



RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2020

Albizia Capital Pte Ltd





About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
8	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Po	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



Principles Index



Organisa	isational Overview						Principle			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG	·	8	n/a							
00 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							√
00 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							√
00 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	~	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							√
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	8	n/a							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	8	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
00 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	8	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	8	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	8	n/a							✓
00 PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	8	n/a							✓
00 PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	8	n/a							✓
00 PR 03	Largest property types	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	8	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrate	CCStrategy and Governance						Principle				
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6		
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓	
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Private							✓	
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓		
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓	
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓	
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓	
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓	
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓	
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓	
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓	
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓			
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	8	n/a	✓							
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓				
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓		
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓				
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓							
SG 13 CC		8	n/a							✓	
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	~							
SG 14 CC		✓	Private							✓	
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓							
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓	
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓	
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓	
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓		
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-								

Direct - I	et - Listed Equity Incorporation						Principle			General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	1 2 3 4 5 6					
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	~						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	~						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	8	n/a	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	8	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	~						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - L	rect - Listed Equity Active Ownership						Principle			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures							Principle				
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6		
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓	
CM1 02	Assurance of last year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓	
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓	
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓	
CM1 05	External assurance	8	n/a							✓	
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	8	n/a							✓	
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓	
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-								



Albizia Capital Pte Ltd

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Bas	sic info	ormation			
O 01	Ма	ındatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	Gene
00	01.1	Select the services and funds	s you offer		
Select the	e servi	ces and funds you offer	% of asse	et under management (AUM) in ranges
Fund man	ageme	nt	○ 0%○ <10%○ 10-50%● >50%	6	
-und of fu	inds, ma	anager of managers, sub-advise	d products	6	
Other			● 0%○ <10%○ 10-50%○ >50%	6	
Total 100%	%				L
		Further options (may be select	cted in addition to the	above)	-
	ledge fu und of I	unds hedge funds			
O 02	Ма	indatory	Public	Peering	Gene
00	02.1	Select the location of your or	ganisation's headquar	ters.	
Sing	gapore				
00	02.2	Indicate the number of count	ries in which you have	offices (including your headqu	uarters).
12					
O 6					



○ >10

Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

11

OO 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

O Yes

No

OO 04 Mandatory Public Gateway/Peering General

00 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

00 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM			594	100	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD			594	100	000

 $\hfill\square$ Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

00 04.4

Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

☑ Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach



OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

OO 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

• as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	92.5	0
Fixed income	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0

Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	7.5	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

 \bigcirc as broad ranges

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional

O Yes

No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- O Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

00 0	9	Man	datory	Public	Peering	General
	OO 09	.1	Indicate the breakdown of your org	anisation's AUM by	market.	
			Developed Markets			
	12.6	6				
			Emerging Markets			
	76.8	3				
			Frontier Markets			
	10.6	6				
			Other Markets			
	0					



Total 100%

100%

Asset class implementation gateway indicators **Public** 00 10 **Mandatory Gateway** General Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year. 00 10.1 Listed equity – engagement ☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers. ☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Listed equity – voting ☑ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers ☐ We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf **Mandatory Public Gateway** General 00 11 Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your 00 11.1 investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year). Listed equity • We address ESG incorporation. O We do not do ESG incorporation. Cash O We address ESG incorporation. • We do not do ESG incorporation. 00 12 **Mandatory Public** Gateway General Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to 00 12.1 report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box. Core modules Organisational Overview



RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

✓ Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

✓ Engagements
✓ (Proxy) voting

Closing module

☑ Closing module

Peering questions

OO LE 01 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Public Gateway Disclose	General
---	---------

00 LE 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.

Percentage of internally managed listed equities

	Passive
0	
	Active - quantitative (quant)
0	
	Active - fundamental and active - other
100	
	Total

100%



Albizia Capital Pte Ltd

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Investment policy

SG 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	Applicable policies cover all AUM
	O Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☐ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties	
☐ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☑ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☑ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	



SG 01.3 Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following		
☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments		
$\hfill\square$ Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account		
☑ Time horizon of your investment		
☑ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities		
☑ ESG incorporation approaches		
☑ Active ownership approaches		
□ Reporting		
☐ Climate change		
☐ Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences		
☐ Other RI considerations, specify (1)		
☐ Other RI considerations, specify (2)		

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

Albizia Capital Responsible Investing Policy Statement

Albizia Capital seeks to generate superior sustainable medium-long term investment return with less risk than benchmark investing, through disciplined bottom-up value-investing and a long-term view. As such, responsible investing has always been implicit at the core of our research and investment philosophy.

- A better environment leads to healthier and wealthier consumers.
- A better and fairer society reduces poverty and increases the number of consumers our companies can sell to.
- Better governance increases transparency, reduces risk, attracts high quality professional talent and enhances overall investability.
- Companies that understand and adopt environmental, social and governance (ESG) practices provide better, safer products and services, leading to enhanced business profitability and sustainability.

Long investment horizons increase the probability that both the positive and negative aspects of environmental, social and governance (ESG) risks become evident, making an ESG approach an essential part of generating sustainable superior risk-adjusted returns over long periods. Our firm's research process encompasses a comprehensive review of our target companies' ESG practices.

We strive to continuously improve on these principles and their diligent execution, which are core to our investment philosophy.

○ No

SG 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 6

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

☑ Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

☑ URL



URL

https://www.albiziacap.com/principles.php

☑ Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

File 1:Albizia Capital Responsible Investing Policy 2020.pdf

☐ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors
☐ Formalised guidelines on social factors
☐ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors
☐ Sector specific RI guidelines
☐ Screening / exclusions policy
☐ Engagement policy
☑ (Proxy) voting policy
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
https://www.albiziacap.com/principles.php
☑ Attachment (will be made public)
Attachment
File 1:Albizia Capital Responsible Voting Policy 2020.pdf

 $\hfill\square$ We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

☑ URL



URL

https://www.albiziacap.com/principles.php

☑ Attachment

File Attachment

Albizia Capital Responsible Investing Policy 2020.pdf [119KB]

☑ Time horizon of your investment

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

http://albiziacap.com/investment.php

- ☐ Attachment
- ☐ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ☐ ESG incorporation approaches
- ☐ Active ownership approaches
- \square We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Our funds have detailed conflict of interest policy documents that are shared with all investors, and can be discussed at any time. In addition, the funds have active and independent board directors that are mandated and encouraged to question the investment manager on all areas of fiduciary responsibility, including conflicts of interest.

 \bigcirc No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05 Mandatory Public Gateway/Core Assessed General

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

Ouarterly or more frequently
Biannually
Annually
Less frequently than annually
Ad-hoc basis
It is not set/reviewed

SG 07 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General SG 07.1 Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment. Roles

☑ Board members or trustees
 ☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 ☐ Implementation of responsible investment
 ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
 ☑ Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
 ☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment

☐ Implementation of responsible investment

☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

☑ Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify

Head of research



		☑ Oversight/accountability fo	r responsible investment					
		☑ Implementation of responsible investment						
	$\hfill\square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment							
		☑ Portfolio managers						
		☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment						
		☑ Implementation of responsible investment						
		☐ No oversight/accountability	or implementation respon	nsibility for responsible investmen	t			
		☑ Investment analysts						
		☐ Oversight/accountability fo	☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment					
		☑ Implementation of respons	ible investment					
		☐ No oversight/accountability	or implementation respon	nsibility for responsible investmen	t			
		☐ Dedicated responsible investme	ent staff					
		☐ Investor relations						
		☐ Other role, specify (1)						
		\square Other role, specify (2)						
	✓ E	External managers or service provide	ers					
		☐ Oversight/accountability for resp	onsible investment					
		☐ Implementation of responsible in	nvestment					
		☑ No oversight/accountability or in	nplementation responsibili	ty for responsible investment				
	SG 07	For the roles for which you indicate how you execute the		ability or implementation respons	ibilities,			
(Oversigh	t responsibility for the Responsible	Investing process, from inv	estment decisions through to dis	closure, lies			
		CEO and Board of Albizia Capital. Ir ent (primarily head of research) and		ty rests with the respective heads	of			
`	aoparan	one (primarily fload of foodatori) and	analysts.					
	SG 07	.3 Indicate the number of dedi	cated responsible investm	ent staff your organisation has.				
		Number						
	Number							
	0							
	Prom	oting responsible investment						
		5						
SG 09	9	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5			
	SG 09	Select the collaborative org which it participated during		of which your organisation is a me role you played.	nember or in			
	Select all that apply							
	☑ Principles for Responsible Investment							



		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
□Ва	asic	
✓M	odera	ate
	dvand	ced
		Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

We became involved in PRI's Working Group on Plastic as we were trying to find a collaborative effort on this enormous subject. Our involvement is regular attendance on calls and feedback sessions.



	Asian Corporate Governance Association
	Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
	AVCA: Sustainability Committee
	France Invest – La Commission ESG
	BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
	CDP Climate Change
	CDP Forests
	CDP Water
	CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
	Climate Action 100+
	Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
	Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
	Eumedion
	Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
	ESG Research Australia
	Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
	Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
	Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
	Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
	Green Bond Principles
	HKVCA: ESG Committee
	Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
	Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
	International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
	Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
	International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
	Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
	Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
	Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
	Principles for Sustainable Insurance
	Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
	Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
	Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
	United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
	United Nations Global Compact
V	Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
	World Wide Fund for Nature, Singapore, PACT (Plastics Action Programme)

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)
☐ Basic	
□ Mode	erate
	nced
	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
Action - and c companies, b	out the WWF Singapore programme encouraging companies to use less plastic - PACT, Plastic discussed with them how we could cooperate. We then proceeded to enquire with relevant by email, phone or in person, on their inclination to work with WWF on these programmes, and are regularly with WWF to see if progress is being made in bringing these companies into a plastics orgramme.
	Footprinting Survey" we had previously prepared is also very useful in this programme, as it ere companies are in the development of understanding how large or complex their plastic nt be.
	prative organisation/initiative, specify
Bloomberg, a	and sustainability data service providers
	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)
☐ Basic	
□ Mode	erate
☑ Advar	nced
	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
	a plan to introduce Bloomberg representatives to all our relevant investee companies that do not tainability data, for Bloomberg to help them in the process of moving towards reporting that data.
□ Other collabo	prative organisation/initiative, specify
	prative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other conabo	rative organisation initiative, specify
Mandat	tory Public Core Assessed PRI 4
	ndicate if your avappination promotes voon analyla in restricts in language that he have the
	ndicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative nitiatives.
Yes	



SG 10

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

☐ Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

☑ Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment

Description

We have become a leading sponsor of the Institute of South East Asian Studies. The remit for this group is much broader than pure Responsible Investing, but deals with policy studies across the ASEAN region, and is therefore a key influence in spread of government policy in ASEAN, and we plan to increase the importance of RI and sustainability at this forum.

Frequency of contribution

- O Quarterly or more frequently
- O Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- O Ad hoc
- Other
- ☐ Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
- $\ensuremath{\square}$ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

Description

Our Albizia Capital ESG Questionnaire has been sent to all our portfolio companies, and for many of them this is the first time they have encountered such detailed ESG analysis.

Frequency of contribution

- O Quarterly or more frequently
- O Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- O Ad hoc
- Other
- ☐ Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- ☐ Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- ☑ Encouraged the adoption of the PRI

Description

We have recommended joining the UN PRI to at least one investment group and one service provider in the past twelve months, introducing them to the relevant contacts at UN PRI.



		Frequency of contribution
	○ Quarterl	y or more frequently
	O Biannua	lly
	Annually	
	O Less fre	quently than annually
	O Ad hoc	
	Other	
V	Responded to	o RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
		Description
		ld Wide Fund for Nature and United Nations Environmental Programme to discuss their goals nsformation, specifically with regard to plastic
		Frequency of contribution
	Quarterl	y or more frequently
	O Biannua	lly
	Annually	
	O Less fre	quently than annually
	O Ad hoc	
	Other	
	Wrote and pu	ablished articles on responsible investment in the media
V	A member of	PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
		Description
Ī	Member of th	e PRI International Working Group on Plastics
		Frequency of contribution
	Quarterl	y or more frequently
	○ Biannua	lly
	 Annually 	
	O Less fre	quently than annually
	O Ad hoc	
	Other	
	On the Board	of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
✓ (Other, specify	y .
		specify description

Encouraging the publishing of Sustainability Data with Bloomberg



Description

We conducted a programme during the year of introducing those among our companies that do not publish sustainability data (the majority) to the sustainability reporting team at Bloomberg, to help move them along the path towards reporting. We believe this will be a long process, and we will need to repeat this many times, but we feel that the more voices that can engage companies, advocating different strategies and explaining new advantages, we can move more companies towards full reporting.

		Frequency of contribution			
	00	Quarterly or more frequently			
	O B	iannually			
	\circ A	nnually			
	O L	ess frequently than annually			
	A	d hoc			
	\circ C	Other			
0	No				
Ot	utsourcind	g to fiduciary managers and in	voetment concu	Iltante	
		to nadolary managers and m	ivestillent const	intants	
SG 12					PRI 4
SG 12		latory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
	Mand	latory	Public	Core Assessed	
	Mand		Public	Core Assessed	
New	Mand	latory	Public ndicator. Please re	Core Assessed eview your prefilled responses	
New	Mand selection of	pptions have been added to this in	Public ndicator. Please re	Core Assessed eview your prefilled responses	
New	Mand selection of	latory pptions have been added to this in	Public ndicator. Please re	Core Assessed eview your prefilled responses	
New	Mand selection of 12.1 Yes, we us	pptions have been added to this in Indicate whether your organisation investment consultants	Public ndicator. Please re uses investment co	Core Assessed eview your prefilled responses	carefully.
New	Mand selection of	pptions have been added to this in Indicate whether your organisation investment consultants	Public ndicator. Please re uses investment co	Core Assessed eview your prefilled responses onsultants. for any the following services. De	carefully.
New	Mand selection of 12.1 Yes, we use	Indicate whether your organisation Indicate whether sour organisation Indicate whether you use investment	Public ndicator. Please re uses investment co	Core Assessed eview your prefilled responses onsultants. for any the following services. De	carefully.
New	Mand selection of 12.1 Yes, we use	Indicate whether your organisation e investment consultants Indicate whether you use invertesponsible investment compo	Public ndicator. Please re uses investment co	Core Assessed eview your prefilled responses onsultants. for any the following services. De	carefully.

Describe how responsible investment is incorporated

We are responsible for all elements of responsible investment, not the custodians.

We have engaged the services of Glass Lewis for their proxy vote advisory services, to provide further clarification on specific regulations, best practices, peer levels and also operate as a "second pair of eyes" on our own decision making.

☑ Other, specify (1)

☐ Investment policy development

☐ Strategic asset allocation☑ Investment research



Describe how responsible investment is incorporated

We also engage Ernst and Young to provide ad hoc strategic advice for us (they are not our auditors). In 2019, in particular, EY were engaged to advise on the issuance of a new share class that encouraged longer-term investing.

☑ Other, specify (2)

Describe how responsible investment is incorporated

We have engaged independent research provider, Smartkarma, and encouraged them to both develop ESG research (which they have done) and also join the UN PRI (which we don't think they have).

- ☐ Other, specify (3)
- ☐ None of the above
- \square No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1

SG 13.1

Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).

☑ Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors

Describe

We conduct scenario analysis for the majority of our investments, to gauge as many potential risk factors inherent in the business, and these will include ESG factors.

- ☐ Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities
- ☐ No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 13.2

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

We do the following

- ☐ Allocation between asset classes
- $\hfill\square$ Determining fixed income duration
- $\hfill\square$ Allocation of assets between geographic markets

We negatively screen out investments in the plantation, tobacco and casino sector on ESG concerns. All other investments are made bottom-up rather than strategically.

☐ We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

Communication



SG 19 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- O We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- O We disclose it publicly

Disclosure	to clients/beneficiaries
	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
O Broad app	proach to ESG incorporation
Detailed e	explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used
	Frequency
☐ Quarterly	or more frequently
☐ Biannually	
☑ Annually	
☐ Less frequ	uently than annually
☐ Ad-hoc/wl	nen requested

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- \bigcirc We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- $\ensuremath{\, \bullet \,}$ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- \bigcirc We disclose to the public



Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
☑ Details on the overall engagement strategy
☑ Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals
☐ Number of engagements undertaken
☐ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
☐ Breakdown of engagements by region
\square An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives
☑ Examples of engagement cases
☐ Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.)
\square Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured
☑ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
☐ Other information
Frequency
☐ Quarterly or more frequently
☑ Biannually
□ Annually
☐ Less frequently than annually
☐ Ad-hoc/when requested

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- $\ensuremath{\, \bullet \,}$ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- \bigcirc We disclose to the public



Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries			
	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries		
Disclose all voting decisions			
Only disclose shotsetime and votes against management			
Only disclose abstentions and votes against management			
	Frequency		
☐ Quarterly or more frequently			
□ Biannuall	у		
□ Annually			
☐ Less frequently than annually			
□ Less freq	uently than annually		



Albizia Capital Pte Ltd

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Indicate which ESG incorporation strategy and/of actively managed listed equities; and the breakd strategy or combination of strategies. ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply) Screening alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategy in the property of t	Gateway	PRI 1
LEI 01.1 actively managed listed equities; and the breakd strategy or combination of strategies. ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply) Screening alone (i.e., not combined with any other strates Thematic alone (i.e., not combined with any other strates Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other strates)	or combination of strategi	·
 □ Screening alone (i.e., not combined with any other strat □ Thematic alone (i.e., not combined with any other strat □ Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other strat 		
☐ Thematic alone (i.e., not combined with any other strat☐ Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other strat☐		
☐ Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other stra		
	,	
□ Screening and integration strategies	alegies)	
Percentage of active listed equity to which the		
strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5%		
100		
☐ Thematic and integration strategies		
☐ Screening and thematic strategies		
☐ All three strategies combined		
$\hfill \square$ We do not apply incorporation strategies		
Total actively managed listed equities		
100%		

particular strategy/strategies.

Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the

As long-term investors, responsible investing has been implicit at the core of our research and investment philosophy since the launch of our first fund in 2009. We incorporate ESG via screening and integration.

- 1) We positively screen only for consumer companies, as this is the focus of our investment philosophy: that there is a symbiotic relationship between the best consumer companies in South East Asia and the growth of consumption in the region. The best companies bring improved technologies, such as more efficient logistics and distribution or food safety, and in the long run generate greater profitability and improved lives.
- 2) We then negatively screen out industries that we believe are often inherently harmful such as tobacco, gambling and plantation crops.
- 3) With this universe, we then integrate ESG research into all our other forms of research, as well as engaging investee companies on specific ESG-related issues.



	(A) Implementation: Screening				
(A	t) implementa	ation: Screening			
LEI 04	Mandato	ory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
	LEI 04.1	Indicate and describe the type equities.	of screening yo	ou apply to your internally ma	naged active listed
	Type of scr	eening			
	☑ Negative/	exclusionary screening			
	_				
	Screened	•			
	☑ Proc				
	✓ Active	vity			
	Sect Sec	or			
	□ Cou	ntry/geographic region			
	□ Envi	ronmental and social practices a	and performance	е	
	☑ Corp	oorate governance			
		Description			
		de sectors we deem to be non-cr, we exclude the tobacco, planta			
	☐ Positive/b	est-in-class screening			
	☐ Norms-ba	ased screening			
		<u>-</u>			
	LEI 04.2	Describe how you notify client screening criteria.	s and/or benefic	ciaries when changes are ma	de to your

These criteria have been established since the founding of the firm, and the launch of the two funds, and are not anticipated to change at those funds. If the firm considered investing in these sectors in future, it would likely be in a different fund, which would be announced at the launch of that fund.

Public PRI 1 **LEI 05 Mandatory Core Assessed**



Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG screening is based on robust analysis.

☑ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.

☐ Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies.

☐ External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar.

☐ Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.

☐ Trading platforms blocking / restricting flagged securities on the black list.

☐ A committee, body or similar with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions.

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ A periodic review of internal research is carried out.

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ Review and evaluation of external research providers.

☐ Other; specify

☐ None of the above

LEI 05.2

Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your ESG screening strategy.

○ <10%

O 10-50%

O 51-90%

● >90%

LEI 05.4

Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG screens.

Quarterly or more frequently

O Bi-Annually

○ Annually

Less frequently than annually

LEI 05.5

Additional information. [Optional]

We positively screen on the basis of sector (consumer) and negatively on the basis of sector, product and activity. We do not screen, either positively or negatively, for narrower levels of ESG performance, and therefore do not need more complex processes than periodic review of company activity.

We officially review our investment universe on a quarterly basis, to ensure all firms meet screening criteria.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

LEI 08 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

LEI 08.1

Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and G factors are systematically researched as part of your investment analysis.



ESG issues	Proportion impacted by analysis		
Environmental			
	Environmental		
	O <10%		
	○ 10-50%		
	● 51-90%		
	O >90%		
Social			
	Social		
	O <10%		
	○ 10-50%		
	● 51-90%		
	O >90%		
Corporate			
Governance	Corporate Governance		
	O <10%		
	○ 10-50%		
	○ 51-90%		
	● >90%		

LEI 08.2 Additional information. [Optional

Our ESG questionnaire must be completed on each company. This questionnaire asks a number of wide-ranging questions, grouped under the following 10 themes: Resources, Externalities (such as waste, water, etc), Customers, Staff, Community, Transparency, Supervision, Leadership, Upstream and Downstream Governance, and History. The questionnaire is intended as a starting point for discussion with the company, to find areas where they are stronger or weaker, and to discuss potential improvements they could make.

LEI 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1

LLI 03.1	analysis.			
	ensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products			
	es are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them naccuracies			
☐ Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly				
☑ A periodic	review of the internal research is carried out			
	d, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or vestments team			
□ ESG risk	profile of a portfolio against benchmark			
☐ Analysis o	of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance			
Other; specific of the specific of th	ecify			
We send our own proprietorial ESG questionnaire to all portfolio companies, followed by rigorous questioning to identify possible weaknesses.				
☐ None of the	ne above			
LEI 09.2	Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.			
○ <10%				
○ 10-50%				
○ 51-90%				
● >90%				
LEI 09.4	Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration strategy.			
Quarterly or more frequently				
○ Bi-Annually				
○ Annually				
○ Less frequently than annually				
LEI 09.5	Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.			
☑ ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff				
☑ ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff				
☐ Systemati	c records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into lecisions			
□ Other; specify				
□ Other, spe	_ c.no., opeon,			

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on robust



 $\hfill\square$ None of the above

Albizia Capital Pte Ltd

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Over	view				
LEA 01	Mandate	ory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
New se	lection opt	ions have been added to this ir	ndicator. Please re	eview your prefilled responses	carefully.
LEA 0		dicate whether your organisation ting).	has an active owne	ership policy (includes engageme	nt and/or
⊚ Ye	S				
	EA 01.2	Attach or provide a URL to yoυ	ur active ownership	policy.	
	○ Attachme • URL prov	l ent provided: /ided:			
		URL			
	https://wv	vw.albiziacap.com/principles.php			
L	EA 01.3	Indicate what your active enga	gement policy cove	ers:	
		General approach to Active (Ownership		
		cts of interest			
	☐ Alignm	nent with national stewardship cod	de requirements		
	☐ Assets	s/funds covered by active ownersh	hip policy		
		tations and objectives			
	☑ Engag	ement approach			
		Engagement			
	☑ E	SG issues			
	☑P	rioritisation of engagement			
	✓ N	lethods of engagement			
	☑T	ransparency of engagement activ	vities		
	☑ D	ue diligence and monitoring proc	ess		



 $\ensuremath{\square}$ Insider information $\ensuremath{\square}$ Escalation strategies

☐ Other; (specify)☑ (Proxy) voting approach

 $\ oxdot$ Service Provider specific criteria

	Voting			
	☑ Prioritisation and scop	pe of voting activities		
	☑ Methods of voting			
	☑ Transparency of votin	ig activities		
	☑ Regional voting practi	ice approaches		
		lutions		
	☑ Company dialogue pr	e/post-vote		
	☑ Decision-making prod	esses		
	☑ Securities lending pro	cesses		
	☐ Other; (specify)			
	☐ Other			
	☐ None of the above			
○ No				
LEA 0		of your active ownership act	ivities to service providers?	
● Yes	Where active owr active ownership	nership activities are conduct policy covers any of the follo role in implementing your org included in service provider	ed by service providers, indicate wing: anisation's active ownership pol selection and agreements	
• Yes	Where active own active ownership Outline of service provider's in the description of considerations	nership activities are conduct policy covers any of the follorole in implementing your orgonic included in service provider neworks which service provide	ed by service providers, indicate wing: anisation's active ownership pol selection and agreements	
• Yes	Where active own active ownership Outline of service provider's in the Description of considerations Identification of key ESG frame	nership activities are conduct policy covers any of the follo role in implementing your org included in service provider neworks which service provice g requirements of service pro	ed by service providers, indicate wing: anisation's active ownership pol selection and agreements	
• Yes	Where active own active ownership Outline of service provider's in the description of considerations and Identification of key ESG fram Outline of information sharing	nership activities are conduct policy covers any of the follo role in implementing your org included in service provider neworks which service provice g requirements of service pro	ed by service providers, indicate wing: anisation's active ownership pol selection and agreements	
• Yes	Where active own active ownership Outline of service provider's in the Description of considerations and Identification of key ESG framenation of the Description of service provider of Other; (specify)	nership activities are conduct policy covers any of the follorole in implementing your orgonic included in service provider neworks which service provider grequirements of service prover monitoring processes	ed by service providers, indicate wing: anisation's active ownership pol selection and agreements	licy
• Yes	Where active own active ownership Outline of service provider's in the Description of considerations and Identification of key ESG fram Outline of information sharing Description of service provider Other; (specify) We engage Glass Lewis for in	nership activities are conduct policy covers any of the follorole in implementing your orgonic included in service provider neworks which service provider grequirements of service prover monitoring processes	ed by service providers, indicate wing: anisation's active ownership pol selection and agreements lers must follow viders	licy
• Yes	Where active own active ownership Outline of service provider's in the Description of considerations and Identification of key ESG frames Outline of information sharing Outline of information sharing Other; (specify) We engage Glass Lewis for in recommendations, as well as None of the above	nership activities are conduct policy covers any of the follorole in implementing your orgonic included in service provider neworks which service provider grequirements of service prover monitoring processes	ed by service providers, indicate wing: anisation's active ownership pol selection and agreements lers must follow viders	licy
Yes C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Where active own active ownership Outline of service provider's in the Description of considerations and Identification of key ESG frames Outline of information sharing Outline of information sharing Other; (specify) We engage Glass Lewis for in recommendations, as well as None of the above	nership activities are conduct policy covers any of the follorole in implementing your orgonic included in service provider neworks which service provider grequirements of service prover monitoring processes	ed by service providers, indicate wing: anisation's active ownership pol selection and agreements lers must follow viders	licy



Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☑ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☑ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☑ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.2

Indicate whether your organisation plays a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts.

O Yes

No

LEA 02.4

Additional information. [Optional

We use our service provider on proxy voting mainly for informational purposes and advanced understanding of ESG strategy

LEA 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

Yes

LEA 03.2

Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.



Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements			
Individual / Internal staff	one access of the many, provided on gargements			
engagements	Individual / Internal staff engagements			
	☑ Geography/market of the companies			
	☑ Materiality of the ESG factors			
	☑ Exposure (size of holdings)			
	☑ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred			
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure			
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries			
	☑ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)			
	☐ Client request			
	☐ Breaches of international norms			
	☐ Other; (specify)			
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements			
Collaborative engagements				
	Collaborative engagements			
	☑ Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues through other investors			
	☑ Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues			
	☑ Ability to add value to the collaboration			
	☑ Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration			
	☑ Materiality of the ESG factors addressed by the collaboration			
	☑ Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration			
	☑ Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred			
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure			
	☐ Follow-up from a voting decision			
	☐ Alleviate the resource burden of engagement			
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries			
	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)			
	☐ Other; (specify)			
	$\hfill\square$ We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagement providers			
Service-provider				
engagements	Service-provider engagements			
	☐ Geography/market of the companies			
	☐ Materiality of the ESG factors			
	☐ Exposure (size of holdings)			
	☐ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred			



☐ Responses to divestment pressure
☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries
$\hfill\Box$ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)
☐ Follow-up from a voting decision
☐ Client request
☐ Breaches of international norms
☐ Other; (specify)
$\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ We do not outline engagement criteria for our service providers

 \bigcirc No

LEA 04 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1

Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff
Collaborative engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration
Service-provider engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by our service providers

LEA 05 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

LEA 05.1

Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.



Individual / Internal staff engagements	 Yes, in all cases Yes, in a majority of cases Yes, in a minority of cases We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	 Yes, in all cases Yes, in a majority of cases Yes, in a minority of cases We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out through collaboration.
Service-provider engagements	 Yes, in all cases Yes, in a majority of cases Yes, in a minority of cases We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our service providers.

LEA 05.2

Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	 □ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives ☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs ☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met □ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis □ Other; specify
Collaborative engagements	 □ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives □ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs □ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met ☑ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis □ Other; specify

LEA 06 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 2,4

LEA 06.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.

Yes



LEA 06.2

Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements.

	ongagements.
□ Colla	laborating with other investors
□ Issu	uing a public statement
☐ Filin	ng/submitting a shareholder resolution
☑ Votii	ing against the re-election of the relevant directors
□ Voti	ing against the board of directors or the annual financial report
□ Sub	omitting nominations for election to the board
□ See	eking legal remedy / litigation
☑ Red	ducing exposure (size of holdings)
☑ Dive	estment
□ Othe	er; specify
○ No	

LEA 07 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,2

LEA 07.1

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual / Internal staff engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo
Collaborative engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo
Service-provider engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo

LEA 07.2

Indicate the practices used to ensure that information and insights gained through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

arpropto Involving investment decision-makers when developing an engagement progra	ımme
--	------

☑ Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations

☑ Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing

 \square Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels

☐ Other; specify

☐ None



LEA 07.3

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo
Collaborative engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo
Service-provider engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo

LEA 07.4

Additional information. [Optional]

All research information is shared internally, through both an internal research database and via email. All ESG interactions are considered a research and investment function, and so become a part of this research database.

LEA 08 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 2

LEA 08.1

Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual/Internal staff engagements	Yes, we track the number of our engagements in fullYes, we partially track the number of our engagementsWe do not track
Collaborative engagements	 Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in full Yes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagements We do not track
Service-provider engagements	 Yes, we track the number of service-provider engagements in full Yes, we partially track the number of our service-provider engagements We do not track

D	4:			-1	- I 4 !
Provv	i votinc	i ann s	narenoi	ider res	olutions
LIOAY	/ VOLILIS	ullu 3	Hai Ciloi	uci ico	Olutions

LEA 12 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 2



LEA 12.1

Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

- O We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.

Based on

- O The service-provider voting policy we sign off on
- Our own voting policy
- Our clients` requests or policies

☐ Vote(s) concerned significant shareholdings

- Other (explain)
- O We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 12.2

Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

All formal engagement (including discussions, meetings, letters and voting) will be discussed with the head of research and portfolio manager, to ensure a unified view across the whole team.

_EA 15	Mano	datory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
LEA 1	5.1	Indicate the proportion of votes part service providers acting on your behavior			
O 100	0%				
○ 99-	-75%				
74-	-50%				
○ 49-	-25%				
○ 24-	-1%				
○ Ne	ither we	nor our service provider(s) raise con	cerns with compa	nies ahead of voting	
LEA 1	5.2	Indicate the reasons for raising your	concerns with the	ese companies ahead of vo	ting.
□ Vo	te(s) cor	ncerned selected markets			
□ Vo	te(s) cor	ncerned selected sectors			
✓ Vo	te(s) cor	ncerned certain ESG issues			
✓ Vo	☑ Vote(s) concerned companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues				



☐ Client request

Other

Explain

One area of concern we raise with companies is over transparency and timeliness of information necessary to vote. We inform well in advance that we require information on all resolutions, and if insufficient, that we will abstain or reject.

LEA	16	Mar	adatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
	LEA 1	6.1	Indicate the proportion of votes who communicated the rationale to comrecommendations. Indicate this as	panies for abst	aining or voting against manage	
	O 100)%				
	O 99-	75%				
	74-	50%				
	O 49-	25%				
	○ 24-	1%				
	○ We	do no	t communicate the rationale to compa	anies		
		applic menda	able because we and/or our service pations	oroviders did no	ot abstain or vote against manag	gement
	LEA 1	6.2	Indicate the reasons why your orga abstaining or voting against manag			e rationale for
	□ Vot	e(s) co	oncern selected markets			
	□ Vot	e(s) co	oncern selected sectors			
	☑ Vot	e(s) co	oncern certain ESG issues			
	✓ Vot	e(s) co	oncern companies exposed to controv	ersy on specific	c ESG issues	
	□ Vot	e(s) co	oncern significant shareholdings			
	☐ Clie	ent req	uest			
	☐ Oth	er				
	LEA 1	6.3	In cases where your organisation d management recommendations, in			or voting against
	O Yes	8				
	No					
LEA	17	Man	adatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
LEA	17	IVIAI	luator y	Public	Core Assessed	PKI 2
	LEA 1	7.1	For listed equities in which you or y instructions, indicate the percentag			e (proxy) voting
	• We	do tra	ck or collect this information			
			Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)			
			%			
	1	00				

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- O We do not track or collect this information

LEA 18 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 2

LEA 18.1

Indicate whether you track the voting instructions that you or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

Yes, we track this information

LEA 18.2

Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	% 82
Against (opposing) management recommendations	7
Abstentions	11

100%

O No, we do not track this information

LEA 18.3

In cases where your organisation voted against management recommendations, indicate the percentage of companies which you have engaged.

100

LEA 19 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

LEA 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.

○ Yes

No



LEA 19.3

Additional information. [Optional]

We do escalate our activity, but we like to engage closely and directly with management and major shareholders, which requires us to decide on the correct escalation strategy on a case-by-case basis, rather than having a premandated form.



Albizia Capital Pte Ltd

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Confi	Confidence building measures				
CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General	
CM1 0	1.1 Indicate whether the reported information year has undergone:	mation you have pr	ovided for your PRI Transparency	Report this	
□ Thi	d party assurance over selected responses	from this year's PF	RI Transparency Report		
	rd party assurance over data points from others this year	her sources that ha	ve subsequently been used in you	ır PRI	
	d party assurance or audit of the correct im is year)	plementation of RI	processes (that have been report	ed to the	
	rnal audit of the correct implementation of Fed to the PRI this year)	RI processes and/or	r accuracy of RI data (that have b	een	
☑ Inte	rnal verification of responses before submis	ssion to the PRI (e.	g. by the CEO or the board)		
(Whole PRI Transparency Report has been	n internally verified			
	Selected data has been internally verified				
	er, specify ne of the above				
LI INOI	ie of the above				
CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General	
CM1 0			Transparency Report		
	ole PRI Transparency Report was assured	-			
	ected data was assured in last year's PRI T did not assure last year`s PRI Transparend		t		
	ne of the above, we were in our preparation		enort last vear		
0 1101	is an are above, we wore in our proparation	your and did not re	port last your		
CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General	
CM1 0	We undertake confidence building Transparency Report:	measures that are	unspecific to the data contained ir	our PRI	
□We	☐ We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme				
☐ We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report					
□ES	☐ ESG audit of holdings				
□ Oth	☐ Other, specify				
☑ Noi	ne of the above				
CM1 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General	



CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- O Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- O Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 07 Mandatory Public Descriptive Ger	General
---	---------

CM1 07.1

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

☑ CEO or other Chief-Level staff

	Sign-off or review of responses
V	Sign-off
	Review of responses
□The	Board
□ Inve	stment Committee
☑ Com	npliance Function
□ RI/E	SG Team
✓ Invest	stment Teams
□ Lega	al Department
☐ Othe	er (specify)