaken internally to determine companies' activities; and products ces				
$\Box$ Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them an correct inaccuracies					
☑ Issuer info	ormation and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is accurate				
☑ Internal a	udits and regular reviews of ESG research are undertaken in a systematic way.				
	lity/sustainability framework is created and regularly updated that includes all the key ESG risks nities for each sector/country.				
☐ Other, spe	ecify				
☐ None of the	ne above				
FI 03.2	Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.				
☑ ESG infor	mation is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff				
☑ ESG infor	mation is displayed on front office research platforms				
☑ ESG infor similar documents  ☐ ESG infor similar docume	mation is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or ments				
✓ Investmer committee m	nt staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment neetings				
☑ Records of	capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions				
☐ Other, spe	ecify				
□ None of the above					
I Note of the above					
FI 03.3	Additional information. [Optional]				

We periodically hold an credit meeting to discuss the ESG issues and decide investment strategy in view of ESG investment. Credit analysts appropriately update ESG assessments to issuers ,and fund managers and credit analysts have discussions about ESG issues.

(A) Implementation: Screening									
FI 04	FI 04 Manda		atory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1			
FI 04.		4.1	Indicate the type of screening you	u conduct.					

Select all that apply



	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	Securitised
	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>
Negative/exclusionary screening				
Positive/best-in-class screening				
Norms-based screening				

FI 04.2 Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income

Since we don't define screening criteria in terms and conditions, there is nothing to notify to clients and/or beneficiaries.

FI 06 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

FI 06.1

Indicate which systems your organisation has to ensure that fund screening criteria are not breached in fixed income investments.

Type of screening	Checks
	☑ Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria
Negative/exclusionary screening	$\ensuremath{\square}$ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year.
	☑ Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria
	$\ensuremath{\square}$ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions
	☐ Other, specify
	☐ None of the above

(B) In	nlame	ntatio	n· Th	ematic

	FI 08	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--	-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------



FI 08.1

Indicate whether you encourage transparency and disclosure relating to the issuance of themed bonds as per the Green Bonds Principles, Social Bond Principles, or Sustainability Bond Guidelines..

- ☑ We require that themed bond proceeds are only allocated to environmentally or socially beneficial projects
- ☑ We require the issuer (or 3rd party assurer) to demonstrate a process which determines the eligibility of projects to which themed bond proceeds are allocated
- ☑ We require issuers to demonstrate a systematic and transparent process of disbursing themed bond proceeds to eligible projects until all funds are allocated
- ☑ We require issuers to report at least once per year on the projects to which proceeds have been allocated including a description of those projects
- ☐ Other, specify
- ☐ None of the above

FI 08.2

Describe the actions you take when issuers do not disburse bond proceeds as described in the offering documents.

We will follow the situation and discuss the matter with issuer at debt IR meeting, etc.

FI 09	Mandatory		Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1	
	FI 09.1 Indicate how you assess the			ironmental or socia	I impact of your thematic investm	ents.
			re issuers to report at least once pe investments	r year on specific e	nvironmental or social impacts re	sulting from
	$\Box$ We ensure independent audits are conducted on the environmental or social impact of our investments					
	$\square$ We have a proprietary system to measure environmental and social impact					
	$\hfill \Box$ We measure the impact of our themed bond investments on specific ESG factors such as carbon emissions or human rights					
	☐ Other, specify					
	□ None of the above					

### (C) Implementation: Integration

FI 10 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1

FI 10.1

Describe your approach to integrating ESG into traditional financial analysis.

Credit analysts assign ESG assessments to issuers in terms of the impact on the issuer's credit metrics, social impact, and the expected timeline. This will be reflected in investment decisions through discussions between fund managers and credit analysts. We also utilize engagement information from internal analysts and/or external ESG information vendors when we assign evaluations.

- 1)Timeline: timeline until the ESG issues are revealed
- ②ESG assessment: comprehensive judgment on both impact on credit metrics(financial and rating) and social impact (headline risk))
- "X" highly caution needed, "▲" caution needed, "-" no problem.
- (3Direction (↑or ↓ (improving / deteriorating) :the direction of the company's response to the ESG theme



FI 10.2

Describe how your ESG integration approach is adapted to each of the different types of fixed income you invest in.

SSA

by our own qualitative judgment.

Corporate (financial)

by our own qualitative judgment.

Corporate (non-financial)

by our own qualitative judgment.

Securitised

by our own qualitative judgment.

FI 11 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

FI 11.1

Indicate how ESG information is typically used as part of your investment process.

Select all that apply



	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non- financial)	Securitised
ESG analysis is integrated into fundamental analysis	<b>V</b>	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
ESG analysis is used to adjust the internal credit assessments of issuers.	V	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>
ESG analysis is used to adjust forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates.	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>
ESG analysis impacts the ranking of an issuer relative to a chosen peer group.	V	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>
An issuer's ESG bond spreads and its relative value versus its sector peers are analysed to find out if all risks are priced in.		<b>V</b>	☑	<b>V</b>
The impact of ESG analysis on bonds of an issuer with different durations/maturities are analysed.		<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>
Sensitivity analysis and scenario analysis are applied to valuation models to compare the difference between base-case and ESG-integrated security valuation.				
ESG analysis is integrated into portfolio weighting decisions.	<b>V</b>			
Companies, sectors, countries and currency and monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits.				
The ESG profile of portfolios is examined for securities with high ESG risks and assessed relative to the ESG profile of a benchmark.				
Other, specify in Additional Information	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>

FI 11.2 Additional information [OPTIONAL]

The other is evaluation of the governance ability of having the skills of cash-flow management, based on the credit data, etc.,

For our ESG fund, we select a universe of issuers by using external ESG scores and decide a universe of investable issuers by our own qualitative analysis.

FI 12 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 1



FI 12.1

Indicate the extent to which ESG issues are reviewed in your integration process.

	Environment	Social	Governance
SSA	Environmental	Social	Governance
	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	O Not at all	O Not at all
Corporate (financial)	Environmental	Social	Governance
(	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	○ Not at all	○ Not at all
Corporate (non-	Environmental	Social	Governance
financial)	Systematically	Systematically	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	○ Not at all	○ Not at all
Securitised	Environmental	Social	Governance
	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	O Not at all	O Not at all

FI 12.2 Please provide more detail on how you review E, S and/or G factors in your integration process.

SSA

by our own qualitative judgment.

Corporate (financial)

by our own qualitative and quantitative judgment.

Corporate (non-financial)

by our own qualitative and quantitative judgment.



Securitised

by our own qualitative and quantitative judgment.



Asset Management One Co.,Ltd.

**Reported Information** 

Public version

Confidence building measures

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



	Confi	dence b	uilding measures			
CM1	01	Manda	tory	Public	Additional Assessed	General
	CM1 0		ndicate whether the reported inforn ear has undergone:	nation you have pro	ovided for your PRI Transparency	Report this
	☐ Thir		ssurance over selected responses ssurance over data points from oth year	-		ur PRI
		rd party as is year)	ssurance or audit of the correct imp	olementation of RI	processes (that have been report	ed to the
			of the correct implementation of R PRI this year)	I processes and/or	accuracy of RI data (that have be	een
	☐ Inte	rnal verifi	cation of responses before submis	sion to the PRI (e.o	g. by the CEO or the board)	
		er, specif				
		confirmed ne of the a	d to relevant departments by in-hou above	use approvai docur	nent.	
CM1	02	Manda	tory	Public	Descriptive	General
	CM1 0	<b>2.1</b> V	Ve undertook third party assurance	e on last year's PRI	Transparency Report	
			Ve undertook third party assurance		Transparency Report	
	○ Wh	ole PRI T ected data	ransparency Report was assured la a was assured in last year's PRI Tr	ast year ansparency Repor		
	○ Wh	ole PRI T ected data did not as	ransparency Report was assured la a was assured in last year's PRI Tr ssure last year`s PRI Transparency	ast year ansparency Repor y report	t	
	○ Wh	ole PRI T ected data did not as	ransparency Report was assured la a was assured in last year's PRI Tr	ast year ansparency Repor y report	t	
CM1	○ Who ○ Selo	ole PRI T ected data did not as	ransparency Report was assured la a was assured in last year's PRI Tr ssure last year's PRI Transparency above, we were in our preparation	ast year ansparency Repor y report	t	General
СМ1	○ Who ○ Selo	ole PRI T ected data did not as ne of the a	ransparency Report was assured la a was assured in last year's PRI Tr ssure last year's PRI Transparency above, we were in our preparation	ast year ransparency Repor y report year and did not re Public	t port last year. <b>Descriptive</b>	
CM1	○ Who ○ Seld	ole PRI T ected data did not as ne of the a  Manda	ransparency Report was assured la a was assured in last year's PRI Tr ssure last year's PRI Transparency above, we were in our preparation y tory	ast year ransparency Repor y report year and did not re Public measures that are u	t port last year. <b>Descriptive</b>	
CM1	○ Who Seld  ② We  ○ Nor    CM1 0  □ We  □ We	ole PRI T ected data did not as ne of the a Manda  3.1 V T adhere to carry out	ransparency Report was assured la was assured in last year's PRI Transparency above, we were in our preparation yetory  Ve undertake confidence building ransparency Report:	ast year cansparency Report y report year and did not re  Public  measures that are to eme	port last year.  Descriptive  unspecific to the data contained in	n our PRI
CM1	○ Who Seld  ② We  ○ Nor   O3  CM1 0  □ We  □ We  extract □ ESC	ole PRI T ected data did not as ne of the a Manda  3.1 V T adhere to carry out ts of whice G audit of	ransparency Report was assured la was assured in last year's PRI Transparency above, we were in our preparation yetory  Ve undertake confidence building ransparency Report:  o an RI certification or labelling schaindependent/third party assurance hare included in this year's PRI Transparency Relations.	ast year cansparency Report y report year and did not re  Public  measures that are to eme	port last year.  Descriptive  unspecific to the data contained in	n our PRI
CM1	○ Who Seld  ● We  ○ Nor   O3  CM1 0  □ We  □ We  extrace □ ESC □ Oth	ole PRI T ected data did not as ne of the a Manda  3.1 V T adhere to carry out ts of whice G audit of er, specifical data and the specifical data and	ransparency Report was assured la was assured in last year's PRI Transparency above, we were in our preparation yetory  Ve undertake confidence building ransparency Report:  o an RI certification or labelling scholdingen included in this year's PRI Transparency hare hare included in this year's PRI Transparency hare hare hare hare hare hare hare hare	ast year cansparency Report y report year and did not re  Public  measures that are to eme	port last year.  Descriptive  unspecific to the data contained in	n our PRI
CM1	○ Who Seld  ● We  ○ Nor   O3  CM1 0  □ We  □ We  extrace □ ESC □ Oth	ole PRI T ected data did not as ne of the a Manda  3.1 V T adhere to carry out ts of whice G audit of	ransparency Report was assured la was assured in last year's PRI Transparency above, we were in our preparation yetory  Ve undertake confidence building ransparency Report:  o an RI certification or labelling scholdingen included in this year's PRI Transparency hare hare included in this year's PRI Transparency hare hare hare hare hare hare hare hare	ast year cansparency Report y report year and did not re  Public  measures that are to eme	port last year.  Descriptive  unspecific to the data contained in	n our PRI



CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- O Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- O Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

